

DXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XIXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXAXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXGXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXOXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXNXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXAXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXLXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXIXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXSXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXAXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXTXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXIXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXOX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXN

Diagonalisation

The Road to Infinities, Truth and Gödel

Rasmus Bakken — Mahin Hossain

University of Oxford

Michaelmas 2025

Lecture 2 Summary

- Introduce the formal language \mathcal{L} .
- Show how \mathcal{L} can express statements in arithmetic.
- Motivate Gödel-numbering as a precise way to achieve self-reference.
- We outline a Gödel-encoding scheme for symbols, sentences, and proofs, and illustrate it through worked examples.

Quote

“There is a difference between a thing and talking about a thing.”

— KURT GÖDEL

Roadmap

1 The Formal Language

2 The Gödel Encoding

The Symbols of \mathcal{L}

- Alphabet: $0, S, +, *, \exp, (,), \neg, \rightarrow, \forall, =, \#, v_i$ (for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$).

The Symbols of \mathcal{L}

- Alphabet: $0, S, +, *, \exp, (,), \neg, \rightarrow, \forall, =, \#, v_i$ (for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$).
- An *expression* is any finite string of symbols (not necessarily sensible).

The Symbols of \mathcal{L}

- Alphabet: $0, S, +, *, \exp, (,), \neg, \rightarrow, \forall, =, \#, v_i$ (for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$).
- An *expression* is any finite string of symbols (not necessarily sensible).
- We need rules to pick out well-formed expressions (terms, formulae, sentences).

Some \mathcal{L} -expressions

(i) $S(0) + S(0) = S(S(0))$

(ii) $\forall \neg v_8$)

(iii) $v_2 v_3 + + =$

Terms (syntax that denotes numbers)

- Intuition: a term is supposed to pick out a number.

Terms (syntax that denotes numbers)

- Intuition: a term is supposed to pick out a number.
- Basic terms: 0 and variables v_i .

Terms (syntax that denotes numbers)

- Intuition: a term is supposed to pick out a number.
- Basic terms: 0 and variables v_i .
- If t is a term, then $S(t)$ is a term.

Terms (syntax that denotes numbers)

- Intuition: a term is supposed to pick out a number.
- Basic terms: 0 and variables v_i .
- If t is a term, then $S(t)$ is a term.
- If x, y are terms, then $x + y$, $x * y$, and $\exp(x, y)$ are terms.

Terms (syntax that denotes numbers)

- Intuition: a term is supposed to pick out a number.
- Basic terms: 0 and variables v_i .
- If t is a term, then $S(t)$ is a term.
- If x, y are terms, then $x + y$, $x * y$, and $\exp(x, y)$ are terms.
- Terms are built recursively from the above rules.

Numerals

- *Numerals* are terms formed by iterating S on 0 : $0, S(0), S(S(0)), \dots$

Numerals

- *Numerals* are terms formed by iterating S on 0: $0, S(0), S(S(0)), \dots$
- Shorthand: \bar{n} denotes the numeral with n occurrences of S .
Example: $\bar{0} = 0$, $\bar{1} = S(0)$, $\bar{3} = S(S(S(0)))$.

Formulae and sentences

- Intuition: a formula expresses a statement about numbers.

Formulae and sentences

- Intuition: a formula expresses a statement about numbers.
- Atomic formula: if σ, τ are terms then $\sigma = \tau$ is a formula.

Formulae and sentences

- Intuition: a formula expresses a statement about numbers.
- Atomic formula: if σ, τ are terms then $\sigma = \tau$ is a formula.
- If φ, ψ are formulae and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then:
 - $\neg\varphi$ is a formula,
 - $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ is a formula,
 - $\forall v_i \varphi$ is a formula.

Formulae and sentences

- Intuition: a formula expresses a statement about numbers.
- Atomic formula: if σ, τ are terms then $\sigma = \tau$ is a formula.
- If φ, ψ are formulae and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then:
 - $\neg\varphi$ is a formula,
 - $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ is a formula,
 - $\forall v_i \varphi$ is a formula.
- What about $\varphi \wedge \psi$, $\varphi \vee \psi$ and $\exists v_i \varphi$?

Examples of formulae

- $S(v_5) \neq 0.$
- $S(v_2) = S(v_3) \rightarrow v_2 = v_3.$
- $(\bar{2} + \bar{2} = \bar{4}).$
- $\forall v_1 (\forall v_2 \forall v_3 ((v_1 = v_2 * v_3) \rightarrow (v_3 = \bar{1} \vee v_3 = v_1)) \rightarrow (\exists v_4 \forall v_5 \forall v_6 ((v_4 = v_5 * v_6) \rightarrow (v_6 = \bar{1} \vee v_6 = v_4))) \wedge \exists v_7 (v_7 \neq 0 \wedge v_1 + v_7 = v_4)))$

Roadmap

- 1 The Formal Language
- 2 The Gödel Encoding

How can \mathcal{L} talk about itself?

- \mathcal{L} can only talk about numbers. To make it talk about itself, we have to code expressions of \mathcal{L} as numbers.

