

Data compression algorithms for flow tables in Network Processor RuNPU.

Nikita Nikiforov

Lomonosov Moscow State University

Moscow, Russia

nickiforov.nik@gmail.com

Dmitry Volkanov

Lomonosov Moscow State University

Moscow, Russia

volkanov@asvk.cs.msu.ru

Abstract—This paper addresses the problem of packet classification within a network processor (NP) architecture without the separate associative device. By the classification, we mean the process of identifying a packet by the header. The classification stage requires the implementation of data structures to store the flow tables. In our work we consider the NP without the associative memory. Flow tables are represented by an assembly language program in the NP. For translating flow tables into assembly language programs, a tables translator was used. The main reason for implementing data compression algorithms in a flow table translator is that nowadays flow tables can weight up to tens of megabytes. In this work we describe the data compression algorithms: Optimal rule caching, recursive end-point cutting and bit string compression. An evaluation of the implemented data compression algorithms was performed on a simulation model of the NP.

Index Terms—Network processor, software-defined networks, packet classification, data compression.

I. INTRODUCTION

At present, software-defined networks (SDN) are in active development and require high-performance switches [4]. The main functional element of the high-performance SDN switch is a programmable network processor (NP). The network processor is a system-on-chip specialized for network packet processing. In our work we consider a programmable NP. By programmable we mean such NP, that supports changing the packet processing program and the set of processed header fields on the fly.

In this article we discuss data compression algorithms used for flow tables. Flow tables are needed for packet classification process. A flow table is the set of rules defined by OpenFlow protocol. Each rule contains a match field, a bit string by witch a packet can be identified and a set of actions, that the NP performs on this packet. Classification is the process of the identification of a network packet by its header.

This article has the following structure: in second section we introduce problem, in third section we introduce the NP architecture and flow tables translator, in fourth section we describe related work, in fifth section we describe data compression algorithm implementation and in sixth section we introduce our evaluation methodology.

II. THE PROBLEM

Let us consider OpenFlow tables formalisation. An ordered set of all considered attributes is denoted as $I = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$. Every attribute m_i from the set I is described by a bit string $m_i \in \{0, 1, *\}_i^W$. In this article symbol $*$ denotes any bit. But, if $\exists m_i^j \in m_i$ and $m_i^j = *$, then for $\forall m_i^k$, where $k > j$, $m_i^k = *$. The length of the attribute is denoted $len(m_i) = W_i$.

Flow tables are represented by a set of rules $R = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$. With every rule r_i binding the features:

- An index i ;
- A priority $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;
- A vector of values of attributes $f_i = \{f_i^1, f_i^2, \dots, f_i^k\}$, where f_i^j is an attribute value $m_j \in I$.
- A set of actions $A_i = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_z\}$.

A network packet header x and its metadata with vector values of attributes $g = \{g^1, g^2, \dots, g^k\}$ ($x \rightarrow g$), a match rule $r_i \in R$ with a vector of values of the attributes $f_i = \{f_i^1, f_i^2, \dots, f_i^k\}$ and a priority p_i (a rule $r_i \in R$ identifies a network packer with a vector values of attributes g), if:

- 1) a vector values of attributes g match a vector of values of the attributes f_i , $\forall g_i \in g, len(g_i) = len(f_i)$. $\forall f_i^{lj} \in f_i^l, f_i^{lj} \in \{*, g^{lj}\}, l = \overline{1, k}$;
- 2) a priority p_i is the highest among all rules $r_j \in R$, if a vector g match a vector f_j .

The set of rules R must satisfy the following constraint. For any two rules $r_i, r_j \in R, r_i \neq r_j$, if their vectors of values intersect, there is a set of attribute values. This set corresponds to vectors of values of attributes of both rules $p_i \neq p_j$.

Let us introduce the function for network packet identification $x \rightarrow g$ in flow table R , (denotes as $R(x)$). It returns a set of actions, that corresponded to the rule $x \rightarrow g$.

$R(x) = A_{r_i}$, where A_{r_i} is the set of actions $r_i \in R$.

We need to introduce a **similar concept** of the sets of rules R_1 and R_2 . The set R_1 is similar to the set R_2 , when for any network packet header, that can be identified by some rule from the set $r_i \in R_1$, and there exists another rule that identifies it as $r_j \in R_2$, and $A_i = A_j$.

We need to develop an algorithm for compressing flow tables. This algorithm must translate an input flow table (a set of rules R_1) into a new compressed set of rules R_2 .

- 1) The set of rules R_1 is similar to the set of rules R_2 .
- 2) The cardinality of the set R_2 must be lower than the cardinality of the set R_1 .

