

Foundations of Data Science & Machine Learning

Tutotial 02

March 5, 2021

Question 1. Rewrite the perceptron learning algorithm so that it works directly on any two sets of points G and B which are separated by a hyperplane (not necessarily passing through the origin). Give an upper bound on the number of updates in terms of parameters like R and δ of the G and B .

Algorithm 1 Perceptron Learning Algorithm (General Hyperplane)

Input: Two finite sets $G, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ which are linearly separable by a hyperplane (not necessarily passing through the origin).

Output: $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in G$, $\langle a, x \rangle > b$ and for all $x \in B$, $\langle a, x \rangle < b$.

```
a ← 0, b ← 0
repeat
    for all  $x \in G$  do
        if  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq b$  then
            a ← a + x
            b ← b - 1
        end if
    end for
    for all  $x \in B$  do
        if  $\langle a, x \rangle \geq b$  then
            a ← a - x
            b ← b + 1
        end if
    end for
until no updates
```

The above algorithm goes through exactly the same steps as the PLA with 1 padded to each data vector and treating b as $-a_{n+1}$. Hence this new algorithm will terminate in at most $4R^2/\delta^2$ steps where

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 &= \max\{\|(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1)\|^2 : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G \cup B\} \\ &= \max\{\|x\|^2 : x \in G \cup B\} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

and δ is the minimum distance between the convex hulls of G and B (since it is not affected by the padding).

Definition 1. A function $K : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *kernel* if there exists an inner product space V and a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, K(x, y) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle.$$

Question 2. Show that the function $K : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$K(x, y) = (1 + \langle x, y \rangle)^d$$

is a kernel for every degree $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

By considering the constant embedding $\phi(x) = \sqrt{c}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to \mathbb{R}^1 , we can see that the constant function $K(x, y) = c, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a kernel. By considering the identity embedding $\phi(x) = x$, once can see that $K(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle$ is also a kernel.

Let K_1 and K_2 be two kernels. Since they are kernels, by definition, there exists two embeddings ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 which gives K_1 and K_2 . We will only prove it rigorously for the case when the co-domains of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are finite dimensional. Let the codomains be \mathbb{R}^k and \mathbb{R}^l respectively. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(x) &= (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x)) \text{ and} \\ \phi_2(x) &= (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_l(x)),\end{aligned}$$

where f_i 's and g_i 's are arbitrary functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} .

We will show that $K_1 + K_2$ is a kernel. Consider the embedding $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k+l}$ given by

$$\phi(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x), g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_l(x)).$$

It is easy to verify that $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle &= \langle \phi_1(x), \phi_1(y) \rangle + \langle \phi_2(x), \phi_2(y) \rangle \\ &= K_1(x, y) + K_2(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

and hence $K_1 + K_2$ is a kernel.

We will show that $K_1 K_2$ is a kernel. Consider the embedding $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{kl}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= (f_1(x)g_1(x), f_1(x)g_2(x), \dots, f_1(x)g_l(x), \\ &\quad f_2(x)g_1(x), f_2(x)g_2(x), \dots, f_2(x)g_l(x), \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\quad f_k(x)g_1(x), f_k(x)g_2(x), \dots, f_k(x)g_l(x))\end{aligned}$$

One can verify by expansion that

$$\begin{aligned}K_1(x, y)K_2(x, y) &= \langle \phi_1(x), \phi_1(y) \rangle \langle \phi_2(x), \phi_2(y) \rangle \\ &= (f_1(x)f_1(y) + f_2(x)f_2(y) + \dots + f_k(x)f_k(y)) \times \\ &\quad (g_1(x)g_1(y) + g_2(x)g_2(y) + \dots + g_l(x)g_l(y)) \\ &= \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

When the codomains of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 (say V and W respectively) are infinite dimensional, we can still do the $K_1 + K_2$ proof by considering ϕ as an embedding to the *direct sum* $V \oplus W$. The $K_1 K_2$ proof is more complicated to extend and we need to consider ϕ as an embedding to the tensor product $V \otimes W$.

To complete the answer, just note that $(1 + \langle x, y \rangle)$ is a Kernel since it is the sum of two kernels and $(1 + \langle x, y \rangle)^d$ can be expressed as a repeated product of kernels.