How can \mathcal{L} talk about itself?

- \mathcal{L} can only talk about numbers. To make it talk about itself, we have to code expressions of \mathcal{L} as numbers.
- We want an injective function $\ulcorner \cdot \urcorner$ that maps φ to its *Gödel code*.

How can \mathcal{L} talk about itself?

- \mathcal{L} can only talk about numbers. To make it talk about itself, we have to code expressions of \mathcal{L} as numbers.
- We want an injective function $\ulcorner \cdot \urcorner$ that maps φ to its *Gödel code*.
- Two step plan:
 - For each symbol x of \mathcal{L} , define $\ulcorner x \urcorner$ (easy).
 - Find out how to encode a sequence of numbers as one number (difficult).

Step 1: Assign codes to symbols

0	<i>S</i>	+	*	<i>exp</i>	()	¬	→	∀	=	#
1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23

Step 1: Assign codes to symbols

0	S	$+$	$*$	exp	()	\neg	\rightarrow	\forall	$=$	$\#$
1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23

Variables: $\lceil v_i \rceil = 2(i + 1)$ (so $\lceil v_0 \rceil = 2$, $\lceil v_1 \rceil = 4$, ...)

Taking Stock

- Let's consider $\lceil 0 = 0 \rceil$.

Taking Stock

- Let's consider $\lceil 0 = 0 \rceil$.

- We know that:

- $\lceil 0 \rceil = 1$ and
 - $\lceil = \rceil = 21$.

Taking Stock

- Let's consider $\lceil 0 = 0 \rceil$.
- We know that:
 - $\lceil 0 \rceil = 1$ and
 - $\lceil = \rceil = 21$.
- Hence, we can think of $\lceil 0 = 0 \rceil$ as $\langle \lceil 0 \rceil, \lceil = \rceil, \lceil 0 \rceil \rangle = \langle 1, 21, 1 \rangle$

Taking Stock

- Let's consider $\lceil 0 = 0 \rceil$.
- We know that:
 - $\lceil 0 \rceil = 1$ and
 - $\lceil = \rceil = 21$.
- Hence, we can think of $\lceil 0 = 0 \rceil$ as $\langle \lceil 0 \rceil, \lceil = \rceil, \lceil 0 \rceil \rangle = \langle 1, 21, 1 \rangle$
- Question: How to encode $\langle 1, 21, 1 \rangle$ as one number?

From tuples to numbers

- Loosely speaking, we want an injective function $\mathcal{F}; \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \mapsto n$.

From tuples to numbers

- Loosely speaking, we want an injective function $\mathcal{F}; \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \mapsto n$.
- Injectivity ensures that if $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \neq \langle y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle$ then $\mathcal{F}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle) \neq \mathcal{F}(\langle y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle)$.

From tuples to numbers

- Loosely speaking, we want an injective function $\mathcal{F}; \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \mapsto n$.
- Injectivity ensures that if $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \neq \langle y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle$ then $\mathcal{F}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle) \neq \mathcal{F}(\langle y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle)$.
- This means that if φ and ψ are two distinct formulae, then they get different tuples and hence different Gödel codes.

Some Number Theory

- A *prime number* n is a natural number larger than 1 such that it is only divisible by 1 and itself.

Some Number Theory

- A *prime number* n is a natural number larger than 1 such that it is only divisible by 1 and itself.
- A *composite number* is a natural number larger than 1 that is not a prime number.

Some Number Theory

- A *prime number* n is a natural number larger than 1 such that it is only divisible by 1 and itself.
- A *composite number* is a natural number larger than 1 that is not a prime number.
- 4 is a composite number that is built up of prime numbers 2 and 2.

Some Number Theory

- A *prime number* n is a natural number larger than 1 such that it is only divisible by 1 and itself.
- A *composite number* is a natural number larger than 1 that is not a prime number.
- 4 is a composite number that is built up of prime numbers 2 and 2.
- $18 = 9 * 2 = 3^2 * 2$
- A *prime composition* of a number n is a product of prime numbers raised to positive exponents in decreasing order evaluates to n .

Some Number Theory

- A *prime number* n is a natural number larger than 1 such that it is only divisible by 1 and itself.
- A *composite number* is a natural number larger than 1 that is not a prime number.
- 4 is a composite number that is built up of prime numbers 2 and 2.
- $18 = 9 * 2 = 3^2 * 2$
- A *prime composition* of a number n is a product of prime numbers raised to positive exponents in decreasing order evaluates to n .
- The prime composition of 18 is $3^2 * 2$, and it is unique.

The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Theorem (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every natural number greater than 1 has a unique prime composition.

Proof.

Trust me.



Encoding tuples by prime powers

- Let π_i be the i -th prime number. I.e., $\pi_1 = 2$, $\pi_2 = 3$, $\pi_3 = 5$ and so on.

Encoding tuples by prime powers

- Let π_i be the i -th prime number. I.e., $\pi_1 = 2$, $\pi_2 = 3$, $\pi_3 = 5$ and so on.
- We then say that $\mathcal{F}(\langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle) = \pi_1^{n_1} \pi_2^{n_2} \cdots \pi_k^{n_k}$.

