

# Optimization with PDEs

Rasmus Curt Raschke

October 14, 2025

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.0	Preliminaries . . . . .	2
1.1	Existence of Solutions . . . . .	4

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.0 Preliminaries

#### Modalities

- Oral exams, 10.02. or 24.03.; register online until 12.12. by [katrin.kopp@uni-hamburg.de](mailto:katrin.kopp@uni-hamburg.de)
- Office hours on website
- Exercises every second week; half of points needed; attendance on 5 or more exercise classes required; exercises have to be presented ; register in Moodle for exercises

#### Motivation

Given a function

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $X$  is an (in general, infinite-dimensional) Banach space, we want to minimize  $f(x)$  for  $x \in X^{\text{ad}}$ , the set of admissible values.

**Example.** There are plenty of real-world applications:

- modelling of elastic bodies, damage calculation
- optimal design (buildings, ships, aircrafts, ...)
- optimal control (trajectories of spacecrafts, ...)
- inverse problems (seismic tomography, MRT, ...)

◇

**Theorem 1.1.** Let

$$f : X^{\text{ad}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a continuous function and  $X^{\text{ad}}$  be bounded and closed. Then,  $\min_{x \in X^{\text{ad}}} f(x)$  is attained.

**Proof.** Take a minimizing sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\text{ad}}$ , i.e. a sequence with

$$f(x_k) \rightarrow \inf_{x \in X^{\text{ad}}} f(x).$$

Since  $(x_k)$  is bounded, there exists a convergent subsequence  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ . Now  $x$  is a solution of our problem since:

1.

$$f(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_k) = \inf_{x \in X^{\text{ad}}} f(x)$$

since  $f$  is continuous.

2. Since  $X^{\text{ad}}$  is closed,  $x \in X^{\text{ad}}$ .

□

**Example.** Consider the optimization problem

$$\min \int_{-1}^1 (x(s) - x^d(s))^2 ds$$

s.t.  $x \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$ ,  $-1 \leq x(s) \leq 1 \forall s \in [-1, 1]$  with

$$x^d(s) = \begin{cases} -1 & s < 0 \\ 1 & s \geq 0 \end{cases}.$$

1.

$$X^{\text{ad}} = \{v \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1]) \mid -1 \leq v(s) \leq 1 \forall s \in [-1, 1]\}$$

2.  $X^{\text{ad}}$  is closed:  $v_k \rightarrow v$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so  $v_k(s) \rightarrow v(s) \forall s \in [-1, 1]$  since  $v_k(s) \in [-1, 1]$  is closed, so  $v(s) \in [-1, 1]$ .

3.  $f$  is continuous, so if  $v_k \rightarrow v$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(v_k) - f(v)| &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 (v_k(s)^2 - 2v_k(s)x^d(s) + x^d(s)^2 - (v(s)^2 - 2v(s)x^d(s) + x^d(s)^2)) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 v_k(s)^2 - v(s)^2 - 2(v_k(s) - v(s))x^d(s) ds \right| \\ &= 2 \cdot 2 \max(v_k(s) - v(s)) + 4\|x^d\|_{\infty} \max |v_k(s) - v(s)| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

. However, there is no solution potential minimizing sequence

$$x_n(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & s > \frac{1}{n} \\ n \leq -\frac{1}{n} \leq s \leq \frac{1}{n} & \\ -1 & s < -\frac{1}{n} \end{cases}.$$

4. To see this, consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq f(x_n) &= \int_{-\frac{1}{k}}^0 (ns + 1)^2 ds + \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (ns - 1)^2 ds \\ &= 2 \cdot \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (ks - 1)^2 ds \leq \frac{2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

but

$$f(x) = 0 \iff (x(s) - x^d(s))^2 = 0 \forall s \implies x = x^d \notin \mathcal{C}.$$

◇

It can be even worse:

**Example.** The Lavrentier phenomenon is concerned with the functional

$$\mathcal{J}[u] = \int_0^1 (u(t)^3 - t)^2 u'(t)^6 dt \rightarrow \min$$

s.t.  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u(1) = 1$ .

1.  $u \in \mathcal{C}^1((0, 1))$  leads to  $v(t) = \sqrt[3]{t}$  with  $\mathcal{J}[v] = 0$ .
2.  $u \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}((0, 1))$  but  $\inf \mathcal{J}[v] \geq c > 0$ .

◇

## 1.1 Existence of Solutions

Consider a problem  $(P)$  consisting of finding  $\min f(x)$  s.t.  $\sigma(x) \in K$  under the following assumptions (A1):

1.  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $X$  is Banach and  $f$  is lower semi-continuous.
2.  $\sigma : X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $Z$  is Banach and  $\sigma$  is continuous.
3.  $K \subset Z$  closed and convex

### Existence by Compactness

**Theorem 1.2** (Existence by Compactness). Assuming (A1), assume also that there is  $x^0 \in X^{\text{ad}}$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}_f(x^0) := \{x \in X^{\text{ad}} \mid f(x) \leq f(x^0)\}$$

is compact and non-empty. Then,  $(P)$  has a solution.

**Proof.** Let  $(x_k)_k \in X^{\text{ad}}$  be a minimizing sequence such that  $f(x_k) \rightarrow \inf_{x \in X^{\text{ad}}} f(x)$ . W.l.o.g., we can assume  $x_k \in \mathcal{L}_f(x^0)$ , so  $x_k \rightarrow \bar{x} \in \mathcal{L}_f(x^0)$  since we have a convergent subsequence. Hence,

$$f(\bar{x}) = f(\lim x_k) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_k) = \inf_{x \in X^{\text{ad}}} f(x),$$

and therefore  $\bar{x} \in X^{\text{ad}}$  (lower subcontinuity). □