

PRP Assignment - 3

Ramnak Sekaria: 2023113019

1. $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$; $i \in [1:n]$ (followed throughout)

$\therefore X$ is a Bernoulli RV,

$$P(X_i = 1) = p_i, \quad P(X_i = 0) = (1 - p_i)$$

$$E[X_i] = 1 \cdot p_i + 0 \cdot (1 - p_i) = p_i \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\therefore X = \sum X_i$$

$$E[X] = \sum E[X_i] \quad (= E(\sum X_i))$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu = \sum p_i \quad \text{--- (2)} \quad \text{from linearity of expectation}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu \text{ is mean} = E[X] \\ \& E[X_i] = p_i \text{ from (1)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Var}(X_i) = ?$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_i) &= E[X_i^2] - (E[X_i])^2 \\ &= 1^2 \cdot p_i + 0^2 \cdot (1 - p_i) - p_i^2 \\ &= p_i - p_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

from linearity of variance

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum \text{Var}(X_i) \quad (\because X = \sum X_i)$$

$$= \sum (p_i - p_i^2)$$

$$= \sum p_i - \sum p_i^2$$

$$= \mu - \sum p_i^2 \quad (\text{from (2)})$$

$$\max(\text{Var}(X)) = \mu - \min(\sum p_i^2)$$

We know, AM \geq GM for non - ve real nos

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \dots + p_n^2}{n} \geq (p_1^2 p_2^2 \dots p_n^2)^{1/n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum p_i^2 \geq n (\prod p_i^2)^{1/n}$$

We know equality holds when every element is

$$\text{same} \Rightarrow p_1^2 = p_2^2 = \dots = p_n^2 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\because p_i \geq 0 \quad \forall p_i, \quad (3) \Rightarrow p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n (= p \text{ (let)})$$

$$\therefore \mu = \sum p_i \quad (\text{from (2)})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu = np \Rightarrow \boxed{p = \mu/n}$$

$\therefore \text{var}(X)$ is maximised for $p = \mu/n$

$$2. P(X > m+n | X > m) = \frac{P((X > m+n) \cap (X > m))}{P(X > m)}$$

$$\because m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+; (X > m+n) \Rightarrow X > m$$

$$\therefore P(X > m+n | X > m) = \frac{P(X > m+n)}{P(X > m)} = P(X > n) \text{ (given)}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(X > m+n) = P(X > m)P(X > n)$$

~~$P(X > m)$~~

$$\text{let } P(X > k) = c^k \text{ (general soln for } f(m+n) = f(m)f(n))$$

\because we know from axioms of probability theory,

$$c^k \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow c \in [0, 1]$$

$$(\because k \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore P(X = k) &= P(X > k-1) - P(X > k) \\ &= c^{k-1} - c^k \\ &= c^{k-1}(1-c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{let } c = 1-p \quad \therefore c \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow p \in [0, 1]$$

$$\therefore P(X = k) = (1-p)^{k-1} p, \quad p \in [0, 1]$$

Hence with parameter p , this is the PMF of a geometric RV $\Rightarrow X$ is a geometric RV.

3. (i) let Range of $X = \{-1, 0, 1\}$, Range of $Y = \{-2, 0, 2\}$

let us represent probability laws in such a manner that for $\omega \in \Omega$, $X(\omega) = a_1$ for many values of ω , & similarly

$Y(\omega) = a_2$ for many values of ω , not necessarily

same. We represent using constants $\in \mathbb{R}^+$, namely a, b, c, d ,

the PMFs of various values X & Y can take in the following table

$X \backslash Y$	-2	0	2
-1	a	b	a
0	d	c	d
1	a	b	a

$$P(X = -1) = a + b + a = P(X = 1) = 2a + b$$

$$P(X = 0) = d + c + d = 2d + c$$

$$P_Y(-2) = 2a + d = P_Y(2)$$

$$P_Y(0) = 2b + c$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{n \in R_X} n p(x) = -1(2a+b) + 0 + 1(2a+b) = 0$$

$$E[Y] = \sum_{y \in R_Y} y p(y) = -2(2a+d) + 0 + 2(2a+d) = 0$$

$$E[XY] = \sum_{\substack{n \in R_X \\ y \in R_Y}} n y p(x, y) = (-1)(-2)a + (-1)2a + 1(-2)a + 1 \cdot 2a + 0 = 0$$

$$\therefore E[X] = E[Y] = E[XY] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]$$

Hence X, Y are uncorrelated.

