**Question 1**: What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

**Answer:** The optimal value of alpha for Ridge is 2 and for Lasso it is 0.001. With these alphas the R2 of the model was approximately 0.83. After doubling the alpha values in the Ridge and Lasso, the prediction accuracy remains around 0.82 but there is a small change in the coefficient values. The new model is created and demonstrated in the Jupiter notebook. Below are the changes in the coefficients.

fficier	nt					
16912	22				Total	_sqr_fc
10158	35					Garag
.06734	48				Tot	tRmsA
.04765	52					Overal
.04394	41					Lo
.03203	34				То	tal_po
.03177	72					Centra
.03163	39					LotFro
.02909	93			Neigl	hborho	ood_St
.02427	70				0	penPo
.02314	48				MS	SubCla
.02299	95					Alley
.02258	86			Neigl	hborh	ood_Ve
.02241	10				E	3smtQı
.02229	93				Kito	chenQu
.02187	73			-	House	Style_
.02016	60			P	Mas∨n	rType_
.01937	78					avedD
.01859	95			F	RoofM	atl_Wd
.01812	23				Р	avedD
16912 10158 06734 04765 04394 03203 03177 03163 02909 02427 02299 02258 02241 02229 02187 02016 01937		22 35 48 52 41 34 72 39 30 48 95 10 73 76 78	22 35 48 52 41 47 72 39 93 37 70 48 95 66 60 97 88 95	22	22   35   35   35   36   37   37   37   37   37   37   37	Total

_	<u> </u>
	Ridge Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient
Total_sqr_footage	0.149028
GarageArea	0.091803
TotRmsAbvGrd	0.068283
OverallCond	0.043303
LotArea	0.038824
Total_porch_sf	0.033870
CentralAir_Y	0.031832
LotFrontage	0.027526
Neighborhood_StoneBr	0.026581
OpenPorchSF	0.022713
MSSubClass_70	0.022189
Alley_Pave	0.021672
Neighborhood_Veenker	0.020098
BsmtQual_Ex	0.019949
KitchenQual_Ex	0.019787
HouseStyle_2.5Unf	0.018952
MasVnrType_Stone	0.018388
PavedDrive_P	0.017973
RoofMatl_WdShngl	0.017856
PavedDrive_Y	0.016840

ige
rea
Grd
nd
_Y
_sf
rea
Ex
Br
ave
SF
Ex
LS
_70
ne
rm
TA
tial
Sac
_Y

**Question 2**: You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

d The optimum lambda value in case of Ridge and Lasso is as follows:-

- Ridge 2
- Lasso 0.0001
- The Mean Squared Error in case of Ridge and Lasso are:
- Ridge 0.0018396090787924262
- Lasso 0.0018634152629407766
- The Mean Squared Error of both the models are almost same.
- Since Lasso helps in feature reduction (as the coefficient value of some of the features become zero), Lasso has a better edge over Ridge and should be used as the final model.

**Question 3**: After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Answer: The five most important predictor variables in the current lasso model is:-

- 1. Total\_sqr\_footage
- 2. GarageArea
- 3. TotRmsAbvGrd
- 4. OverallCond
- 5. LotArea

We build a Lasso model in the Jupiter notebook after removing these attributes from the dataset. The R2 of the new model without the top 5 predictors drops to .73 The Mean Squared Error increases to 0.0028575670906482538

The new Top 5 predictors are:-

	Lasso Coefficient
LotFrontage	0.146535
Total_porch_sf	0.072445
HouseStyle_2.5Unf	0.062900
HouseStyle_2.5Fin	0.050487
Neighborhood_Veenker	0.042532

**Question 4**: How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

**Answer:** As Per, Occam's Razor— given two models that show similar 'performance' in the finite training or test data, we should pick the one that makes fewer on the test data due to following reasons:-

- Simpler models are usually more 'generic' and are more widely applicable
- Simpler models require fewer training samples for effective training than the more complex ones and hence are easier to train.
- Simpler models are more robust.
- 1. Complex models tend to change wildly with changes in the training data set.
- 2. Simple models have low variance, high bias and complex models have low bias, high variance.
- 3. Simpler models make more errors in the training set. Complex models lead to overfitting they work very well for the training samples, fail miserably when applied to other test samples.

Therefore, to make the model more robust and generalizable, make the model simple but not simpler which will not be of any use. A model needs to be made robust and generalizable so that they are not impacted by outliers in the training data. The model should also be generalisable so that the test accuracy is not lesser than the training score. The model should be accurate for datasets other than the ones which were used during training. Too much weightage should not given to the outliers so that the accuracy predicted by the model is high. To ensure that this is not

the case, the outlier analysis needs to be done and only those which are relevant to the dataset need to be retained. Those outliers which it does not make sense to keep must be removed from the dataset. This would help increase the accuracy of the predictions made by the model. Confidence intervals can be used (typically 3-5 standard deviations). This would help standardize the predictions made by the model. If the model is not robust, it cannot be trusted for predictive analysis.