III. NETWORK PROCESSOR ARCHITECTURE

In the considered NP the pipeline architecture is used, with each pipeline consisting of 10 computing blocks. To avoid complex memory organization, there is no associative memory in the considered NP. The NP uses the same memory both for commands and data.

Let us consider the pipeline NP architecture. Each computing block has an access to the memory area where the program with data is located. There is a limit of 25 clock cycles per packet on each processing block. There is up to 512 kilobytes to store assembly language program representing flow tables. Due to the instruction set architecture, there is no separate memory area where data is stored. Therefore, the microcode contains all the data, required to classify packets.

A. Flow tables translator

Flow tables translator is a tool that is executed on CPU. It is used for flow tables translating into assembly language programs, that can be interpreted by NP. Flow tables translator uses tree structures for flow table representation. Every node of the tree structure can be associated with a table rule. After building a tree every node is translated into a part of an assembly language program. Here is a flow tables translator workflow:

- 1) Load a flow table from file.
- 2) Check every rule in the table.
- 3) Build a tree structure from a set of rules.
- 4) Translate every node into a part of an assembly language program.
- 5) Combine all translated parts into the one assembly language program.
- 6) Add a header that corresponds to used protocol.
- 7) Write the assembly language program into file.

This tool was implemented in work [3].

IV. RELATED WORK

In this section we introduce a review on data compression algorithms, that already used for other network processors [1]. To choose algorithms for implementation in NP we used the following criteria:

- 1) Compression rate, is needed for algorithm performance evaluation.
- 2) Evaluation of compression algorithm complexity.
- 3) Usability of compressed flow tables without decompression.
- 4) The necessity to use external memory by the algorithm.

A. Most common data compression algorithms

Data compression algorithms have evolved over the years. Nowadays compression algorithms can be used in many different ways. In this section we describe the algorithms that compress data in binary format. There are most known of them:

- Huffman coding,
- JPEG,
- LWZ,
- zip.

These algorithms require decompression for data usage. And this is why we will not use them in our flow table translator.

B. Optimal rule caching

Optimal rule caching algorithm is more specific data compression algorithm. It is used for table compressing in SND switches [5]. It is based on search tree structure, that is built based on rules usage frequencies. There are two trees: the first tree consist from most used rules. This tree is translated into assembly language program. The second tree consist from other rules, it is stored in CPU memory.

C. Recursive end point cutting

Recursive end-point cutting algorithm is based on HyperSplit tree usage. Compressing is performed by destroying duplication rules [2]. This algorithm permits operations with flow tables without full rebuilding tree.

By rules duplication we understand the following rules:

- A rule, storing in node duplicates of the rules in leaf nodes. (particle duplication).
- A rule, storing in node duplicates of the rules in all leafs nodes. (full duplicating rule).

This algorithm recursively uses NewHypersplit tree to remove duplicate rules from the currently being built tree. The deleted duplicate rules are then collected into a second rule table, called a recursive table, to build a second tree. It is possible that duplicate rules still exist in the second tree, and some of them are also removed and used to build the third tree. This tree building process is performed recursively while there are duplicate rules in the last tree.

V. ALGORITHMS COMPARISON

У каждого рассмотренного алгоритма сжатия есть свои достоинства и недостатки, рассмотрим их:

- 1) **Алгоритм оптимального кеширования** — имеет наибольший коэффициент сжатия, и быстро реализуем в рассматриваемой архитектуре сетевого процессорного устройства. Необходимость использования внешней памяти накладывает дополнительные расходы на обработку некоторых пакетов.

TABLE I: Сравнение алгоритмов сжатия.

Название алгоритма	Сложность построения	Степень сжатия	Внешняя память	Необходимость декомпрессии
Алгоритм оптимального кеширования	$O(N^2)$	0.1 ... 0.9	да	нет
Алгоритм рекурсивного удаления	$O(N * \log(N))$	0.1	нет	нет
Алгоритм с использованием битовых строк	$O(\frac{W}{K} * L)$	0.5	нет	нет
Распространённые алгоритмы	$O(K * \log_2 N)$	0.1 ... 0.8	нет	да

- 2) **Алгоритм рекурсивного удаления** — имеет наименьший коэффициент сжатия, реализуем сложнее, чем алгоритм оптимального кеширования. При этом данный алгоритм не требует использования внешней памяти.
- 3) **Алгоритм с использованием битовых строк** — имеет средний коэффициент сжатия, но при этом трудно реализуем в рассматриваемой архитектуре сетевого процессорного устройства.
- 4) **Распространённые алгоритмы сжатия** — в среднем имеют хорошие коэффициенты сжатия, но при этом требуется декомпрессия данных.

