

# Approximation Algorithms

Sometimes we have problems that cannot be solved optimally efficiently - polynomial time. But in practice, it is often good enough to have a near-optimal solution, which is what approximation algorithms do. We say they have an approximation ratio  $\rho(n)$  if

$$\max\left(\frac{C}{C^*}, \frac{C^*}{C}\right) \leq \rho(n)$$

There are also approximation schemes, which are algorithms that take an input  $\varepsilon$ , so that the scheme is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm. We say the scheme is fully polynomial if it is polynomial in both  $1/\varepsilon$  and  $n$ .

Consider the optimization problem of the NP-complete optimization problem Vertex cover. The algorithm takes an arbitrary edge and add both endpoints to  $C$ , and does this until all edges are covered. We show it is a polynomial time 2-approximation algorithm:

It is polynomial since it checks at most all edges once removes edges from the corresponding vertices. It runs in  $\mathcal{O}(V + E)$  if we use adjacency lists. When we pick an edge to put in  $A$ , at least one of the vertices must be in the optimal solution  $C^*$ . No two edges in  $A$  are covered by the same vertex. We have

$$|A| \leq |C^*|$$

Since the number of vertices in the produced solution  $C$  is exactly  $2|A|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C| &= 2|A| \\ &\leq 2|C^*| \end{aligned}$$

Another problem is the traveling salesman problem where no efficient solution exist (a hamiltonian cycle with minimum cost). We show there is a 2-approximation algorithm when it is a complete undirected graph and the cost function  $c$  in TSP satisfies the triangle inequality, that is

$$c(u, w) \leq c(u, v) + c(v, w)$$

It works by generating a minimum spanning tree and listing the nodes in order when they are visited in a preorder tree walk, and this hamiltonian circle is returned. It is clearly polynomial running time ( $\mathcal{O}(|E| \lg |V|)$  with binary heaps and adjacency list -  $\mathcal{O}(|E| + |V| \lg |V|)$  with fib heaps).

Proof: The spanning tree  $T$  provides a lower bound for the cost of an optimal tour  $H^*$ , so

$$c(T) \leq c(H^*)$$

Now let us consider the walk  $W$ . Every vertex is visited twice, so

$$c(W) = 2c(T)$$

Which we can simply substitute, so

$$c(W) \leq 2c(H^*)$$

The solution produced is just the walk between each vertex (visit only once), but when the triangle inequality we can deduce  $c(H) \leq c(W)$  and therefore  $c(H) \leq 2c(H^*)$ .

There is no  $\rho(n)$  approximation algorithm as it prove  $P = NP$  by making a complete undirected graph, the cost function  $c(u, v) = 1$  when  $(u, v) \in E$  and  $c(u, v) = \rho(|V|) + 1$  if not, and then we could find a hamiltonian cycle.