Encoding tuples by prime powers

- Let π_i be the i -th prime number. I.e., $\pi_1 = 2$, $\pi_2 = 3$, $\pi_3 = 5$ and so on.
- We then say that $\mathcal{F}(\langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle) = \pi_1^{n_1} \pi_2^{n_2} \cdots \pi_k^{n_k}$.
- By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic this encoding is *injective*.

The Gödel Code

Definition

Let φ be a \mathcal{L} -formula with symbols ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n . Then we define *the Gödel Code of φ* , denoted $\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner$, as $\mathcal{F}(\langle \ulcorner \psi_1 \urcorner, \dots, \ulcorner \psi_n \urcorner \rangle)$.

Short example

- Consider the formula $0 = 0$.

Short example

- Consider the formula $0 = 0$.
- Tuple: $\langle 1, 21, 1 \rangle$.

Short example

- Consider the formula $0 = 0$.
- Tuple: $\langle 1, 21, 1 \rangle$.
- Encoding the tuple:

$$2^1 \cdot 3^{21} \cdot 5^1 = 104603532030.$$

Short example

- Consider the formula $0 = 0$.
- Tuple: $\langle 1, 21, 1 \rangle$.
- Encoding the tuple:

$$2^1 \cdot 3^{21} \cdot 5^1 = 104603532030.$$

- Thus $\ulcorner 0 = 0 \urcorner = \mathcal{F}(\langle \ulcorner 0 \urcorner, \ulcorner = \urcorner, \ulcorner 0 \urcorner \rangle) = \mathcal{F}(\langle 1, 21, 1 \rangle) = 2^1 \cdot 3^{21} \cdot 5^1 = 104603532030$.

Longer example

- Sentence: $\forall v_5 (\neg S(v_5) = 0)$.

Longer example

- Sentence: $\forall v_5 (\neg S(v_5) = 0)$.
- Tuple:
 - $\langle \Gamma \forall \Gamma, \Gamma v_5 \Gamma, \Gamma (\Gamma, \Gamma \neg \Gamma, \Gamma S \Gamma, \Gamma (\Gamma, \Gamma v_5 \Gamma, \Gamma) \Gamma, \Gamma = \Gamma, \Gamma 0 \Gamma, \Gamma) \Gamma \rangle$

Longer example

- Sentence: $\forall v_5 (\neg S(v_5) = 0)$.
- Tuple:
 - $\langle \forall, \neg, v_5, \neg S, \neg, \neg, =, 0 \rangle$
 - $\langle 19, 12, 11, 15, 3, 11, 12, 13, 21, 1, 13 \rangle$

Longer example

- Sentence: $\forall v_5 (\neg S(v_5) = 0)$.
- Tuple:
 - $\langle \neg \forall, \neg v_5, \neg (\neg, \neg \neg, \neg S, \neg (\neg, \neg v_5, \neg), \neg =, \neg 0, \neg) \rangle$
 - $\langle 19, 12, 11, 15, 3, 11, 12, 13, 21, 1, 13 \rangle$
- Encoding the tuple:

$$2^{19} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^{11} \cdot 7^{15} \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^{11} \cdot 17^{12} \cdot 19^{13} \cdot 23^{21} \cdot 29^1 \cdot 31^{13}.$$

Longer example pt.2

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg \forall v_5 (\neg S(v_5) = 0) \wedge = \\ \mathcal{F}(\langle & \neg \forall, \neg v_5, \neg (\neg, \neg \neg, \neg S, \neg (\neg, \neg v_5, \neg), \neg =, \neg 0, \neg) \wedge \rangle) = \\ \mathcal{F}(\langle & 19, 12, 11, 15, 3, 11, 12, 13, 21, 1, 13 \rangle) = \\ & 2^{19} * 3^{12} * 5^{11} * 7^{15} * 11^3 * 13^{11} * 17^{12} * 19^{13} * 23^{21} * 29^1 * 31^{13} \end{aligned}$$

Encoding proofs

- A proof is a finite sequence of formulas $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k$.

Encoding proofs

- A proof is a finite sequence of formulas $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k$.
- Write the whole proof as the expression $\#\varphi_1\#\varphi_2\#\dots\#\varphi_k\#$ (note $\#$ does not occur inside formulas).

Encoding proofs

- A proof is a finite sequence of formulas $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k$.
- Write the whole proof as the expression $\#\varphi_1\#\varphi_2\#\dots\#\varphi_k\#$ (note $\#$ does not occur inside formulas).
- This is an expression of \mathcal{L} , so it has a Gödel code in the same manner.

Takeaways

- We defined a formal language \mathcal{L} for arithmetic (symbols, terms, formulae, sentences).
- We assigned codes to symbols and used prime-power encodings to map tuples of codes to unique natural numbers.
- Both sentences and proofs can be given Gödel codes — the key technical device for letting arithmetic speak about arithmetic.