VI. OUR SOLUTION

In this section we introduce our solution of flow tables compressing.

A. Flow table optimization

First of all we need to introduce operation **getting last important bit** $last(m_i) = j$, $m_i^j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $m_i^{(j+1)} = *$. We claim that the rules $r_i \in R$ and $r_j \in R$ are the **same**, if $\forall u \in len(f_i) \ last(f_i^u) = last(f_j^u) = l$, but $f_i^{ul} \neq f_j^{ul}$ and $A_i = A_j$. For flow table optimization we need to remove all **same** rules.

B. Main flow table compression algorithm

Let us introduce a packet header distribution P , where p_x mean network packet income probability $x \rightarrow g = \{g^1, g^2, \dots, g^k\}$. We need a correction ratio $T_P(R_1, R_2)$, where R_1 and R_2 are two different flow tables. Thus correction ratio means probability of incoming network packet header by distribution P . As well as probability of identifying this network packet by rules $r_1 \in R_1$ and $r_2 \in R_2$. Moreover the sets of actions of this rules are similar $A_1 = A_2, A_1 \in r_1, A_2 \in r_2$.

$$T_P(R_1, R_2) = \sum_{x \rightarrow g, R_1(x)=R_2(x)} p_x$$

The optimal correction ratio for flow table R and a number of rules n and a network packet header distribution P is:

$$\zeta(n, R, P) = \max_{R_i, |R_i| \leq n} T_P(R, R_i)$$

Let p^i be probability of choosing rule $r_i \in R$, in distribution P . Let rules in flow table R be in not-increasing order of their probabilities. Then:

$$\zeta(n, R, P) \geq \sum_{i \in [1, n]} p^i + 1 - \sum_{i \in [1, n_0]} p^i \geq n/n_0$$

This algorithm needs exploration and building a flow table R_a , based on input flow table R . There is a minimal set of rules (n_0) and a maximum optimal correction ratio $\zeta(n, R, P)$.

C. Software solution

In this section we introduce software workflow of our algorithm. First of all we need to add a new fields in tree structure nodes for our algorithm.

- A probability into tree node. It must be filled if node contains rule.
- A sum of probabilities of leaf nodes.

Let us introduce program operation for split tree.

- Generate a set of tree nodes.
- Sort this set in non-increasing order.
- Create a counter that stores a sum of node probabilities.
- Get the first node with maximum self probability.
- Increase the counter.
- Add this node into another set and remove from first.
- Repeat last three operations while counter less then 0.95.
- Build tree from second set of rules.

After performing this operations we get the set of nodes. We could build first tree from second set of rules and second tree from first set of nodes. After this we need to translate the first tree into an assembly language program.

VII. EVALUATION

In this section we talk about evaluation methodology. Flow table compression algorithms can be evaluated by an assembly language program evaluation, from the flow tables translator with implementing this flow table compression algorithm. We used the following parameters in our evaluation:

- memory usage by assembly language program.

- middle time of network packet processing in NP cycles.
- maximum time of network packet processing in NP cycles.

The described analysis requires to execute the following actions for each flow table.

- 1) Choose a flow table for this experiment.
- 2) Translate the flow table using flow tables translator implementation based on:
 - LPM tree;
 - AVL tree;
 - Our flow table compression algorithm.
- 3) Execute simulation on NP simulation model.
- 4) Evaluate results.

VIII. FUTURE WORK

In the future we will refine evaluation data. We expect less memory usage with our compression algorithm implemented into flow table translator. After this we could research possibility of TCAM memory implementation and use this compression algorithms for it.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wolfgang Braun and Michael Menth. “Wildcard compression of inter-domain routing tables for OpenFlow-based software-defined networking”. In: *2014 Third european workshop on software defined networks*. IEEE. 2014, pp. 25–30.
- [2] Yeim-Kuan Chang and Han-Chen Chen. “Fast packet classification using recursive endpoint-cutting and bucket compression on FPGA”. In: *The Computer Journal* 62.2 (2019), pp. 198–214.
- [3] A. Markoborodov, Y. Skobtsova, and D. Volkanov. “Representation of the OpenFlow Switch Flow Table”. In: *2020 International Scientific and Technical Conference Modern Computer Network Technologies (MoNeTeC)*. 2020, pp. 1–7. DOI: 10.1109/MoNeTeC49726.2020.9258208.
- [4] Smeliansky R.L. “System Defined networks”. In: *Open Systems* 9 (2012), pp. 15–26.
- [5] Ori Rottenstreich and János Tapolcai. “Optimal rule caching and lossy compression for longest prefix matching”. In: *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* 25.2 (2016), pp. 864–878.