Lecture 25 (14 November 2024)

A continuous-time random process (x_t, ter) is strict-sense stationary (or simply stationary) if for all $t_1t_2--t_r\in R$, $r\in N$ and $T\in R$, $(x_1x_2--x_r)=F$ $(x_1x_2--x_r)=F$

A discrete-time random process (xn n ∈ Z) is strict-sense stationary (or simply) stationary if for all n. n. -- n, ∈ Z

YEN and KEZ

 $F_{x_{n,-}x_{n_{\underline{\nu}}}----x_{n_{\underline{\nu}}}}(x_{\underline{\nu}}---x_{n_{\underline{\nu}}})=F_{x_{n,+k}}(x_{\underline{\nu}}x_{\underline{\nu}}---x_{n_{\underline{\nu}}}).$

Exercise. Consider a discrete-time random process $(x_n, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ in which the $x_n's$ are i.i.d. with $F_{x_n}(x) = F(x)$ $\forall n \neq x$. Show that x_n is a strict-sense stationary (SSS) process.

In practice it is desirable if a random process is stationary. For example, suppose that you need to do forecasting about the future of a process X_t . If we know the process is stationary we can observe the past, which will normally give you a lot of information about how the process will behave in the future.

However it tums out that not many real-life processes are stationary. Even if a process is stationary it might be difficult to prove it. Fortunately it is often enough to show a "weaker" from of stationarity.

A random process is wide-sense stationery (wss) if, for all titzer TER

(i)
$$M_{\chi}(t_i) = M_{\chi}(t_2)$$
 i.e. $E[x_{t_1}] = E[x_{t_2}]$

(i)
$$R_{\chi}(t_{\perp}t_{2}) = R_{\chi}(t_{1}+T_{2}t_{2}+T_{3})$$
, i.e.

$$E[X_{t_i}^{X_{t_i}}] = E[X_{t_i+\tau}^{X_{t_i+\tau}}].$$

The first condition states that M(t) is constant over time.

$$R_{\chi}(t_{-}t_{2}) = E[x_{t_{1}} \times_{t_{2}}]$$

$$= E[X_{t_{1}+T} \times_{t_{2}+T}]$$

$$= E[X_{t_{1}+T} \times_{t_{2}+T}]$$

$$= E[X_{t_{1}-t_{1}} \times_{t_{2}-t_{1}}]$$

$$= E[X_{0} \times_{t_{2}-t_{1}}]$$

$$= R_{\chi}(0 t_{2}-t_{1})$$

... For a was process $R_{\chi}(t_1t_2)$ is a function only of $t_2 t_1$, so we write $R_{\chi}(t_2 t_1)$.

Example. $X_t = \cos(t+u)$ ter where $u \approx 0$ uniform $[02\pi]$ show that x_t is a was process.

$$\mathcal{A}_{x}(t) = E[x_{t}]$$

$$= E[\cos(t+u)]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos(t+u) du$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{2\pi}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\sin(t+u)}{2\pi}\right]^{2\pi} = \left(\frac{\sin t - \sin t}{2\pi}\right) = 0.$$

$$R_{\chi}(t_1t_2) = E[\chi_{t_1}\chi_{t_2}]$$

$$= E \left[\cos(t_1 + v) \cos(t_2 + v) \right]$$

$$= E \left[\frac{1}{2} \cos \left(t_{1} + t_{2} + 2 u \right) + \frac{1}{2} \cos \left(t_{1} - t_{2} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \cos(t_1 + t_2 + 2u) du + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t_1 - t_2)$$

$$= 0 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t_1 - t_2)$$



Properties of Rx(T)

E[x_t^{\perp}] is called expected (or average) Power in x_t at time t. so, for was this is not a function of time.

(2)
$$R_{\chi}(-\tau) = R_{\chi}(t_{\chi}t_{+\tau})$$

$$= E[\chi_{t_{\chi}}(t_{\chi}t_{+\tau})]$$

$$= E[x_{t+T}x_{t}]$$

$$= R_{x}(t+T)$$

$$= R_{x}(T).$$

$$(3) |R_{x}(T)| \subseteq R_{x}(0).$$

$$|R_{x}(T)| = |E[x_{t}x_{t-T}]|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{E[x_{t}]} E[x_{t-T}]$$

$$= \sqrt{R_{x}(0)}, R_{x}(0)$$

$$= R_{x}(0).$$

Example suppose that X_{t} is a WSS process with autocorrelation $R_{x}(\tau) = A e^{-x|\tau|}$.

