

CS5014 Foundations of Data Science & Machine Learning

Quiz 04

May 10, 2021 — 8.30 - 9.30 AM

Instructions

1. This is a **zoom proctored** exam. Please adjust your seating so that **your face, hands, answer book and the mobile phone that you will use to scan the sheets are always in the webcam view**. Do not leave your seat or talk to anyone during the exam.
2. Write your answer on plain paper with your **name and roll number on the first sheet**.
3. This is a **closed book** exam. Do not refer to any books, notes, the Internet or any other person during the exam.
4. You can take **maximum 5 minutes after the exam to scan** the sheets into a **single PDF** file and upload to Moodle. Submissions made after 9:35 AM will be evaluated only if there is a genuine reason for the delay.

Questions

Question 1. Let H be the 3-dimensional lattice $[n]^3$ with self loops at all the boundary vertices. All the non-loop edges have weight 1 while the self-loop at a boundary vertex v has weight $6 - d_v$, where d_v is the degree of v . Hence the total weight of all edges incident to any vertex is 6. Find the normalised conductance $\phi(H)$ of H .

Solution. We will ignore rounding errors in this analysis throughout. If you choose S to be the left half vertices of the lattice, i.e., $S = [n/2] \times [n] \times [n]$, we get $E(S, \bar{S}) = n^2$, $w(S) = 6|S| = 3n^3$, and hence $\phi(S, \bar{S}) = n^2/(3n^3) = 1/3n$. Hence $\phi(H) \leq 1/3n$.

Showing that $\phi(H) \geq 1/3n$ rigorously is a bit more tricky. One can do some hand waving and say that any S which maximises $\phi(S, \bar{S})$ will be a rectangular box sticking to a corner of H and then analyse three cases based on how many dimensions of the box are full.

A more rigorous argument can be made as follows. Let S be a subset of $V(H)$ which maximises $\phi(S, \bar{S})$ and size *less than* $n^3/2$. Then there is no vertex v outside S such that it has a total edge weight of 3 or more into $S \cup \{v\}$. Otherwise $S \cup \{v\}$ has a larger ϕ -value than S since the weight of escaping edges did not increase while the weight of the set itself increased by one. Geometrically this means that there are no “concave corners” in S . The same argument can be extended to show that there is no pair of adjacent vertices u and v outside of S such that the total edge weight between $\{u, v\}$ and $S \cup \{u, v\}$ is at least 6. Otherwise $S \cup \{u, v\}$ is better than S . This geometrically means that there are no “concave edges” in S . Putting it together we get that S is convex and being a subset of the lattice, it has to be a rectangular box. It is an easy argument that this rectangular box has to touch a corner of H if it is maximising ϕ . Further, because of the self loops at the boundary of the lattice, a pair of boundary vertices $\{u, v\}$ of the lattice next to an edge of S has a total edge weight of at least 6 between $\{u, v\}$ and $S \cup \{u, v\}$. Hence S has size exactly $n^3/2$. Once the size of S is $n^3/2$ we cannot do the fiddling around by adding more vertices. But we can still remove a vertex from a convex corner of S and add it to a concave corner, remove a line from a convex edge and add it to a concave edge etc. Thus we can always end up with a ϕ -maximising S of size $n^3/2$ which is the left half vertices of H . Thus our upper bound from the previous paragraph is tight.

Question 2. Let C_4 be the cycle on 4-vertices $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Find the hitting time $h(0, 1)$, for the standard random walk on C_4 .

Hint. Search for symmetries.

Warning. It is recommended that you do this question at the end.

Solution. By symmetry $h(i, i+1)$ should be the same for all $i \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$, where addition is modulo 4. Call this common value x . Symmetry again tells you that $\forall i, h(i, i-1) = x$. Similarly $h(i, i+2)$ should be the same for all $i \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$, where addition is modulo 4. Call this common value y . Now consider

$$h(0, 2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + h(1, 2)) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + h(3, 2))$$

$$y = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + x)$$

$$y = 1 + x$$

$$h(1, 2) = \frac{1}{2}1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + h(0, 2))$$

$$x = \frac{1}{2}1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + y)$$

$$x = 1 + y/2$$

Solving the above two equations gives $x = 3$ and $y = 4$. Hence our answer $h(0, 1) = x = 3$.

Question 3. Let A be a real symmetric square matrix. Find a relation between

1. Singular vectors and eigenvectors of A .
2. Singular values and eigenvalues of A .

Prove your answer.

Solution. Since A is real symmetric, it is always diagonalisable and has n eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and n orthonormal eigenvectors e_1, \dots, e_n corresponding to them. Proving this is a typical linear algebra exercise:

For any vector $x = x_1e_1 + \dots + x_ne_n$ in \mathbb{R}^n $y = Ax = \lambda_1x_1e_1 + \dots + \lambda_nx_ne_n$. Hence one of the unit vectors which maximises $\|Ax\|$ is e_1 . That is, e_1 qualifies to be a first singular vector of A with singular value $\sigma_1 = |\lambda_1|$. Any vector x orthogonal to e_1 can be represented as $x = x_2e_2 + \dots + x_ne_n$ and it is easy to see that one of the vectors maximising $\|Ax\|$ from this set is e_2 . Hence e_2 qualifies to be a second singular vector with $\sigma_2 = |\lambda_2|$.

Hence $\forall i \in [n], \sigma_i = |\lambda_i|$. The set of eigenvectors e_1, \dots, e_n of A qualify to be singular vectors of A also. But remember that neither the set of eigenvectors nor the set of singular vectors is unique. Hence we can only say that any set of orthonormal eigenvectors of A will also qualify to be singular vectors of A .