# Logic in Computer Science - Assignment 4

# Exercise 3.2.2

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

We have a formula  $\phi = G a$ .

i

We find the following path that satisfies  $\phi$ 

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_4 \rightarrow q_3 \rightarrow q_4 \rightarrow \dots$$

ii

It does not hold for all paths as

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

does not contain a in every node.

b

We have a formula  $\phi = a U b$ .

i

The following path satisfies  $\phi$ 

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

ii

It does not as we can pick a path

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

where a does not hold in  $q_1$  and b does not hold either.

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

We have a formula  $\phi = a U X (a \land \neg b)$ .

i

The following path satisfies  $\phi$ 

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_4 \rightarrow q_3 \rightarrow q_4 \rightarrow \dots$$

#### ii

It does **not** hold as we can go to either  $q_1$  or  $q_2$  and the path will never contain  $X(a \land \neg b)$ .

# $\mathbf{d}$

We have a formula  $\phi = X \neg b \wedge G(\neg a \vee \neg b)$ .

i

The following path satisfies  $\phi$ 

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

#### ii

It does **not** hold as we can pick the path

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

which will never contain  $X \neg b$ .

 $\mathbf{e}$ 

We have a formula  $\phi = X(a \wedge b) \wedge F(\neg a \wedge \neg b)$ .

i

The following path satisfies  $\phi$ 

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_4 \rightarrow q_3 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

ii

It does **not** hold as we can pick a path

$$q_3 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

And it will neither contain  $X(a \wedge b)$  or  $F(\neg a \wedge \neg b)$  and both are required.

# Exercise 2.3.7

To prove the equivalence  $\phi W \psi \wedge F \psi \equiv \phi U \psi$  we suppose there exists a path that satisfies  $\phi W \psi \wedge F \psi$ . Then, from clause 5, we know that

$$\pi \models F \, \psi \tag{1}$$

$$\pi \models \phi \, W \, \psi \tag{2}$$

Using (1) with clause 10, we have that for some  $i \geq 1$  that  $\pi^i \models \psi$ .

Since the above applies, this means using (2) with clause 12 we have that for some  $i \ge 1$  we have  $\pi^i \models \psi$  and for j = 1, ..., i - 1 we have  $\pi^j \models \phi$ .

Combining what we have found above, we can use clause 11 to show  $\pi \models \phi U \psi$  as the two conditions needed is what we have found above.

# **PCP** Exercise

#### Exercise 1

Qed.

ProofWeb has been used for this task. The code can be seen below and it is also uploaded separately in a .txt file for raw text.

Require Import ProofWeb.

```
Variable P : D * D \rightarrow Prop.
Variable f0 f1 : D -> D.
Variable e : D.
Theorem PCP_ex_simp_b :
(P(f1 e,f1(f0(f1 e))) /\
P(f0(f1 e), f0(f0 e)) /\
P(f1(f1(f0 e)),f1(f1 e)))
->
((all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f1 v,f1(f0(f1 w))))) /\
 (all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f0(f1 v), f0(f0 w)))) / 
 (all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f1(f1(f0 v)),f1(f1 w))))
->
exi z, P(z,z).
Proof.
imp_i H1.
imp_i H2.
insert C1 ((all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f0(f1 v), f0(f0 w)))) /
             (all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f1(f1(f0 v)),f1(f1 w))))).
f_con_e2 H2.
exi_i (f1(f1(f0(f1(f1(f1(f0(f1 e)))))))))
imp_e (P(f0(f1(f1(f1(f0(f1 e))))), (f0(f0(f1(f1(f1(f0(f1 e)))))))).
all_e (all w, (P(f0(f1(f1(f1(f0(f1 e))))), w) ->
                 P(f1(f1(f0(f1(f1(f1(f1(f0(f1 e)))))))), f1(f1 w)))).
all_e (all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f1(f1(f0 v)),f1(f1 w)))).
f_con_e2 C1.
imp_e (P((f1(f1(f0(f1 e)))), (f1(f1(f1(f0(f1 e))))))).
{\tt all\_e \ (all \ w, \ (P((f1(f1(f0(f1\ e)))),\ w) \ -> \ P(f0(f1(f1(f1(f0(f1\ e))))),\ f0(f0\ w))))}.
all_e (all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f0(f1 v), f0(f0 w)))).
f_con_e1 C1.
imp_e (P((f1 e), (f1(f0(f1 e))))).
all_e (all w, (P((f1 e), w) \rightarrow P(f1(f1(f0((f1 e)))), f1(f1 w)))).
all_e (all v, all w, (P(v,w) \rightarrow P(f1(f1(f0 v)),f1(f1 w)))).
f_con_e2 C1.
f_con_e1 H1.
```

# Exercise 2

We want to find the predicate logic for the PCP instance

This means we write, from [H&R, page 134],  $\psi = \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_3$  where we find  $\phi_1$  to

$$\phi_1 \stackrel{def}{=} \bigwedge_{i=1}^k P(f_{s_i}(e), f_{t_i}(e))$$

$$= (P(f_{001}(e), f_0(e)) \land P(f_{01}(e), f_{011}(e)) \land P(f_{01}(e), f_{101}(e)) \land P(f_{10}(e), f_{001}(e)))$$

And  $\phi_2$  is found to be

$$\phi_{2} \stackrel{def}{=} \forall v \forall w (P(v, w) \to \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} P(f_{s_{i}}(v), f_{t_{i}}(w)))$$

$$= \forall v \forall w (P(v, w) \to (P(f_{001}(v), f_{0}(w)) \land P(f_{01}(v), f_{011}(w)) \land P(f_{01}(v), f_{001}(w)))$$

$$\land P(f_{10}(v), f_{001}(w)))$$

And lastly  $\phi_3$  is defined to be

$$\phi_3 \stackrel{def}{=} \exists z P(z, z)$$

Which defines our predicate logic formula  $\psi$ .