



How America Can Win the New Civil War



Part I: The World That Needs VERITAS



When Police Reports Lie

A 65-year-old man sits across from his close friend, trying to have a conversation about immigration. The friend insists that immigrants in Ohio are eating people's dogs and cats. The evidence, he says, is everywhere.

"Show me the police reports," Rauel says. "If this is happening, there would be reports."

His friend doesn't miss a beat: "Police reports lie."

In that moment, something breaks.

Not just the conversation—though it does break. Not just the friendship—though it strains. What breaks is something more fundamental: the shared agreement about how we know what's true.

When your friend can dismiss police reports but accept anonymous social media claims, when evidence counts only if it confirms what you already believe, when uncertainty becomes a weapon to deploy against inconvenient facts while maintaining absolute certainty about convenient ones—you're not having a disagreement about immigration.

You're watching epistemology collapse in real time.



This Is America's New Civil War

Not fought with muskets or Twitter threads, but with something far more dangerous: the systematic destruction of shared reality itself.

The damage isn't abstract. It has a body count.



VERITAS LLC



- 39% of Fox News viewers vs. 16% of CNN/MSNBC viewers believe the FBI organized January 6th
- 23-point gap on a factual question about a historical event
- 50-50 public split on climate change despite 97%+ scientific consensus
- COVID-19 misinformation led to excess mortality—people died because they couldn't tell what was true

When citizens inhabit separate information universes, democracy doesn't just struggle—it fails.

You cannot deliberate democratically when you cannot agree on basic facts. You cannot compromise when you cannot agree on what the problem is. You cannot govern a nation whose citizens live in fundamentally incompatible realities.

Why This Is Different From Past Polarization

America has survived polarization before. What we face now is different.

In past eras of division, Americans disagreed about what the facts meant—what policies they implied, what values they served, what future they pointed toward. But they largely agreed on what the facts were.

Now we disagree about the facts themselves.

Not just their interpretation—their existence. And crucially, we disagree about how to determine what's factual.

When 'police reports lie' becomes an acceptable response to unwelcome evidence, we've lost the foundation for resolving any dispute.

This isn't a crisis of information. We're drowning in information. This is a crisis of epistemology—the systematic destruction of shared standards for determining truth.



VERITAS LLC

