

Advanced ALgebra

Rasmus Curt Raschke

October 24, 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Ring Theory	2
1.1.1	Lecture 15.10.25	2
1.1.2	Lecture 17.10.25	2
1.2	Modules	4
1.2.1	Lecture 22.10.25	5
1.2.2	Lecture 24.10.25	9

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Ring Theory

1.1.1 [Lecture 15.10.25](#)

1.1.2 [Lecture 17.10.25](#)

Important Ring Homomorphisms

Theorem 1.1 (Initial Ring). The ring of integers \mathbb{Z} is initial in **Ring**, i.e. for every unital ring R , there is a unique ring homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ and f is determined by $f(1) = 1_R$.

The last statement works by using the homomorphism property

$$f(\sum 1) = \sum f(1).$$

Theorem 1.2 (Terminal Ring). The *null ring* is terminal in **Ring**, i.e. for every ring there is a unique ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow \{0\}$.

Example. Let $(A, +)$ be an abelian group and denote by $\text{End}(A)$ the endomorphisms $A \rightarrow A$. Given any $f, g \in \text{End}(A)$, we define

$$(f + g)(x) := f(x) + g(x)$$

and

$$(f \cdot g)(x) := f(g(x))$$

for any $x \in A$. This makes $\text{End}(A)$ an abelian group. The identity map $1 \in \text{End}(A)$ turns $\text{End}(A)$ into a ring. \diamond

Exercise. What happens if A is not abelian?

There are several standard constructions of rings:

Definition 1.3 (Opposite Ring). Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring. The **opposite ring** R^{op} is the same abelian group $(R, +)$ together with the inverted multiplication

$$(r, s) \mapsto s \cdot r.$$

Definition 1.4 (Polynomial Ring). Given any ring R , define the **polynomial ring** of polynomials in x with coefficients in R by

$$R[x] := \left\{ \sum_i a_i x^i \mid a_i \in R, a_i = 0 \text{ for } i \text{ suff. large} \right\}.$$

Addition, multiplication and identity are inherited from R .

We construct higher polynomial rings $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] := R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ inductively. For $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, the degree is the highest non-zero power of x appearing in $p(x)$. We have

$$\deg(p(x) \cdot q(x)) = \deg(p(x)) + \deg(q(x)).$$

This is not well-defined unless R is an integral domain: $\mathbb{R}[x]$ to $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}[x]$ shows this.

Example. The ring of *Laurent polynomials* is given by $R[x, x^{-1}]$. \diamond

Example. The **ring of power series** in x is given by

$$R[[x]] := \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i x^i \mid a_i \in R \right\},$$

so we allow infinite sums. If one considers $1 - x \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, it does not have an inverse in $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$. However, in $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ one has the (formal) geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1 - x} = \sum_{i \geq 0} x^i$$

as an inverse. \diamond

Definition 1.5 (Principal Ideal). A (left/right/two-sided) **principal ideal** of a ring R is a subset $Ra/aR/RaR$ for some $a \in R$ defined by

$$Ra := \{ra \mid r \in R\}.$$

Exercise. Principal ideals are ideals.

Remark. If R is commutative, all these notions collapse to one and one writes $\langle a \rangle$ for the ideal generated by a .

Example. We already know many principal ideals, e.g. $\langle 2 \rangle$ in $2\mathbb{Z}$ or $\langle n \rangle$ in $n\mathbb{Z}$. In \mathbb{Z} , every ideal is principal. For any ring, $\langle 0 \rangle$ and $\langle 1 \rangle$ are principal ideals. In polynomial rings, we always have principal ideals in the form of powers of x , e.g. $\langle x \rangle$, $\langle x^2 \rangle$, or $\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$. In $R[x, y]$, $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a principal ideal. \diamond

1.2 Modules

The idea is to generalize the idea of vector spaces, which are over fields, to something defined over rings.

