# Riemannian and Lorentzian Geometry

Rasmus Curt Raschke

October 24, 2025

# Contents

1	Inti	roduction	<b>2</b>
	1.0	Review of important topics	2
		1.0.1 Lecture 14.10.25	
<b>2</b>	$\mathbf{Rie}$	mannian and Lorentzian Geometry	6
	2.1	Riemannian Manifolds as Metric Spaces	6
		2.1.1 Lecture 17.10.2025	7
		2.1.2 Lecture 21.10.25	10
	2.2	The Theorem of Hopf-Rinow	11
		2.2.1 Lecture 24.10.25	12
	2.3	Lorentzian Geometry	14

## Chapter 1

# Introduction

## 1.0 Review of important topics

#### 1.0.1 Lecture 14.10.25

**Definition 1.1** (Integral Curve). Let  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $\gamma: I \to M$  be a smooth curve. We call  $\gamma$  integral curve of X if

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = X_{\gamma(t)}.$$

Furthermore,  $\gamma$  is maximal if for all other integral curves  $\omega: J \to M$  with  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$  and  $\omega|_{I \cap J} = \gamma|_{I \cap J}$  we have  $J \subseteq I$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Existence of Integral Curves). Let  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . For all  $p \in M$  exists a unique maximal integral curve  $\gamma_p : I_p \to M$  of X with  $\gamma_p(0) = p$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Local Flow). Let  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . A local flow of X is a smooth map

$$\Theta:I\times U\to M$$

where  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval,  $0 \in I$  and  $U \subseteq M$  is open such that

$$\Theta(t, p) = \gamma_p(t),$$

where  $\gamma_p$  is the maximal integral curve of X with  $\gamma_p(0) = p$  for all  $(t, p) \in I \times U$ .

**Note.** Similarly, a maximal flow for  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  is a smooth map  $\Theta : \mathcal{D} \to M$  with

$$\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{p \in M} I_p \times \{p\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times M$$

being open. We call  $\mathcal{D}$  the **maximal domain**. It always containes  $\{0\} \times M$ . A **global flow** is then a unique maximal flow with  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R} \times M$ .

**Notation.** To emphasize that  $\Theta$  depends on X, we write  $\Theta_X$  and  $\mathcal{D}^X$ . Fixing  $t \in \mathcal{D}$ , we write

$$\theta_t: \mathcal{D} \cap (\{t\} \times M) \to M$$

with  $\theta_t(p) := \Theta(t, p)$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Riemannian and Lorentzian Metrics). Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \le \nu \le n$ . A **semi-Riemannian metric** of **index**  $\nu$  is a (0,2)-tensor field such that

$$g_p: T_pM \times T_pM \to \mathbb{R}$$

is a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on  $T_pM$  with index  $\nu$ . We say:

- $\nu = 0$ : g is **Riemannian**.
- $\nu = 1$ : g is **Lorentzian**.

In the Lorentzian case, we take the convention (-, +, +, ...).

**Theorem 1.5** (Levi-Civita Connection). Given a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), there exists exactly one connection

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

, called the Levi-Civita connection, such that:

- $\nabla^g$  is torsion-free:  $\nabla_X Y \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$
- $\nabla^g$  is compatible with  $g: Zg(X,Y) = g(\nabla_Z X,Y) + g(X,\nabla_Z Y)$
- The Koszul identity is satisfied:

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = X(g(Y, Z)) + Y(g(X, Z)) - Z(g(X, Y))$$
$$-g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y])$$

.

**Definition 1.6** (Riemann Curvature Tensor). The Riemann Curvature **Tensor** is the (1,3)-tensor field

$$R:\mathfrak{X}(M)^3\to\mathfrak{X}(M)$$

given by 
$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$$
.

