## Riemannian and Lorentzian Geometry

Rasmus Curt Raschke

October 14, 2025

# Contents

1	Introduction	2
	1.0 Review of important topics	2
<b>2</b>	Riemannian Geometry	5

### Chapter 1

### Introduction

#### 1.0 Review of important topics

**Definition 1.1** (Riemannian and Lorentzian Metrics). Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \le \nu \le n$ . A **semi-Riemannian metric** of **index**  $\nu$  is a (0,2)-tensor field such that

$$g_p: T_pM \times T_pM \to \mathbb{R}$$

is a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on  $T_pM$  with index  $\nu$ . We say:

- $\nu = 0$ : g is **Riemannian**.
- $\nu = 1$ : g is Lorentzian.

In the Lorentzian case, we take the convention (-, +, +, ...).

**Theorem 1.2** (Levi-Civita Connection). Given a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g), there exists exactly one connection  $\nabla^g$ , called the **Levi-Civita** connection, such that:

- $\nabla^g$  is symmetric:  $\nabla_X Y \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$
- $\nabla^g$  is compatible with  $g: Zg(X,Y) = g(\nabla_Z X,Y) + g(X,\nabla_Z Y)$
- The Koszul identity is satisfied.

**Definition 1.3** (Geodesic). A  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ -curve  $\gamma:(a,b)\to M$  is called a **geodesic** if  $\dot{\gamma}$  is  $\nabla^g$ -parallel along  $\gamma$ , i.e.

$$\ddot{\gamma}(t) = \nabla^g_{\frac{d}{dt}} \dot{\gamma} = 0.$$

In local coordinates, one finds the geodesic equation

$$0 = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(x^i \circ \gamma) + (\Gamma^i_{kl} \circ \gamma) \frac{d}{dt}(x^k \circ \gamma) \frac{d}{dt}(x^l \circ \gamma).$$

**Theorem 1.4** (Maximal Geodesic). For all  $v \in TM$ , there is exactly one geodesic

$$\gamma_v: I_v \to M$$

such that  $\gamma_v(0) = \pi ||v||$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_v(0) = v$  and  $I_v$  is maximal.

#### **Exponential Map**

**Definition 1.5** (Exponential Map). Define the (Riemannian) **exponential** map

$$\exp_p: \mathcal{D}_p \subseteq T_pM \to M$$

by

$$(p, v) \mapsto \exp_p(v) := \gamma_v(1)$$

where  $\gamma_v$  is the unique maximal geodesic.

We always have

$$\mathcal{D}_p \supseteq \{tv \in T_pM \mid t \in [0,1]\}.$$

We can consider  $s \mapsto \gamma_v(st)$  for fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have

$$\dot{\gamma}_v(st) = t\dot{\gamma}_v(0) = tv = \dot{\gamma}_{tv}(s)$$

for all s, and therefore  $\gamma_{tv}(s) = \gamma_v(ts)$ . This yields the useful formula

$$\exp_p(tv) = \gamma_{tv}(1) = \gamma_v(t).$$

**Lemma 1.6.** For all  $p \in M$ ,

$$d(\exp_p)_0: T_0(T_pM) \cong T_pM \to T_pM$$

is the identity  $d(\exp_p)_0 = id$  under the identification  $id(v^i \partial_{u_i}|_0) = v^i \partial_{x_i}|_p$ .

**Definition 1.7** (Normal Neighbourhood). An open set  $U \ni p$  is a **normal neighbourhood** of p if there exists an open set  $\tilde{U} \ni o_p \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$  which is star-shaped such that

$$\exp_p|_{\tilde{U}}: \tilde{U} \to U$$

is a diffeomorphism.

**Theorem 1.8** (Existence of Normal Neighbourhoods). For any  $p \in M$ , there is a normal neighbourhood around p.

**Proof.** Inverse function theorem.

**Definition 1.9** (Convex Neighbourhood). U is a **convex neighbourhood** if it is a normal neighbourhood for all  $q \in U$ .

**Remark.** If U is a normal neighbourhood of p, then for all  $q \in U$  there is exactly one geodesic  $\gamma_{pq}$  in U from p to q, called **radial geodesic**.

**Theorem 1.10** (Normal Coordinate Lines). For all  $p \in M$  and a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  of  $T_pM$  exists a chart  $(U, (x^1, \ldots, x^n))$  such that:

- 1. U is a normal neighbourhood of p.
- $2. \ \partial_i|_p = v$
- 3.  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$  for all i, j, k.

If the basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is orthonormal, we also have

$$g_{ij}(p) = \epsilon_i \delta_{ij}$$

and

$$\partial_k g_{ij}(p) = 0.$$

The chart  $(U,(x^1,\ldots,x^n))$  is called **normal coordinate chart**.

### Chapter 2

# Riemannian Geometry

In this chapter, we are concerned with Riemannian manifolds as metric spaces. The main goal is to prove the theorem of Hopf-Rinow.

**Definition 2.1** (Regular Curve). A piecewise  $C^1$ -curve

$$\gamma: [a,b] \to M$$

is called regular if

$$\forall s \in [a, b] : \dot{\gamma}(s) \neq 0$$

and

$$\dot{\gamma}_+(t_i) \neq 0$$

at all  $C^1$ -break-points.

**Definition 2.2** (Arc-length). Let (M,g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and  $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$  a (piecewise)  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -curve. The **arc-length** is defined to be the functional

$$L[\gamma] = \int_a^b \sqrt{|g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))|} dt.$$

**Remark.** 1. In the Riemannian case, the  $|\cdot|$  is redundant.

- 2. In semi-Riemannian geometry, there are curves with  $L[\gamma] = 0$ .
- 3. The arc-length functional is invariant under length parametrization.
- 4. If  $\gamma$  is regular, there exists a strictly monotonous reparametrization

$$\varphi: [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \to [a, b]$$

such that  $\tau := \gamma \circ \varphi$  satisfies  $g(\dot{\tau}, \dot{\tau}) = 1$ . This is a reparametrization by arc-length:

$$L[\tau_{[\tilde{a},s]}] = s - \tilde{a}$$

for all  $s \in [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Gauß' Lemma). The exponential map is a radial isometry: For any  $p \in M$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{D}_p$  and  $v, w \in T_x(T_pM) \cong T_pM$  with  $v = \alpha x$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the equations

$$g_{\exp_p(x)}(d(\exp_p(v))_x, d(\exp_p(w))_x) = g_p(v, w)$$

and

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \exp_p(tv)$$

hold.