

1. Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ b & 0 & c \\ 0 & d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Taking augmented matrix  $A/I$  (for gauss Jordan)

Case I:  $a \neq 0$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & c & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - d/a R_1} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & c & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -d/a & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

let  $\boxed{e_1}$  be

let  $e_1 = R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - \frac{d}{a} R_1$

$e_1(A) = A'$  s. that  $A'$  has a zero row  
 $A \sim A'$

but  $A'$  is non invertible

$\Rightarrow A$  is non invertible.

Hence for  $a \neq 0$ ,  $A$  is non-invertible.  $\odot$

Case 2:  $a = 0$

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & c & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Here the first row <sup>if</sup> is in itself zero row,  
thus  $A$  is not invertible.

$\therefore$  For both  $a \neq 0$  &  $a = 0$   $\left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & c \\ 0 & d & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$ , the ~~matrix~~ matrix is not invertible.

(b)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$

Taking augmented matrix  $A/I$



For  $a \neq 0$ :

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{a} R_1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/a & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} R_2 &\rightarrow R_2 - R_1 \\ \textcircled{2} R_2 &\rightarrow R_2/a \end{aligned} \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/a^2 & 1/a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} R_3 &\rightarrow R_3 - R_2 \\ \textcircled{2} R_3 &\rightarrow R_3 (1/a) \end{aligned} \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/a^2 & 1/a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/a^3 & -1/a^2 & 1/a \end{array} \right) \equiv (I/B)$$

~~Assuming  $A \cdot A^{-1} = I$~~   $\Rightarrow AX = I$   $\Rightarrow X = A^{-1}$

$$AX = I \Rightarrow X = A^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1} = XI$$

We know  $(A|I)X = (I|B)$

$$\Rightarrow (I|A^{-1}) = (I|B)$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1} = B$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/a & 0 & 0 \\ -1/a^2 & 1/a & 0 \\ 1/a^3 & -1/a^2 & 1/a \end{bmatrix}$$

Case 2:  $a = 0 \rightarrow A$  has zero row & is non-invertible



$$3. A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -I$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2015} &= (A^{3 \times 671}) \cdot (A^2) \\ &= (A^3)^{671} \cdot (A^2) \\ &= (-I)^{671} \cdot (A^2) \\ &= (-1)^{671} (I)^{671} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{*} A^4 = A^3 \cdot A = -I \cdot A = -A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^5 = A^3 \cdot A^2 = -I \cdot A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^6 &= (A^3)^2 = (-I)^2 = I \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$A^7 = A^6 \cdot A = I \cdot A = A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



1. let there exist matrices  $X_{n \times n}$ ,  $Y_{n \times n}$   
 [they must have same size ~~because~~ <sup>because</sup> they are  $n \times n$  square & ~~for~~ for matrix multiplication to be valid]

let us assume

$$XY - YX = I$$

$$\Rightarrow (XY - YX)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \forall i=j \\ 0 & \forall i \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$\text{We know } (XY)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} y_{kj} \Rightarrow XY_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} y_{ki}$$

$$(YX)_{ij} = \sum_k y_{ik} x_{kj} \Rightarrow YX_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ik} x_{ki}$$

$$\text{tr}(XY) = \sum_{i=1}^n (XY)_{ii}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} y_{ki}$$

[defining  $\text{tr}$ : transpose as a function on a matrix]

$$\text{w.o.g. } \text{tr}(YX) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ik} x_{ki}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} y_{ik}$$

$\therefore$  the series converges, we can replace  ~~$\sum$~~   $\sum$

$\therefore$  we have,

$$\text{tr}(YX) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik} y_{ki}$$

$$\therefore \text{tr}(XY) = \text{tr}(YX)$$

$$\therefore \text{tr}(XY) - \text{tr}(YX) = 0$$

$$\text{tr}(XY - YX) = 0$$

$$[\because \text{tr}(A) - \text{tr}(B) = \text{tr}(A - B)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{tr}(I) = 0$$

$$\text{But } \text{tr}(I) = \sum_{i=1}^n I_{ii} = n \neq 0$$

Thus, by contradiction, our assumption is wrong.

Hence, no such matrix exists.



5. Given,

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, w = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

To show,  $B = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  ~~$B = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$~~  is orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  [representing here as 3-tuple]

$$i) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = \langle u_2, u_3 \rangle = \langle u_3, u_1 \rangle = 0 \text{ [orthogonal]}$$

$$ii) \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i u_i = (0, 0, 0) \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ [linearly independent]}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$iii) \text{ for any } (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$$

$$\text{such that } \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \alpha_3 u_3 = (x, y, z) \text{ [spanning]}$$

Proof:

$$i) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (-1) + 1 \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\langle u_2, u_3 \rangle = 1 \cdot 1 + (-1) \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot (-2) = 0$$

$$\langle u_3, u_1 \rangle = 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (-2) = 0$$

Hence  $B$  is orthogonal

$$ii) \text{ let } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \text{ s.t. that}$$

$$\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \alpha_3 u_3 = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ -\alpha_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_3 \\ -2\alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\alpha_1 = 2\alpha_3$$

$$\therefore 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 = 0 \quad \& \quad 5\alpha_3 - \alpha_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_2 = 0 \Rightarrow 3\alpha_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 0$$



$$\therefore \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = 0$$

Hence  $B$  is linearly independent.

② ~~Orthogonal~~

③ Orthogonal vectors span the subspace, hence ①  $\Rightarrow$  ③

$\therefore B = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  is an orthogonal basis for

$$\therefore w = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

But we can also use

$$w = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + c_3 u_3$$

$$c_i = \frac{w \cdot u_i}{u_i \cdot u_i}$$

[Orthogonal basis]

$$c_1 = \frac{4+5+6}{1+1+1} = 5$$

$$c_2 = \frac{4-5}{1+1} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$c_3 = \frac{4+5-12}{1+1+1} = -\frac{3}{3} = -1$$

$\therefore$  Coordinate of  $w$  w.r.t  $B$  is  $(5, -1/2, -1)$

6. Let the 2 upper rectangular be  $A_{m \times n}$  &  $B_{n \times m}$  [for square + product to be defined, size must be like this]

$$\begin{matrix} A_{ij} = 0 & \forall i > j \\ B_{ij} = 0 & \forall i > j \end{matrix} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{upper triangular} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Let } C = AB$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{ij} = (AB)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$$



$$\text{but } a_{i,k} = 0 \quad \forall k < i$$

$$b_{k,j} = 0 \quad \forall k > j$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{i,k} \cdot b_{k,j} = 0 \quad \forall k > j \text{ or } k < i$$

For  $i > j$ , we have

$$\text{Case I: } k \leq j \Rightarrow k < i \Rightarrow a_{i,k} b_{k,j} = 0$$

$$\text{Case II: } k > j \Rightarrow a_{i,k} b_{k,j} = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } C_{ij} = \sum$$

$$\text{Thus, for } i > j, a_{i,k} b_{k,j} = 0 \quad \forall k$$

$$\text{Thus } C_{ij} = \sum a_{i,k} b_{k,j} = 0 \quad \forall i > j$$

$\therefore$  by def<sup>n</sup>,

$C_{ij}$  is upper triangular matrix.

Hence proved