Definition 1.6 (Module). A **left R -module** (module over R) is an abelian group M together with a map

$$\begin{aligned} R \times M &\rightarrow M \\ (r, m) &\mapsto r \cdot m \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

1. $r(m + n) = rm + rn$
2. $(r + s)m = rm + sm$
3. $(rs)m = r(sm)$
4. $1_R \cdot m = m$

Right modules are defined analogously.

Exercise. There are several statements easy to prove:

- $\forall m \in M : 0 \cdot m = 0_M$
- $(-1) \cdot m = -m$

Theorem 1.7 (Abelian groups as module). Every abelian group is a \mathbb{Z} -module in exactly one way.

Proof. \mathbb{Z} is initial, so there is a unique homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ for all unital R . □

This shows that abelian groups are nothing but \mathbb{Z} -modules (or, abstractly, \mathbb{Z} -vector spaces). $\text{End}(\mathbf{AGrp})$ is a ring and we have an action of \mathbb{Z} on any abelian groups by endomorphisms.

Example. Every ring R is a (left) R -module over itself. Furthermore, every (left) ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is a (left) R -module. Of course, there is also the trivial module $M = \{0\}$. ◇

If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is a left ideal, R/\mathcal{I} is not a ring.

Exercise. If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is a left ideal, R/\mathcal{I} is a left module.

Submodules

Definition 1.8 (Submodule). A **submodule** N of a left R -module M is a subgroup preserved by the action of R , i.e.

$$\forall r \in R \forall n \in N : rn \in N.$$

Note. The (left) ideals of R are the left submodules of R viewing R as a module over itself.

Definition 1.9 (Simple Module). A module M is **simple** if its only submodules are M and $\{0\}$.

Module Homomorphisms

Definition 1.10 (Module Homomorphism). An R -**module homomorphism** is a homomorphism of abelian groups compatible with the R -module structure: If M, N are R -modules and $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism, then

1. $\forall m_1, m_2 \in M : \varphi(m_1 + m_2) = \varphi(m_1) + \varphi(m_2)$
2. $\forall r \in R, \forall m \in M : \varphi(rm) = r\varphi(m)$.

Theorem 1.11 (Kernel and Image are Subs). Let φ be an R -mod homomorphism. Both $\ker \varphi$ and $\text{im } \varphi$ are submodules.

1.2.1 Lecture 22.10.25

Definition 1.12 (Center). Let R be a ring. The **center** of R is defined as

$$Z(R) := \{x \in R \mid \forall r \in R : xr = rx\}.$$

Exercise. Let M be an R -module and $r \in Z(R)$, then

$$rM := \{r \cdot m \mid m \in M\}$$

is a submodule. If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is any left ideal of R , then $\mathcal{I}M$ is a submodule of M .

Proposition 1.13 (Submodules are normal). Let $N \subseteq M$ be a submodule. Then, N is a normal subgroup of M viewed as abelian groups.

Remark. This tells us that M/N is an abelian group. We want to give it some R -mod structure as follows: Consider the canonical projection $\pi : M \rightarrow M/N$ with $\pi(m) = m + N$. We have

$$r \cdot (m + N) = r \cdot \pi(m) = \pi(r \cdot m) = r \cdot m + N,$$

hence we define $r \cdot (m + N) = r \cdot m + N$. This is closed under addition.

Proposition 1.14 (Quotient Submodule). Let M be an R -module and $N \subseteq M$ be a submodule. Then, M/N is also an R -module.

Proposition 1.15 (Quotient Ideal). Suppose $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is a two-sided ideal. Then, \mathcal{I} , R and R/\mathcal{I} are all R -modules.

Theorem 1.16 (Universal Property of Quotient Modules). Let M be an R -module and $N \subseteq M$ be a submodule. Then for every R -module homomorphism

$$\varphi : M \rightarrow P$$

such that $N \subseteq \ker \varphi$, there exists a unique R -mod homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M/N \\ \varphi \downarrow & \swarrow \exists! \tilde{\varphi} & \\ P & & \end{array}$$

Proof. Define

$$\tilde{\varphi} : M/N \rightarrow P$$

by $\tilde{\varphi}(m+N) := \varphi(m)$. We have to check that it is a R -mod homomorphism, well-defined and unique. \square

Theorem 1.17 (Homomorphism Theorem for Rings). Every R -module homomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow P$ can be decomposed as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P \\ \downarrow \pi & & \uparrow \iota \\ M/\ker(\varphi) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \text{im}(\varphi) \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism induced by the universal property.