**Remark.** In local coordinates  $(x^i)$ , we have

$$R_{ijk}^l := (R(\partial_i, \partial_j)\partial_k)^l = \partial_i \Gamma_{jk}^l - \partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^l + \Gamma_{is}^l \Gamma_{jk}^s - \Gamma_{js}^l \Gamma_{ik}^s.$$

**Definition 1.7** (Geodesic). A  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ -curve  $\gamma:(a,b)\to M$  is called a **geodesic** if  $\dot{\gamma}$  is  $\nabla^g$ -parallel along  $\gamma$ , i.e.

$$\ddot{\gamma}(t) = \nabla^g_{\frac{d}{dt}} \dot{\gamma} = 0.$$

In local coordinates, one finds the geodesic equation

$$0 = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(x^i \circ \gamma) + (\Gamma^i_{kl} \circ \gamma) \frac{d}{dt}(x^k \circ \gamma) \frac{d}{dt}(x^l \circ \gamma).$$

**Theorem 1.8** (Maximal Geodesic). For all  $v \in TM$ , there is exactly one geodesic

$$\gamma_v:I_v\to M$$

such that  $\gamma_v(0) = \pi ||v||$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_v(0) = v$  and  $I_v$  is maximal.

### **Exponential Map**

**Definition 1.9** (Exponential Map). Define the (Riemannian) **exponential** map

$$\exp_p: \mathcal{D}_p \subseteq T_pM \to M$$

by

$$(p, v) \mapsto \exp_p(v) := \gamma_v(1)$$

where  $\gamma_v$  is the unique maximal geodesic.

We always have

$$\mathcal{D}_p \supseteq \{tv \in T_pM \mid t \in [0,1]\}.$$

We can consider  $s \mapsto \gamma_v(st)$  for fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have

$$\dot{\gamma}_v(st) = t\dot{\gamma}_v(0) = tv = \dot{\gamma}_{tv}(s)$$

for all s, and therefore  $\gamma_{tv}(s) = \gamma_v(ts)$ . This yields the useful formula

$$\exp_{n}(tv) = \gamma_{tv}(1) = \gamma_{v}(t).$$

**Lemma 1.10.** For all  $p \in M$ ,

$$d(\exp_p)_0: T_0(T_pM) \cong T_pM \to T_pM$$

is the identity  $d(\exp_p)_0 = id$  under the identification  $id(v^i \partial_{u_i}|_0) = v^i \partial_{x_i}|_p$ .

**Definition 1.11** (Normal Neighbourhood). An open set  $U \ni p$  is a **normal neighbourhood** of p if there exists an open set  $\tilde{U} \ni o_p \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$  which is star-shaped such that

$$\exp_p|_{\tilde{U}}: \tilde{U} \to U$$

is a diffeomorphism.

**Theorem 1.12** (Existence of Normal Neighbourhoods). For any  $p \in M$ , there is a normal neighbourhood around p.

**Proof.** Inverse function theorem.

**Definition 1.13** (Convex Neighbourhood). U is a **convex neighbourhood** if it is a normal neighbourhood for all  $q \in U$ .

**Remark.** If U is a normal neighbourhood of p, then for all  $q \in U$  there is exactly one geodesic  $\gamma_{pq}$  in U from p to q, called **radial geodesic**.

**Theorem 1.14** (Normal Coordinate Lines). For all  $p \in M$  and a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  of  $T_pM$  exists a chart  $(U, (x^1, \ldots, x^n))$  such that:

- 1. U is a normal neighbourhood of p.
- 2.  $\partial_i|_p = v$
- 3.  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$  for all i, j, k.

If the basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is orthonormal, we also have

$$g_{ij}(p) = \epsilon_i \delta_{ij}$$

and

$$\partial_k g_{ij}(p) = 0.$$

The chart  $(U,(x^1,\ldots,x^n))$  is called **normal coordinate chart**.

## Chapter 2

# Riemannian and Lorentzian Geometry

In this chapter, we are concerned with Riemannian manifolds as metric spaces. The main goal is to prove the theorem of Hopf-Rinow.

