

Foundations of Data Science & Machine Learning

Summary — Week 07
Devansh Singh Rathore
111701011

B.Tech. in Computer Science & Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Palakkad

May 11, 2021

Abstract

[illegible]

1 Uniform Convergence

→ We proved that H is PAC learnable iff VC-dimension of H is finite.

→ What more can one ask for?

1. Can we tolerate a non-zero in-sample error?
2. Can we use combination of simple (small VC-dimension) classifier?
3. Anything between d and $d+1$ for VC-dimension?
4. Boosting

1.1 Non-Zero In-Sample Error

Goal:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{S \sim D^n}[\exists h \in H : |E_D(h, f) - E_S(h, f)| > \epsilon] &\leq \delta \\ &\equiv P_{S \sim D^n}[\cup_{h \in H} C_h] \leq \delta \\ (\text{where } C_h &= |E_D(h, f) - E_S(h, f)| > \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: Fix an arbitrary $h \in H$

Let $\mu = E_D[h, f]$ (a deterministic quantity)

Let $X = E_S[h, f]$ (random variable)

$$\begin{aligned} &= (1/n)|x \in S : h(x) \neq f(x)| \\ &= (1/n)(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h(x_i) \neq f(x_i) \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

So X is a bernoulli random variable (0-1 random variable).

$$S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

So X is the average of n independent bernoulli random variables.

$$\begin{aligned} EX &= (1/n) \sum EX_i \\ &= \sum P_{x_i \sim D}[h(x_i) \neq f(x_i)] \\ &= (1/n) \sum E_D(h, f) \\ &= (1/n) \sum \mu \\ &= \mu = E_D(h, f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } C_h = |X - \mu_X| > \epsilon$$

Hoeffding Bounds:

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent $\{0, 1\}$ random variables with $P(X_i = 1) = p = EX_i \forall i$ ($EX = (1/n) \sum EX_i = p$). Let $X = (1/n)(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$, then

$$(a) P(X > p + \epsilon) \leq e^{-2\epsilon^2 n}$$

$$(b) P(X < p - \epsilon) \leq e^{-2\epsilon^2 n}$$

$$\text{So } P[|X - p| > \epsilon] \leq 2e^{-2\epsilon^2 n}$$

$$\text{Hence } P_{S \sim D^n}[C_h] \leq 2e^{-2\epsilon^2 n}$$

Recall:

$$P_{S \sim D^n}[A_h] \leq (1 - \epsilon)^n \leq e^{-\epsilon n}$$

$$A_h = (E_S(h, f) = 0) \wedge (E_D(h, f) > \epsilon)$$

$$B_h = (E_S(h, f) = 0) \wedge (E_{S'}(h, f) > \epsilon/2)$$

Now,

$$C_h = |E_D(h, f) - E_S(h, f)| > \epsilon$$

$$D_h = |E_{S'}(h, f) - E_S(h, f)| > \epsilon/2$$

Claim: $P(C_h/D_h) \geq 1/2$

Proof:

$$\text{Let } D'_h = |E_{S'}(h, f) - E_D(h, f)| \leq \epsilon/2$$

$$\text{Given } C_h, D'_h/C_h \Rightarrow D_h/C_h$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(D_h/C_h) &\geq P(D'_h/C_h) \\ &\geq P(|X - \mu_X| \leq \epsilon/2) \\ &\geq 1 - 2e^{-n\epsilon^2/2} \\ &\geq 1/2 \text{ if } n > 4/\epsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } P(D/C) \geq 1/2 \text{ when } \quad - (1)$$

$$D = \cup_{h \in H} D_h \text{ and } C = \cup_{h \in H} C_h$$