Proof. $\tilde{\varphi}$ with $\text{im}(\varphi)$ as target and $\ker(\varphi)$ as the quotient, show it is iso. \square

Corollary 1.18. Suppose $\varphi : M \rightarrow P$ is a surjective R -module homomorphism. Then

$$P \cong M/\ker(\varphi).$$

Left-Right Confusion

We denote left R -modules by ${}_R M$ and right modules by M_R .

Remark. Every right R -module M_R can be considered as a left R^{op} -module ${}_{R^{\text{op}}} M$ by the opposite multiplication

$$\mu^{\text{op}} : R^{\text{op}} \times M \rightarrow M$$

with $\mu^{\text{op}}(r, m) = m \cdot r$. Equivalently, ${}_R M \cong M_{R^{\text{op}}}$.

Lemma 1.19. Let R be a commutative ring. Then every left module is naturally a right module, and vice versa.

Definition 1.20 (Bimodule). Let R, S be not necessarily distinct rings. An $R - S$ bimodule ${}_R M_S$ is an abelian group M that is a left R -module and a right S module such that

$$\forall r \in R, s \in S, m \in M : (r \cdot m) \cdot s = r \cdot (m \cdot s).$$

Definition 1.21 (Generated Submodule). Let M be an R -module and $A \subseteq M$ be a subset. Then

$$\langle A \rangle := \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} r_i a_i \mid r_i \in R, a_i \in A, \text{ only finitely many } a_i r_i \neq 0 \right\}$$

denotes the submodule generated by A .

Remark. We also have

$$\langle A \rangle = \bigcap_{U_i \subseteq M} U_i.$$

where each U_i is a submodule containing A , so $\langle A \rangle$ is the smallest submodule containing A .

Definition 1.22 (Generators and Cyclicity). Let M be an R -module and $A \subseteq M$.

- If $M = \langle A \rangle$, A is the **generating set** of M .
- If A generates M and is finite, M is called **finitely generated**.
- A module M is **cyclic** if it admits a generating set with a single element.

Exercise. Show that the cyclic groups are all cyclic \mathbb{Z} -modules.

Definition 1.23 (Annihilator). Let M be an R -module. The **annihilator** of a subset $U \subseteq M$ is given by

$$\text{Ann}_R(U) := \{r \in R \mid \forall u \in U : r \cdot u = 0\}.$$

If M is a left R -module, the annihilator of some $U \subseteq M$ is a left ideal of R . For a single $x \in M$, we write

$$\text{Ann}_R(x) := \{r \in R \mid r \cdot x = 0\}.$$

Corollary 1.24. There is an isomorphism of left R -modules

$$R/\text{Ann}(x) \rightarrow Rx.$$

Proposition 1.25. If $U \subseteq M$ is a submodule, then $\text{Ann}(U)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

Algebras

Definition 1.26 (Associative Algebra). Let R be a commutative ring. An **associative R -algebra** is an R -module A with the structure of an associative *but not necessarily unital* ring, such that ring addition agrees with module addition

$$\underbrace{a_1 + a_2}_{\text{algebra}} := \underbrace{a_1 + a_2}_{\text{module}}$$

and satisfies

$$\lambda(m \cdot n) = (\lambda m) \cdot n = m \cdot (\lambda n)$$

for $\lambda \in R$ and $m, n \in A$. If there is a unit, we call A **unital**.

Definition 1.27 (Group Ring). Let G be a group and K be a commutative ring. The **group ring** $K[G]$ is the abelian group of maps

$$f : G \rightarrow K$$

that vanish on all but finitely many elements of G .