## 2.1 Riemannian Manifolds as Metric Spaces

**Definition 2.1** (Regular Curve). A piecewise  $C^1$ -curve

$$\gamma: [a,b] \to M$$

is called **regular** if

$$\forall s \in [a, b] : \dot{\gamma}(s) \neq 0$$

and

$$\dot{\gamma}_{\pm}(t_i) \neq 0$$

at all  $C^1$ -break-points.

**Definition 2.2** (Arc-length). Let (M,g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and  $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$  a (piecewise)  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -curve. The **arc-length** is defined to be the functional

$$L[\gamma] = \int_a^b \sqrt{|g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))|} dt.$$

**Remark.** 1. In the Riemannian case, the  $|\cdot|$  is redundant.

- 2. In semi-Riemannian geometry, there are curves with  $L[\gamma] = 0$ .
- 3. The arc-length functional is invariant under length parametrization.
- 4. If  $\gamma$  is regular, there exists a strictly monotonous reparametrization

$$\varphi: [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \to [a, b]$$

such that  $\tau:=\gamma\circ\varphi$  satisfies  $g(\dot{\tau},\dot{\tau})=1$ . This is a reparametrization by arc-length:

$$L[\tau_{[\tilde{a},s]}] = s - \tilde{a}$$

for all  $s \in [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Gauß' Lemma). The exponential map is a radial isometry: For any  $p \in M$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{D}_p$  and  $v, w \in T_x(T_pM) \cong T_pM$  with  $v = \alpha x$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the equations

$$g_{\exp_p(x)}(d(\exp_p(v))_x, d(\exp_p(w))_x) = g_p(v, w)$$

and

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \exp_p(tv)$$

hold.

### 2.1.1 Lecture 17.10.2025

**Theorem 2.4** (Minimizing Geodesic). Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold and U be a normal neighbourhood of  $p \in M$ . Then,  $\gamma_{pq}$  is the shortest curve from p tp q unique up to monotonically increasing, piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$  reparametrization.

**Proof.** Let  $\omega:[a,b]\to M$  be a piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$  curve in U from p to q. W.l.o.g.,  $a=0,\ b=1$  and  $\omega([0,1])\subseteq U\setminus\{p\}$ . We can write

$$\omega(t) = \exp_p(R(t)v(t))$$

with  $R(t):=|\exp_p^{-1}(\omega(t))|_{g_p}$  and  $v(t):=\frac{\exp_p^{-1}(\omega(t))}{|\exp_p^{-1}(\omega(t))|_{g_p}}$  such that  $v\in\mathbb{S}_{g_p}^{m-1}\subseteq T_pM$ . Both R and v are piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and  $R(t)\in(0,\infty)$  for t>0, since  $\omega(t)$  does not meet p again. Away from the breakpoints, we have

$$\dot{\omega}(t) = d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp_p([R(t)v(t)]) = R(t) \underbrace{d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp_p(\dot{v}(t))}_{:=A} + \dot{R}(t) \underbrace{d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp_p(v(t))}_{:=B}.$$

With this, we can calculate

$$q(\dot{\omega}(t), \dot{\omega}(t)) = R^2(t)q(A, A) + R(t)\dot{R}(t)q(A, B) + \dot{R}(t)q(B, B)$$

and

$$g(B,B) = g(d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp_p(v(t)), d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp_p(v(t))) = g_p(v(t), v(t)) = 1,$$

where we used Gauß' Lemma.

Turning our attention to the second term, we obtain

$$g(A,B) = g(d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp(v(t)), d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp_p(\dot{v}(t)))$$
  
=  $g_p(v(t), \dot{v}(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} g_p(v(t), v(t)) = 0.$ 

For the arc-length, this yields

$$L[\omega] = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g(\dot{\omega}(t), \dot{\omega}(t))} dt$$
 (2.1)

$$\geq \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\dot{R}^{2}(t)} \, dt \geq \int_{0}^{1} \dot{R}(t) \, dt \tag{2.2}$$

$$= R(1) - R(0) = |\exp_p^{-1}(q)|_{g_p} = L[\gamma_{pq}]$$
 (2.3)

where the last equation is left as an exercise.