$\therefore a, b, c, d$ are chosen by us arbitrarily, we need to show $\exists a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t. that $p(x, y) \neq p(x)p(y)$ for even one x, y ; $n \in R_X, y \in R_Y$

$$\text{Let } a=0, b=1/6, d=1/7$$

$$\text{from Total probability law, } 4a+2b+2d+c=1$$

$$\Rightarrow c = 1 - \frac{13}{7} = 8/21$$

$$p(x, y)$$

$$p(x, y) = a \text{ For } x=-1, y=-2$$

$$p(x) = 2a+b = 1/6$$

$$p(y) = 2a+d = 1/7$$

$$\therefore p(x, y) \neq p(x)p(y) \text{ for } x=-1, y=-2$$

$$p(x, y) \neq p(x)p(y) \text{ for } x=1, y=2$$

$$a \quad 1/6 \quad 1/7 \text{ (e.g.)}$$

$$\text{for } x=y=0 \Rightarrow p(x, y)=c=8/21$$

$$p(x) = 2a+c = 2/7 + 8/21 = 14/21$$

$$p(y) = 1/3 + 8/21 = 15/21$$

$$p(x, y) \neq p(x)p(y) \text{ for } x=y=0$$

Thus X & Y are uncorrelated but not independent

b) For uncorrelatedness, $E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{x,y} xy P(x,y) = \sum_x x P(x) \sum_y y P(y)$$

$$= \sum_y \sum_x xy P(x) P(y) = \sum_{x,y} xy P(x) P(y)$$

If $x=y \neq 0$, $P(x,y) = P(x)P(y) \quad \forall x,y \Rightarrow P(XY) = P(X)P(Y)$
 \Rightarrow If $x=y \neq 0$, uncorrelatedness guarantees independence.
 $\forall x,y$

eg: x, y are Bernoulli RVs

$P(X) = 1/2 = P(Y)$ for 2 diff coins A & B resp.

$$P(X)P(Y) = 1/4 \quad P(XY) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 1/4$$

\Rightarrow uncorrelatedness guarantees independence

B1 Let Z be a RV s.t. that $Z = X - \alpha Y$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

{We know linear combo. of RVs gives another RV}

$$\therefore (X - \alpha Y)^2 \geq 0$$

$$\textcircled{E} \Rightarrow E[(X - \alpha Y)^2] \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X^2 + \alpha^2 Y^2 - 2\alpha XY] \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X^2] + \alpha^2 E[Y^2] - 2\alpha E[XY] \geq 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Let } f(\alpha) = \alpha^2 E[Y^2] - 2\alpha E[XY] + E[X^2]$$

$$\text{Discriminant of } f(\alpha) = (-2E[XY])^2 - 4E[X^2]E[Y^2]$$

$$\text{(quadratic eqn in } \alpha) = 4(E[XY])^2 - 4E[X^2]E[Y^2]$$

$P \Rightarrow Q$
if $|E[XY]| \leq \sqrt{E[X^2]E[Y^2]}$

$$\Rightarrow |E[XY]| = E[X]E[Y] \text{ for some } X, Y$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{D of } f(\alpha) = 0$$

\therefore Yes

$$\textcircled{Q} \text{ if } f(\alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow E[X^2] + \alpha^2 E[Y^2] - 2\alpha E[XY] = 0$$

$$\text{soln exists} \Rightarrow E[(X - \alpha Y)^2] = 0$$

$$\therefore X = \alpha Y$$

\therefore if P then Q proved

~~Q \Rightarrow P~~

$Q \Rightarrow$ if $X = \alpha Y$

$$\text{LHS of } \sqrt{E[X^2]E[Y^2]} = \sqrt{E[\alpha^2 Y^2]E[Y^2]}$$

$$= \sqrt{\alpha^2 E[Y^2]E[Y^2]}$$

$$= |\alpha E[Y^2]|$$

$$\text{RHS of } |E[XY]| = |E[\alpha Y Y]| = |\alpha E[Y^2]|$$

$$\therefore \text{LHS} = \text{RHS} \Rightarrow P \text{ is true}$$

Hence if Q then P proved

$$\therefore P \Leftrightarrow Q \text{ proved} \Rightarrow |E[XY]| = \sqrt{E[X^2]E[Y^2]}$$

if $X = \alpha Y$

we note that

~~Let~~ Let $X' = X - E[X]$, $Y' = Y - E[Y]$, X', Y' are also RVs

Using Cauchy Schwarz inequality,
 $|E[X'Y']| \leq \sqrt{E[X'^2]} \sqrt{E[Y'^2]}$ — (1)

We know that, $E[X+m] = E[X] + m$

& $\text{var}(X+m) = \text{var}(X)$ m is a constant
 $m \in \mathbb{R}$

by defⁿ $\Rightarrow \text{var}(X') = \text{var}(X)$, $\text{var}(Y') = \text{var}(Y)$
 $E(X') = \text{var}(X)$ also $E[X'] = E[X] - E[E[X]]$