Note. Elements of $K[G]$ can be expressed uniquely as linear combinations

$$f = \sum_{g \in G} f_g \delta_g,$$

where $f_g \in K$ and δ_g is the map $g \mapsto 1 \in K$. This is often written as $f = \sum_g f(g)g$ for $f(g) \in K$. The multiplication is given by convolution:

$$\left(\sum_g a_g g \right) * \left(\sum_h b_h h \right) = \sum_{x \in G} \left(\sum_{g, h \in G, g \cdot h = x} a_g b_h \right) x.$$

We obtain the identity $\delta_g * \delta_h = \delta_{gh}$.

Exercise. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_3$ represented by $\langle a \mid a^3 = 1 \rangle$. Choose $K = \mathbb{C}$. $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_3]$ has elements

$$p = z_0 1 + z_1 a + z_2 a^2.$$

Show that

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_3] = \mathbb{C}[a]/\langle a^3 - 1 \rangle.$$

Definition 1.28 (Representation). A **representation** of a group G is a pair (V, ρ) where V is a \mathbb{K} -vector space, and ρ is a group homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V) := \{\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid \varphi \text{ invertible}\}.$$

Remark. Given a G -representation (V, ρ) then the map

$$\begin{aligned} G \times V &\rightarrow V \\ (g, v) &\mapsto \rho(g)v \end{aligned}$$

defines a module action for the ring $K[G]$.

Given (V, ρ) , can one find a $K[G]$ -module? Yes, since we can define

$$\sum_g (\lambda_g \delta_g) v := \sum_g \lambda_g \rho(g)(v),$$

which is a $K[G]$ -module structure on V , given a representation. We have

$$\{G - \text{representations}\} \cong \{K[G] - \text{modules}\}.$$

1.2.2 Lecture 24.10.25

Direct Products and Sums

Exercise. Let M, N be R -modules.

1. Show that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ forms an abelian group.
2. Show that any R -module M is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R, M)$ as R -modules.

Definition 1.29 (Direct Product in AGrp). Let $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of abelian groups. The **direct product**

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i$$

is an abelian group with the induced group structure

$$(a_i)_{i \in I} + (b_i)_{i \in I} := (a_i + b_i)_{i \in I}.$$

Definition 1.30 (Direct Product and Sum in $R - \text{mod}$). Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of R -modules.

- The **direct product**

$$\prod_{i \in I} M_i$$

of $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is the abelian group $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i, +)$ together with the R -module structure

$$r \cdot (m_i)_{i \in I} := (rm_i)_{i \in I}.$$

- The **direct sum**

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$$

of the family $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is the submodule of $\prod_i M_i$ given by

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i := \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \mid \text{only finitely many } m_i \neq 0\} \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} M_i.$$

Remark. For a finite index set I , these notions coincide. The direct product comes with **canonical projections**

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j : \prod_{i \in I} M_i &\rightarrow M_j \\ (m_i)_{i \in I} &\mapsto m_j, \end{aligned}$$

and **canonical injections**

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_j : M_j &\rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i \\ m &\mapsto (0, \dots, m, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.31 (Universal Property of Products and Sums). Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of R -modules.

- The direct product has the following universal property: For each family of R -module homomorphisms $(f_i : N \rightarrow M_i)_{i \in I}$, there exists a unique R -module homomorphism

$$f : N \rightarrow \prod M_i$$

such that for all $j \in I$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{\exists! f} & \prod M_i \\ \downarrow f_j & \swarrow \pi_j & \\ M_j & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

- The direct sum has the following universal property: For each family $(g_i : M_i \rightarrow N)_{i \in I}$ of R -module homomorphisms there is a unique R -module homomorphism

$$g : \bigoplus M_i \rightarrow N$$

such that for all $j \in I$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_j & \\ \swarrow \iota_j & \downarrow g_j & \\ \bigoplus M_i & \xrightarrow{\exists! g} & N \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. 1. We define $f : N \rightarrow \prod M_i$ by

$$f(x) := (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots)$$

and check that it satisfies all needed properties.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & M_1 & \\ & & f_1 & \nearrow \pi_1 & \\ N & \xrightarrow{\exists! f} & M_1 \oplus M_2 & & \\ & & f_2 & \searrow \pi_2 & \\ & & & M_2 & \end{array}$$