Remark. We actually have equality if:

- 1. If  $d_{R(t)v(t)} \exp_p(\dot{v}(t)) = 0$ , we have  $\dot{v}(t) = 0$  and  $v = \frac{\exp_p^{-1}(q)}{|\exp_p^{-1}(q)|_{g_p}}$ , hence  $\omega(t) = \exp_p\left(\frac{R(t)}{|\exp_p^{-1}(q)|_{g_p}}\exp_p^{-1}(q)\right)$ .
- 2. If  $\dot{R}(t) \geq 0$

With this result and the convex neighbourhood theorem, one obtains that any piecewise  $C^1$ -curve minimizing L from p to q must be a broken geodesic.

**Theorem 2.5** (Normal Basis). Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Then, every point  $p \in M$  has a basis of normal neighbourhoods  $\{U_{\epsilon}\}$  of the form  $U_{\epsilon} = \exp_p^{-1}(B_{\epsilon}(0))$  and such that for all  $q \in U_{\epsilon}$ ,  $\gamma_{pq}$  is the shortest curve from p to q in M.

**Proof.** Idea: Show that any piecewise  $C^1$  shortest curve starting from o and leaving  $U_{\epsilon}$  has  $L \geq \epsilon$  ( $\Longrightarrow L < \epsilon$ ).

**Definition 2.6** (Induced Metric). Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. The induced distance function by g is given by

$$d_a(p,q) := \inf \{ L_a[\gamma] \mid \gamma : [a,b] \to M \text{ pw. cont.}, \gamma(a) = p, \gamma(b) = q \}$$

for all  $p, q \in M$ . A piecewise  $C^1$ -curve is **minimizing** if

$$d_q(p,q) = L[\gamma]..$$

**Theorem 2.7** (Manifolds as Metric Spaces). Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold. The induced distance function

$$d_q: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$$

is a distance function and the topology induced by  $d_g$  conincides with the topology of M.

- **Proof.** 1. For finiteness, let  $\gamma$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  geodesic connecting p and q. We can cover the image of  $\gamma$  by a finite amount of sets and connect between the intersection points with geodesics.
  - 2. For  $d(p,q) \geq 0$ , we start by showing that  $d(p,q) = 0 \implies p = q$ . If  $p \neq q$ , we can find a normal neighbourhood  $U_{\epsilon} \ni p$  such that  $q \notin U_{\epsilon}$  by the Hausdorff condition. Hence,  $d(p,q) \geq \epsilon \neq 0$ .
  - 3. Next, we show symmetry. This is clear from the reparametrization invariance of L, using  $t \mapsto \gamma(-t)$ .
  - 4. For the triangle equality, let  $p,q,x\in M.$  For any  $\epsilon>0$ , choose  $\gamma_1,\gamma_2$  such that

$$L[\gamma_1] \le d(p, x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
  
 $L[\gamma_2] \le d(x, q) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ 

Joining  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , we have  $\gamma = \gamma_1 * \gamma_2$  with

$$d(p,q) \le L[\gamma] = L[\gamma_1] + L[\gamma_2] \le d(p,x) + d(x,q) + \epsilon$$

and  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary.

5. Lastly, we have to prove that the topologies agree. This means showing that

$$U_{\epsilon} = B_{\epsilon}^{d}(p) := \{ q \in M \mid d(p, q) < \epsilon \}$$

for  $U_{\epsilon}$  from theorem ??. By the same theorem,  $U_{\epsilon}$  is a basis, and by definition, so is  $B_{\epsilon}^{d}(p)$ . Now, we have:

$$\forall q \in U_{\epsilon} \implies d(p,q) = L[\gamma_{pq}] < \epsilon \implies U_{\epsilon} \subseteq B_{\epsilon}^{d}(p)$$

•  $\forall q \in B_{\epsilon}^d(p)$  there is a curve such that  $\gamma(a) = p$ ,  $\gamma(b) = q$  and  $L[\gamma] < \epsilon$ . But any curve leaving  $U_{\epsilon}$  has length  $L \geq \epsilon$ , so  $B_{\epsilon}^d(p) \subseteq U_{\epsilon}$ .

