RavenQt SIG Meeting Minutes for 2021-04-21:

- 1) Discussion of current flow for approvals and commits
 - -How much to do by local-qit/push vs the qithub GUI?
 - -Any remaining problems caused by lack of admin priviledge?
 - -We have processed 34 Pull Requests as commits on branch develop since master
 - -each of them went through code review by at least 2 people and successfully built
 - -the git history is a clean linear history with one commit per PR
 - -We had a short discussion of the advantages/disadvantages of using the github GUI vs git CLI
 - -we agreed that we would enforce the desired result, rather than the methodology
 - -we reiterated the PR rules:
 - -at least 2-person approval (not including the author)
 - -must successfully build
 - -minimum 1 week review period
 - -but we agreed that those rules would be enforced manually, not by the GUI
 - -in some cases the GUI is unpredictable or undesireable
 - -we briefly discussed the "linear commits" setting but are unfamiliar with it
 - -we briefly discussed the ability to revise historical commit messages but were unsure how github responded
 - We discussed the fact that we still do not have admin privileges on RavenProject
 - -this no longer limits our productivity
 - -using branch creation, deletion, and renaming, we have been able to defeat all github security measures
 - -we would like to be able to inspect and enable some security settings where appropriate
 - -but since we don't have admin rights, we are forced to leave security open or whatever the default is
- -the Electrum SIG doesn't suffer this limitation since they own their github account, so they can set security optimally
 - We need to update the documentation files in the repository to match our rules and procedures
 - We discussed that prior to any mainnet release, there should be a 2 week "string freeze" period -during that period, volunteers can submit translations for other languages
- 2) Discussion of testnet release & mainnet release plans
 - -the new PRs
 - -P2SH
 - -expected schedule
 - We currently have 11 unmerged PRs up to PR#944
 - -we agreed that we plan to process all of these into the upcoming testnet release
 - -this includes 5 large commits from bitcoin to update secp256k1 with the latest upstream changes
 - -we agreed that we do not currently plan to update univalue due to possible merge issues caused by kaawpow

We discussed the release plans again

- -we still plan to do a mainnet release (post testnet testing) fairly soon which does not contain P2SH
- -that will be followed by a 2nd mainnet release later which has P2SH
- -however, the 1st testnet release will actually contain all the code including P2SH
 - -The BIP9 parameters will be set to enable testmet activation at 60%, but never on mainnet
- 3) Discussion of build system status & issues

Hans reported that the linux binaries built by the new build system do not function on Ubuntu 18 or older -an error "version 'GLIBC_2.29' not found"/"version 'GLIBC_2.28' not found" is generated

- 4) Discussion of any specific PRs & Commits
 - We discussed the list of https URLs which Hans extracted from PR922
 - -these all came from the bitcoin dependency and patch updates + some openssl references
 - -some of them are private github repositories
 - -Hyperpeek reported that he looked at those and they looked trustworthy
 - -fdov encouraged further review
 - We discussed the updates to the autobuild system in the .github repo subdirectory
 - -these did not come from bitcoin. they were alread part of the Ravencoin repo
 - -fdov only updated the code to fix broken functionality
 - -the code was added originally by Cade Call from Medici; not sure where he got it