

For these exercises, it will be helpful to review the notes on [Linear Classifiers](#) and the [Perceptron](#). You may also find it helpful to write some test code with a local python installation or in a [google colab notebook](#).

1) Classification

Consider a linear classifier through the origin in 4 dimensions, specified by

$$\theta = (1, -1, 2, -3)$$

Which of the following points x are classified as positive, i.e. $h(x; \theta) = +1$?

1. $(1, -1, 2, -3)$
2. $(1, 2, 3, 4)$
3. $(-1, -1, -1, -1)$
4. $(1, 1, 1, 1)$

Enter a Python list with a subset of the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4:

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: [1, 3]

Explanation:

In a classification problem, a point is considered positive if $\text{sign}(\theta \cdot x)$ is positive and otherwise negative. Note that $\theta \cdot x$ is equal to

$$\sum_i x_i \theta_i$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\theta \cdot x_1 = (1, -1, 2, -3) \cdot (1, -1, 2, -3) = 15$$

So the first point x_1 is classified positively. Similar computations show that x_3 classified positively.

2) Classifier vs Hyperplane

Consider another parameter vector

$$\theta' = (-1, 1, -2, 3)$$

Ex2a

Does θ' represent the same hyperplane as θ does?

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: yes

Explanation:

Note that the hyperplane defined by a norm vector θ is the set of points x such that $\theta \cdot x = 0$. Now, θ' determines the same hyperplane as θ . This is because a hyperplane H is defined as the set of points x such that $x \cdot \theta$ is equal to 0 (x is perpendicular to θ) for some arbitrary θ . For our specific θ in this problem, note that

$$\theta \cdot x = 0 = -\theta \cdot x$$

Which shows that the set of points perpendicular to $-\theta$ is equivalent to the points perpendicular to θ .

Ex2b

Does θ' represent the same classifier as θ does?

Submit

View Answer

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

3) Linearly Separable Training

As [discussed in lecture and in the lecture notes](#), note that $E_n(\theta, \theta_0)$ refers to the training error of the linear classifier specified by θ, θ_0 , and $E(\theta, \theta_0)$ refers to its test error. What does the fact that the training data are *linearly separable* imply?

Select "yes" or "no" for each of the following statements:

Ex3a

There must exist θ, θ_0 such that $E(\theta, \theta_0) = 0$

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: no

Explanation:

There doesn't necessarily exist a hyperplane such that $E(\theta, \theta_0) = 0$ since just because the training data is separable by a specific hyperplane doesn't mean the entire underlying data distribution will be separable by a hyperplane

Ex3b

There must exist θ, θ_0 such that $E_n(\theta, \theta_0) = 0$

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: yes

Explanation:

There necessarily exist a hyperplane such that $E_n(\theta, \theta_0) = 0$ by definition since if the training data is linearly separable, then error of the linear separating separator on the training data will be 0.

Ex3c

A separator with 0 training error exists

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: yes

Explanation:

Since the data is separable, the corresponding separator will get 0 on the training data. Also note that the problem is the same as "There must exist θ, θ_0 such that $E_n(\theta, \theta_0) = 0$ ".

Ex3d

A separator with 0 testing error exists, for all possible test sets

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: no

Explanation:

Just because the training data are (linearly) separable doesn't guarantee anything about our test data. It is possible that the test data are not linearly separable.

Ex3e

The perceptron algorithm will find θ, θ_0 such that $E_n(\theta, \theta_0) = 0$

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: yes

Explanation:

If the data is linearly separable, then perceptron will find a separator.

4) Separable Through Origin?

Provide two points, (x_0, x_1) and (y_0, y_1) in two dimensions that are linearly separable but not linearly separable through the origin. If you get stuck try drawing a picture and review the notes on [offsets](#).

Enter a Python list with two entries of the form `[[x0, x1], label]` where label is 1 or -1. (So each entry represents a point with 2 dimensions and its label)

100.00%

You have infinitely many submissions remaining.

Solution: `[[[1, 1], 1], [[2, 2], -1]]`

Explanation:

There are many possible answers for this question. In the provided solution, `[[[1, 1], 1], [[2, 2], -1]]`, the points are linearly separable, but not through the origin -- try drawing the points.