From (1)

$$|E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]| \leq \sqrt{E(X')E(Y')}$$

$$\Rightarrow |E[XY - YE[X] - YE[X] + E[X]E[Y]]| \leq \sqrt{\text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)}$$

$$\Rightarrow |E[XY] - E[Y]E[X] - E[Y]E[X] + E[X]E[Y]| \leq \sqrt{\text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)}} \right| \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |\rho(X, Y)| \leq 1 \quad (\text{hence proved})$$

Q5 $\phi(Y) = E[X|Y]$ let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ & let $\phi(Y)g(Y) = h(Y)$

$$E[\phi(Y)g(Y)] = E[h(Y)]$$

$$= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h(y) P_Y(y)$$

$$\text{again, } \phi(y) = E[X|Y] = \sum_n x P_{X|Y}(n|y)$$

$$\therefore E[\phi(Y)g(Y)] = \sum_y g(y) \sum_n P_Y(y) P_{X|Y}(n|y)$$

$$\text{We know } P_Y(y) P_{X|Y}(n|y) = P_{X,Y}(n, y)$$

$$\therefore E[\phi(Y)g(Y)] = \sum_y g(y) \sum_n P_{X,Y}(n, y)$$

$$= \sum_{n,y} E[Xg(Y)] \quad (\text{hence proved})$$

2. ~~$g(y) = 1$~~ $g(y) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow E[\phi(Y)] = E[X]$$

$$\therefore E[E[X|Y]] = E[X]$$

$$(\because \phi(Y) = E[X|Y] \text{ (given)})$$

Q6) $\mathbb{I}_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \in A \\ 0 & \omega \notin A \end{cases}$ for $\omega \in A$ logically true.

$$\text{RTP } E[X|A] = \frac{E[\mathbb{I}_A X]}{P(A)}$$

LHS

$$E[X|A] = \sum_{n \in R_X} n P_{X|A}(n)$$

$$= \sum_n n \frac{P(X = n \cap A)}{P(A)}$$

RHS

$$\frac{E[\mathbb{I}_A X]}{P(A)} = \sum_{n, A} \frac{\mathbb{I}_A(n) n P_{X|A}(n, A)}{P(A)}$$

We know $\mathbb{I}_A(n) = 1$ if $n \in A$ and 0 otherwise.

$$= \sum_{\substack{n, A \\ n \in A}} \frac{n P_{X|A}(n, A)}{P(A)} + 0$$

$$= \sum_{n, A} \frac{n P(X = n \cap A)}{P(A)}$$

$\therefore \text{LHS} = \text{RHS}$ (hence proved)

b7 let $X = X_1 + X_2$

X_1 : outcome of ~~first~~ ^{1st} die's roll
 X_2 : outcome of ~~second~~ ^{2nd} die's roll

$$E[X|A_i] = E[(X_1 + X_2)|A_i] = E[(X_1 + X_2) | (X_1 = i)]$$

$\because X_1$ & X_2 are independent (subsequent rolls)
 $\Rightarrow E[(X_1 + X_2) | (X_1 = i)] = E[X_1 | X_1 = i] + E[X_2 | X_1 = i]$

$$E[X_1 | X_1 = i] = \sum_{n_1 \in R_{X_1}} (n_1) P(X_1 = n_1 | X_1 = i)$$

$$P(X_1 = n_1 | X_1 = i) = \begin{cases} 0 & n_1 \neq i \\ 1 & n_1 = i \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore E[X_1 | X_1 = i] = i(1) + 0 = i$$

$$E[X_2 | X_1 = i] = \sum_{n_2 \in R_{X_2}} n_2 P(X_2 = n_2 | X_1 = i)$$

$\because X_2$ & X_1 are independent,
 $P(X_2 = n_2 | X_1 = i) = P(X_2 = n_2)$

$$\therefore E[X_2 | X_1 = i] = \sum_{n_2} n_2 P(X_2 = n_2) = E[X_2]$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(X_2 = n_2) \text{ for each } n_2 \in R_{X_2} \\ = 1/6 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1 \cdot 1}{6} + \frac{2 \cdot 1}{6} + \dots + \frac{6 \cdot 1}{6} = \left(\frac{6(6+1)}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{6} \right) = 7/2$$

$$\therefore E[X|A_i] = i + 7/2$$

$$E[X|A_1] = 9/2, E[X|A_2] = 11/2, E[X|A_3] = 13/2$$

$$E[X|A_4] = 15/2, E[X|A_5] = 17/2, E[X|A_6] = 19/2$$