Add commutative diagram, correct upper one

2. We define $g : \bigoplus M_i \rightarrow N$ by

$$g((m_1, \dots, m_n)) = g_1(m_1) + \dots + g_n(m_n).$$

□

Theorem 1.32 (Isomorphism of Hom-Products and -Sums). Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of R -modules and N be an R -module. Then, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(N, \prod_{i \in I} M_i) &\rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(N, M_i) \\ f &\mapsto (\pi_i f)_{i \in I} \\ \text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, N) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(M_i, N) \\ g &\mapsto (g \iota_i)_{i \in I} \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms of *abelian groups*.

Exercise. Prove or disprove:

1. If $M \neq \{0\}$ is an R -module, then $M \not\cong M \oplus M$.
2. Let R be a ring, ${}_R M$ an R -module and $p : {}_R M \rightarrow {}_R M$ an R -module homomorphism satisfying $p^2 = p$. Then

$$M \cong \ker(p) \oplus \text{im}(p).$$

Tensor Products

Definition 1.33 (Balanced Maps). Let R be a ring, M_R and ${}_R N$ be R -modules and A be an abelian group. A map

$$\beta : M \times N \rightarrow A$$

is called **R -balanced** if it satisfies:

1. \mathbb{Z} -bilinearity:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(m + m', n) &= \beta(m, n) + \beta(m', n) \\ \beta(m, n + n') &= \beta(m, n) + \beta(m, n') \end{aligned}$$

2. Invariance: $\beta(m \cdot r, n) = \beta(m, r \cdot n)$

Definition 1.34 (Tensor Product of R -mods). Let R be a ring and $M_R, {}_R N$ be R -modules. The **tensor product over R** is the abelian group $(M \otimes_R N)$ generated by pairs $m \otimes n$ for $m \in M, n \in N$ such that:

1. $0 \otimes n = m \otimes 0 = 0$
2. It is \mathbb{Z} -bilinear.
3. Invariance: $(m \cdot r) \otimes_R n = m \otimes_R (r \cdot n)$

Lemma 1.35. Let R be a ring and $M_R, (M_i)_R, {}_R N$ be (a family of) R -modules. Then, there are distinguished isomorphisms:

1. $\{0\}$ be the trivial module. Then,

$$\{0\} \otimes_R N \cong M \otimes_R \{0\} \cong \{0\}.$$

2. $R \otimes_R N \cong N$ and $M \otimes_R R \cong M$ as abelian groups.
- 3.

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} (M_i \otimes_R N_i) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \otimes N \right)$$

and equivalently in the second argument.

4. Suppose R, S are rings and ${}_S Q, {}_R P_S$ are modules. Then:
 - (a) $M \otimes_R P$ is a right S -module.
 - (b) $P \otimes_S Q$ is a left R -module.
 - (c) $(M \otimes_R P) \otimes_S Q \cong M \otimes_R (P \otimes_S Q)$ as abelian groups.

Example. 1. Let R be a commutative ring. Then, $R[x] \otimes R[y] \cong R[x, y]$ as abelian groups.

2. $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(m, n)\mathbb{Z}$.

◇

If R is a commutative ring, we can define the tensor product of ${}_R M$ and ${}_R N$ by first considering ${}_R M$ as a right module (or, in fact, a bimodule).

Proposition 1.36. If R is a commutative ring and ${}_R M, {}_R N$ are as above, then

$$r \cdot (m \otimes n) := (r \cdot m) \otimes n = m \otimes (r \cdot n)$$

defines an R -mod structure on $M \otimes_R N$.

If R is commutative, $(M \otimes N) \times P \cong M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R P)$ as left R -modules.