**Remark.** Any Riemannian manifold is metrizable.

We will now consider another kind of length.

**Definition 2.8** (Metric Arc-length). Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and  $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^0$  curve. The metric length is given by

$$L_d[\gamma] := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) \mid a = t < \dots < t_i < t_{i+1} < \dots < t_N = b \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.9** (Geodesic equals Metric Length). If (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and  $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$  is piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , then

$$L_d[\gamma] = L[\gamma].$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) \le L[\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}] \le L[\gamma].$$

Taking the supremum, we have  $L_d[\gamma] \leq L[\gamma]$ . Now, we show that  $L_d[\gamma] \geq L[\gamma]$ . For this, we want to show that  $t \mapsto L_d[\gamma|_{[0,t]}]$  is differentiable away from breakpoints with derivative  $\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_g = \frac{d}{dt}L[\gamma|_{[0,t]}]$ . So let  $\delta > 0$  and consider

$$\frac{1}{\delta}d(\gamma(t),\gamma(t+\delta)) \leq \frac{1}{\delta}L_d[\gamma|_{[t,t+\delta]}] \leq \frac{1}{\delta}L[\gamma|_{[t,t+\delta]}] \stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} ||\dot{\gamma}||.$$

It remains to show that  $\frac{1}{\delta}d(\gamma(t),\gamma(t+\delta)) \to \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_g$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and U be a normal neighbourhood of  $\gamma(t)$ . For  $\delta$  small enough,  $\gamma(t+\delta) \in U$  and  $d(\gamma(t),\gamma(t+\delta)) = \|\exp_{\gamma(t)}^{-1}(\gamma(t+\delta))\|_g$ . So

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\delta} d(\gamma(t), \gamma(t+\delta)) &= \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\delta} \| \exp_{\gamma(t)}^{-1}(\gamma(t+\delta)) \|_g \\ &= \| \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\delta} \left( \exp_{\gamma(t)}^{-1}(\gamma(t+\delta)) - \exp_{\gamma(t)}^{-1}(\gamma(1)) \right) \|_g = \| \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=t} \exp_{\gamma(t)}^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \|_g \\ &= \| \underbrace{d_{\gamma(t)}(\exp_{\gamma(t)}^{-1})}_{=(d_0 \exp_{\gamma(t)})^{-1} = \mathrm{id}} (\dot{\gamma}(t)) \|_g = \| \dot{\gamma}(t) \|_g \end{split}$$

2.1.2 Lecture 21.10.25

**Lemma 2.10.** If  $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$  is continuous from  $p\in M$  to  $q\in M$  and  $L_d[\gamma]=d(p,q)$ , then there exists an unbroken geodesic  $\omega$  from p to q with the same image as  $\gamma$  and  $L[\omega]=d(p,q)$ .

**Exercise.** Prove the preceding lemma.

### Interlude: Extendibility of Geodesics

**Note.** In this interlude, we allow g to be semi-Riemannian.

**Definition 2.11** (Continuously Extendibility). A curve  $\gamma:[a,b)\to M$  is **continuously extendible** if there is some  $q\in M$  with

$$q = \lim_{t \to b} \gamma(t)..$$

**Lemma 2.12.** A geodesic  $\gamma:[a,b)\to M$  in a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g) is *continuously extendible* if and only if it is extendible as a geodesic, i.e. there exists a geodesic  $\overline{\gamma}:[a,b+\epsilon)\to M$  with  $\overline{\gamma}|_{[a,b)}=\gamma$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $q = \lim_{t \to b} \gamma(t)$ . There exists a convex neighbourhood U of q. We can find  $\epsilon$  such that  $\gamma([b-\epsilon,b)) \subseteq U$ . Let  $\overline{\gamma}: I \to M$  be the unique maximal geodesic with initial data  $\overline{\gamma}(0) = \gamma(b-\epsilon)$  and  $\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(0) = \exp_{\gamma(b-\epsilon)}^{-1}(q) \in T_{\gamma(b-\epsilon)}M$ . Since  $\overline{\gamma}(1) = q$ , we can affinely reparametrize  $\overline{\gamma}$  to obtain a geodesic  $\omega: J \to M$  with  $[a,b] \subseteq J$  and  $\omega(b-\epsilon) = \gamma(b-\epsilon)$  and  $\omega(b) = q$ . The reparametrization is given by  $\omega(t) = \overline{\gamma}(\frac{t-(b-\epsilon)}{\epsilon})$ . So we have found a geodesic extending  $\gamma$ .