Compute $E[(X_{g} - X_{s})^{2}]$. $E[(X_{g} - X_{s})^{2}] = E[x_{g}^{2} + x_{s}^{2} - 2x_{g} X_{s}]$ $= R_{x}(0) + R_{x}(0) - 2R_{x}(3)$ $= 2A - 2A e^{-3\alpha}$.

More generally we have $E\left[\left(X_{t+1}-X_{t}\right)^{2}\right]=2\left(R_{\chi}(0)-R_{\chi}(T)\right)$

Power Spectral Density

The power spectral density of a wss process X_t is defined as the Fourier transform of $R_{\chi}(\tau)$.

$$S_{\times}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{\times}(\tau) e^{-i2\pi f \tau} d\tau$$

From the inverse fourier transform we have $R_{x}(T) = \int_{x}^{\infty} S_{x}(f) e^{+i2\pi f T} dT$

Properties of PSD

- $S_{\chi}(f)$ is real and even.

This follows because $R_{\chi}(T)$ is real and

even and the Fourier transform of a red aren function is red & even

$$R_{x}(-\tau) = R_{x}(\tau)$$

$$S_{x}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{x}(\tau) e^{-i2\pi t} d\tau$$

$$S_{x}(-t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{x}(\tau) e^{-i2\pi t} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{x}(-\tau) e^{-i2\pi t} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{x}(\tau) e^{-i2\pi t} d\tau = S_{x}(t).$$

s, (f) is ere,

$$S_{\chi}^{*}(f) = \int_{\chi}^{\infty} R_{\chi}(\tau) e^{i2\pi f \tau} d\tau$$

$$= S_{\chi}(-f) = S_{\chi}(f).$$

$$= S_{\chi}(f).$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\chi} \text{ is seed & even,}$$

so, we have

$$S_{\chi}(f) = \int_{X}^{\infty} R_{\chi}(\tau) \cos(2\pi f \tau)$$

$$= 2 \int_{X}^{\infty} R_{\chi}(\tau) \cos(2\pi f \tau),$$

$$R_{\chi}(\tau) = \int_{X}^{\infty} S_{\chi}(f) \cos(2\pi f \tau)$$

$$= \int_{X}^{\infty} S_{\chi}(f) \cos(2\pi f \tau),$$

- The expected power in
$$X_{+}$$
 is
$$E[X_{+}^{2}] = R_{x}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{x}(t) e^{-i2\pi f(0)} dt$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{x}(t) dt$$

This is why $s_x(f)$ is called the lower spectral density.

$$-S_{x}(f) \geq 0$$

This follows because $l_{\chi}(\eta)$ is a positive semi-definite function and from a property

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i a_j R_{x}(t_i - t_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i a_j E[X_{t_i} \times t_j]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i a_j E[X_{t_i} \times t_j]$$

$$= E[\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i a_j X_{t_i} \times t_j]$$

$$= E[(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_{t_i}) (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_{t_i})]$$

$$= E[(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_{t_i})^{2}] \ge 0.$$

Gaussian Random Vectors

Two random variables $x_1 x_2$ are said to be jointly Gaussian if their joint PDF is $f(x_1 x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^2 |K|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}[x_1 - M, x_2 - M] K^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} x_1 - M, y_1 \\ x_2 - M \end{bmatrix}\right)$

- where $E[X_i] = M_i$ i = 12 $E[X_i] = Cor(X_i, X_i)$ i, i = 12.
- K is called coronione matrix. It is a lositive semi-definite matrix.
- n RVs x.x.-...xn are said to be jointly Gaussian (or multivariate normal) if their joint cor is
 - $f_{X,X_{2}-X_{1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{N}/k!}} = x P \left[\frac{1}{2} (\bar{X}-\bar{H}) K^{-1} (\bar{X}-\bar{H})^{T} \right]$
 - where $M = [M, M_2 - M_n]$ with $M_i = E[X_i]$ $Eij = Cor(X_i \times j)$ ij $\in [I_i, n]$.
- If x.xy--xn are jointly Gaussian

 each X; is also Gaussian, moreover any
 linear combination of x;s is also Gaussian

 (can be proved using MGFs similar to the proof
 of som of two Gaussians is Gaussian).