

# Quantitative Finance and Computational Statistics: Selfstudy 2

O. Sauri

March 30, 2021

In this selfstudy session we will concentrate on the risk-neutral Heston model, i.e. under the risk-neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dS_t}{S_t} &= rdt + \sqrt{V_t} \left( \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dB_t^{(1)} + \rho dB_t^{(2)} \right), \quad S_0 \geq 0. \\ dV_t &= (\alpha - \lambda V_t)dt + \sigma_V \sqrt{V_t} dB_t^{(2)}, \quad V_0 > 0, \lambda, \alpha, \sigma_V \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

with  $|\rho| \leq 1$ ,  $2\alpha > \sigma_V^2$ , and  $B^{(1)}$  and  $B^{(2)}$  two independent  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motions. In what follows, we set the parameters to

$$r = 0, \alpha = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, \sigma_V = 0.4, \rho = -0.7, S_0 = 1, V_0 = 0.3.$$

*Exercise 1.* In this exercise we will focus on the price-function associated to a call option with maturity time  $T > 0$  and strike price  $K > 0$ , i.e. on the function

$$F(t, x, v) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left( (K - S_T)^+ \mid S_t = x, V_t = v \right).$$

1. Fix  $n, m, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $0 \leq x_{\min} < x_{\max}$  and  $0 \leq v_{\min} \leq v_{\max}$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, q$  put

$$t_i = \Delta_n^{time} i; \quad x_j = x_{\min} + j \Delta_m^{price}; \quad v_k = v_{\min} + \Delta_q^{vol} k.$$

where  $\Delta_n^{time} = \frac{T}{n}$ ,  $\Delta_m^{price} = \frac{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}{m}$ , and  $\Delta_q^{vol} = \frac{v_{\max} - v_{\min}}{q}$ . Using a Monte Carlo approach, write a code that approximates  $F(t_i, x_j, v_k)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, q$ .

2. Implement your previous code in the case when

$$(n, m, q, x_{\min}, x_{\max}, v_{\min}, v_{\max}, T, K) = (100, 10, 10, 0, 10, 0, 10, 1, 1).$$

Remember that the more MC repetitions, the better the approximation becomes.

3. Use the Feynman-Kac Formula to show that the function  $G(\tau, x, v) := F(T - \tau, x, v)$  satisfies the following PDE

$$-\partial_{\tau} G + rx \partial_x G + (\alpha - \lambda v) \partial_v G + \frac{1}{2} x^2 v \partial_{xx} G + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_V^2 v \partial_{vv} G + \rho \sigma_V v x \partial_{xv} G - rG = 0.$$

with initial condition  $G(0, x, v) = (x - K)^+$ .

4. Use that:

$$\begin{aligned}F(t, 0, v) &= 0; \\ \frac{F(t, x, v)}{x} &\rightarrow 1, \text{ as } x \uparrow +\infty;\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and that for all  $(t, x)$  the mapping  $v \mapsto F(t, x, v)$  is increasing and

$$(x - e^{-r(T-t)} K)^+ \leq F(t, x, v) \leq x,$$

to impose conditions on the behavior of  $G(\tau, 0, v)$ ,  $G(\tau, x_{\max}, v)$ ,  $G(\tau, x, 0)$  and  $G(\tau, x, v_{\max})$ .

5. Use the approximations (or finite differences)

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)}{\Delta} + o(\Delta), \\ f'(x) &= \frac{f(x+\Delta) - f(x-\Delta)}{2\Delta} + o(\Delta^2), \\ f''(x) &= \frac{f(x+\Delta) - 2f(x) + f(x-\Delta)}{\Delta^2} + o(\Delta^2), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

to find an approximation of  $\partial_\tau G$ ,  $\partial_x G$ ,  $\partial_v G$ ,  $\partial_{xx} G$ ,  $\partial_{vv} G$  and  $\partial_{xv} G$  on the mesh described in 1. in order to create a recursive equation that approximates  $G$  in such a mesh. What you should be particularly aware of for this recursive equation?

6. Use the boundary conditions proposed in 4. to implement the finite-difference algorithm developed in 5. in order to approximate  $F$  via  $G$  in the case when

$$(n, m, q, x_l, s_u, v_l, v_u) = (100, 10, 10, 0, 10, 0, 10).$$

7. Plot together your approximations of  $F$  obtained in 2. and 6. as functions of  $x, v$  and  $t$ , respectively.
8. Use the put-call parity to approximate the price function of a put option with maturity time  $T > 0$  and strike price  $K > 0$  using your approximations obtained for  $F$  in the previous part.

*Exercise 2.* In this exercise we will use the realized volatility and the spot volatility estimators seen in class to estimate the integrate volatility

$$IV_t = \int_0^t V_s ds, \text{ and } V_t, \quad t \leq T.$$

1. Simulate a path of  $(X_t := \log(S_t), V_t)$  via the Euler scheme for  $\Delta'_n = 1/100000$ .
2. Use your simulations and a Riemann-sum approximation to simulate  $IV_t$ .
3. From your simulated path, pick a subsample of  $X$  for  $\Delta_n = 1/100, 1/1000, 1/1000, 1/100000$  and use

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_t &:= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor t/\Delta_n \rfloor} (\Delta_i^n X)^2, \\ \hat{\sigma}_t^2 &:= \frac{1}{k_n \Delta_n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} (\Delta_{j+i}^n X)^2, \quad (j-1)\Delta_n < t \leq j\Delta_n, \end{aligned}$$

while for  $T \geq t > (n - k_n)\Delta_n$   $\hat{\sigma}_t^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{(n-k_n)\Delta_n}^2$ , to estimate, respectively,  $IV$  and  $V$ . Plot your estimates with their respectively confidence intervals.