**Note.** We can choose such  $\overline{\gamma}$  since  $\exp_p^{-1}$  is defined on a normal neighbourhood U of p and is a map  $U \to T_pM$ . Applying this to  $p = \gamma(b - \epsilon)$ , one gets a vector  $v := \exp_p^{-1}(q) \in T_pM$ . Then, let  $\overline{\gamma}$  be the geodesic starting in p with initial velocity v. We have  $\overline{\gamma}(1) = q$  since  $\exp_p(v) := \overline{\gamma}_v(1)$  and

$$\overline{\gamma}_v(1) = \exp_p(v) = \exp_p(\exp^{-1}(q)) = q.$$

## 2.2 The Theorem of Hopf-Rinow

**Theorem 2.13 (Hopf-Rinow).** Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. The metric space  $(M, d_q)$  is complete.
- 2. (M, g) is geodesically complete.
- 3. There exists some  $p \in M$  such that  $\exp_p$  is defined on all of  $T_p M$ .
- 4. The Heine-Borel property holds, i.e. a subset  $A \subseteq M$  is compact if and only if it is bounded<sup>b</sup> and closed.

Each of these properties implies in addition: For all  $p, q \in M$  there exists a minimizing geodesic  $\gamma$  from p to q with  $L[\gamma] = d(p, q)$ .

 $<sup>^</sup>a$ This is equivalent to all geodesics starting at p being complete.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>In this case, this means that there is C > 0 such that  $d(x, y) \leq C$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Lemma 2.14.** Let  $p \in M$ ,  $q \in B_r(p) = \{x \in M \mid d(x,p) < r\}$ . If  $\overline{B_r(p)}$  is compact, then there exists a continuous curve  $\gamma$  from p to q with  $L_d[\gamma] = d(p,q)$ .

**Exercise.** Show that the lemma implies that there is a minimizing geodesic from p to q.

**Intuition.** The idea is to take a piecewise  $C^1$  family of curves  $\gamma_n$  with  $L[\gamma_n] \to d(p,q)$  which yields that there exists a subsequence of  $\gamma_n$  converging nicely enough to some curve  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma$  is continuous (Arzela-Ascoli) and such that

$$L_d[\gamma] \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} L[\gamma_n] = d(p, q).$$

#### 2.2.1 Lecture 24.10.25

**Proof.** Let  $\gamma_n$  be a sequence of piecewise geodesics from p to q, parametrized on [0, d(p, q)] with  $L[\gamma_n] \to d(p, q)$ . Additionally, the parametrization should satisfy  $\|\dot{\gamma}_n\| = C_n \in \mathbb{R}$  for all t. We have:

- $L[\gamma_n] = \int_0^{d(p,q)} C_n dt = d(p,q) \cdot C_n \implies C_n \to 1$  so the  $C_n$  are uniformly bounded.
- $d(p, \gamma_n(t)) \leq L[\gamma_n|_{[0,t]}] \leq L[\gamma_n] < R$  for n large enough

Hence, we can conclude  $\operatorname{im}(\gamma_n) \subseteq B_r(p)$  and Arzela-Ascoli yields that there exists a subsequence converging uniformly to a continuous curve

$$\gamma: [0, d(p,q)] \to \overline{B_r(p)} \subseteq M.$$

That  $L_d[\gamma] = d(p,q)$  holds, follows from the claim that  $L_d$  is lower semi-continuous, i.e. if

$$\omega, \omega_n : [a, b] \to M$$

is a sequence of continuous curves with  $\omega_n \to \omega$  pointwise, then

$$L_d[\omega] \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} L_d[\gamma_n].$$

To show this, take some partition  $(t_i)_{1 \le i \le N}$  of [a, b]. Then:

$$L_d[\omega_n] \ge \sum_{i=1}^N d(\omega_n(t_i), \omega_n(t_{i+1})) \to \sum_{i=1}^N d(\omega(t_i), \omega(t_{i+1}))$$

since d is continuous. This holds for an arbitrary partition, so we can conclude

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} L_d[\omega_n] \ge L_d[\omega].$$

**Lemma 2.15.** Let (M,g) be an Riemannian manifold. If there is some  $p \in M$  such that  $\exp_p$  is defined on all of  $T_pM$ , then every closed and bounded subset is compact and for all  $q \in M$  exists a geodesic  $\gamma$  with  $L[\gamma] = d(p,q)$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to show that  $B_r(p)$  is compact for all r > 0 since closed subsets of compact sets are compact. Then, we can use the preceding lemma to obtain the statement.

Define

$$R := \sup \left\{ r > 0 \mid \overline{B_r(p)} \text{ is compact} \right\}.$$

We have R>0 since M is locally compact and want  $R=\infty$ . Assume  $R<\infty$ . We claim that  $\overline{B_R(p)}$  is compact ( $\iff B_R(p)$  is relatively compact). Let  $(q_n)$  be a sequence in  $B_R(p)$  and set  $r_n:=d(p,q_n)< R$ . By the preceding lemma, there exists a geodesic  $\gamma_n$  parametrized to unit speed from p to  $q_n$  with  $L[\gamma_n]=d(p,q_n)=r_n$ . W.l.o.g., let  $r_n\to r_0$  for some  $r_o\le R$ . Set  $v_n:=\dot{\gamma}_n(0)\in T_pM$ . We have  $g_p(v_n,v_n)=1$ . This implies that

$$\{v_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \underbrace{\{v \in T_pM \mid g_p(v,v) = 1\}}_{\text{compact}} \subseteq T_pM.$$

Hence, there exists a subsequence  $(v_{n_k})$  converging to some  $v \in T_pM$  with  $g_p(v,v)=1$ . By assumption, the maximal geodesic  $\gamma_v$  with  $\gamma_v(0)=p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_v(0)=v$  is defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Set

$$q := \gamma_v(r_0).$$

Then,

$$q_{n_k} = \gamma_{v_{n_k}}(r_{n_k}) = \exp_p\left(\frac{v_{n_k}}{r_{n_k}}\right) \to \exp_p\left(\frac{v}{r_0}\right) = \gamma_v(r_0) = q$$

by definition of a.

Now, we claim that if  $\overline{B_R(p)}$  is compact, then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\overline{B_{R+\epsilon}(p)}$  is still compact. For all  $q \in \overline{B_r(p)}$ , there exists an  $\epsilon_q$  such that  $\overline{B_{\epsilon_q}(q)}$  is compact. Clearly,

$$\bigcup_{q \in \overline{B_R(p)}} B_{\epsilon_q}(q) = \overline{B_R(p)}.$$

Set  $U := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq N} B_{\epsilon_{q_i}}(q_i)$ . This is open and  $\overline{U}$  is compact since the union is finite. Consider

$$\inf \left\{ d(x, M \setminus U) \mid x \in \overline{B_R(p)} \right\} = \min \left\{ d(x, M \setminus U) \mid x \in \overline{B_R(p)} \right\} \ge \epsilon_0 > 0$$

since  $M \setminus U$  is closed, so  $d(\cdot, M \setminus U)$  attains a minimum as a continuous function.

It remains to show that  $B_{R+\epsilon}(p)\subseteq U$ . Let  $y\in B_{R+\epsilon}(p)$ . Then there exists a piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$  curve  $\omega$  from p to y with  $L[\omega]< R+\epsilon$ . Find a parametrization of  $\omega$  such that  $L[\omega|_{[0,t_0]}]< R$  and  $L[\omega|_{[t_0,1]}]\leq \epsilon$ . Then,  $x:=\omega(t_0)$  satisfies  $x\in B_R(p)$  and  $d(x,y)<\epsilon_0$  (since d is the infimum of lengths). This implies that y cannot lie in  $M\setminus U$ , since that would contradict  $d(x,y)\geq \epsilon_0$  for all  $x\in \overline{B_R(p)}$  and  $y\in M\setminus U$ . Hence,  $y\in U$ . So we indeed have  $B_{R+\epsilon_0}(p)\subseteq U$ , therefore  $\overline{B_{R+\epsilon_0}(p)}\subseteq \overline{U}$ . Since  $\overline{U}$  is compact, we are done.

Now, we are able to prove the theorem of Hopf-Rinow.

**Proof.** (1  $\Longrightarrow$  2): We know that a geodesic  $\gamma:[a,b)\to M$  is extendable as a geodesic if and only if it extends continuously to b. Let  $\gamma:I\to M$  be a geodesic with  $I\neq\mathbb{R}$ . W.l.o.g., I=(a,b) with  $b<\infty$ . Set  $C:=\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|\in\mathbb{R}$  by definition of a geodesic. We have

$$d(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) \le C \cdot |t - s| = L[\gamma|_{[\gamma(t), \gamma(s)]}].$$

Hence, the sequence  $q_n := \gamma(b-\frac{1}{n})$  is a Cauchy sequence. By metric completeness, there is some  $q = \lim q_n$ . We have to show that  $\lim_{s\to b} \gamma(s) = q$ . We can estimate

$$d(\gamma(s),q) \leq d(\gamma(s),\gamma(b-\frac{1}{n})) + d(\gamma(b-\frac{1}{n}),q) \leq C \cdot \left|s - (b-\frac{1}{n})\right| \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\to} |s-b|.$$

for arbitrary n. Therefore,  $d(\gamma(s), q) \leq C \cdot |s - b|$ , which goes to 0 as  $s \to b$ .  $(2 \implies 3)$  is trivial.

 $(3 \implies 4)$  was proven in the preceding lemma.

 $(4 \implies 1)$ : Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence. Then  $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded with

$$d(x,y) \le \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon}, d(x_i, x_j) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mid i, j \le N_{\epsilon} \right\}.$$

By the Heine-Borel property, the closure is compact. Hence, there exists a subsequence converging to some  $x \in M$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, all of  $x_n \to x$ .

### 2.3 Lorentzian Geometry

**Definition 2.16** (Lorentzian Manifold). A Lorentzian manifold is a pair  $(M, \eta)$  where M is a smooth m-manifold and  $\eta$  is a non-degenerate, symmetric bilinear form with index  $\nu = 1$ .

### **Definition 2.17** (Types of Curves). A piecewise $C^1$ -curve

$$\gamma:[a,b]\to M$$

in a Lorentzian manifold M is called:

- timelike if  $\dot{\gamma}(s)$  is timelike, i.e.  $\eta_{\gamma(s)}(\dot{\gamma}(s),\dot{\gamma}(s))<0$  for all s and at all breakpoints  $t_i$  we have  $\eta_{\gamma(t_i)}(\dot{\gamma}_+(t_i),\dot{\gamma}_-(t_i))<0$ .
- null if  $\dot{\gamma}(s)$  is null, i.e.  $\eta(\dot{\gamma}(s),\dot{\gamma}(s))=0$  and  $\dot{\gamma}\neq0$ .
- spacelike if  $\dot{\gamma}(s)$  is spacelike, i.e.  $\eta(\dot{\gamma}(s),\dot{\gamma}(s))>0$  or  $\dot{\gamma}=0.$
- causal if  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$  is causal, i.e. timelike or null for all s.

 $<sup>^</sup>a{\rm This}$  means  $\dot{\gamma}_{\pm}$  lie in the same connected component.