

# 600.464 Randomized and Big Data Algorithms

## Homework #4 Answers

Ravindra Gaddipati

November 29, 2016

### Problem 1 (4 points)

With the distribution given, pairwise distances are not preserved within some fixed  $\epsilon$  as  $n$  grows. To preserve the norm through the multiplication by  $A$ , we normalize  $A$  through factor  $\alpha$  such that  $E[||\alpha Ax||_2^2] = 1$ . With the given probability distribution, we choose  $\alpha = \sqrt{1/20}$ . We define the probability of success as

$$P(||x||(1 - \epsilon) \leq ||Ax|| \leq ||x||(1 + \epsilon))$$

Letting  $x = v/||v||$  such that  $||x|| = 1$  for vector  $v$ ,

$$P(1 - \epsilon \leq ||Ax|| \leq 1 + \epsilon)$$

Let  $A_i$  be the column vectors of  $A$  such that  $A = [A_0 | \dots | A_n]$ .

We examine the case  $x = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then,

$$||Ax||_2^2 = ||A_1||_2^2$$

For this vector, we compute the probability the norm is perfectly preserved,

$$P(||Ax|| = 1) = P(||A_i||_2^2 = 1) = \binom{r}{20} \left(\frac{20}{r}\right)^{20} \left(1 - \frac{20}{r}\right)^{r-20}$$

Where this represents the probability  $A_1$  contains exactly 20 ones. Taking the limit,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \binom{r}{20} \left(\frac{20}{r}\right)^{20} \left(1 - \frac{20}{r}\right)^{r-20} \right) = 0$$

Thus  $P(||Ax|| \neq 1) = 1$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . With an input set of  $n$  points, there exists  $O(n^2)$  vectors, where the error for a single vector is greater than  $O(1/n^2)$ . Therefore as our input set of  $n$  points grows, the probability of failing grows faster. As a result, we cannot bound the error as  $n$  continues to grow for a fixed  $\epsilon$ . This distribution to sparsify matrix  $A$  does not allow for the preservation of pairwise distances.

## Problem 2 (4 points)

If  $C_1$  is a coreset of  $C_2$ , and  $C_2$  is a coreset of  $C_3$ , then by the definition of a  $(k, \epsilon)$  coreset we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu(Z, C_2) - \nu(Z, C_1)| &\leq \epsilon \nu(Z, C_2) \\ |\nu(Z, C_3) - \nu(Z, C_2)| &\leq \epsilon \nu(Z, C_3) \end{aligned}$$

Adding the two and using the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu(Z, C_2) - \nu_w(Z, C_1)| + |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Y, C_2)| &\leq \epsilon \nu(Z, C_2) + \delta \nu(Y, C_3) \\ |\nu(Z, C_2) - \nu_w(Z, C_1) + \nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Y, C_2)| &= |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Z, C_1) + \nu(Z, C_2) - \nu_w(Y, C_2)| \\ &= |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Z, C_1) - \nu_w(Y, C_2) - \nu(Z, C_2)| \\ |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Z, C_1) - \nu_w(Y, C_2) - \nu(Z, C_2)| &\geq |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Z, C_1)| - |\nu_w(Y, C_2) - \nu(Z, C_2)| \end{aligned}$$

Where we obtain the last expression by examination of the RHS. Using the initial expression,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Z, C_1)| - |\nu_w(Y, C_2) - \nu(Z, C_2)| &\leq |\nu(Z, C_2) - \nu_w(Z, C_1)| + |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Y, C_2)| \\ |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Z, C_1)| - |\nu_w(Y, C_2)| &\leq |\nu(Z, C_2) - \nu_w(Z, C_1)| + |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Y, C_2)| \\ &\leq \epsilon \nu(Z, C_2) + \delta \nu(Y, C_3) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Z$  is always valid for  $Y$ , we can show that the following inequality holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu(Y, C_3) - \nu_w(Z, C_1)| &\leq \epsilon \nu(Z, C_2) + \delta \nu(Y, C_3) + |\nu_w(Y, C_2)| \\ &\leq \epsilon \nu(Y, C_2) + \delta \nu(Y, C_3) + |\nu_w(Y, C_2)| \\ &\leq (\beta + \epsilon) \nu(Y, C_3) + \delta \nu(Y, C_3) \\ &\leq O(\delta + \epsilon) \nu(Y, C_3) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $C_1$  is a  $(k, O(\epsilon + \delta))$  coreset for  $C_3$ .

### Problem 3 (3 points)

During the coreset construction,  $A$  partitions  $P$ . With the  $[\alpha, \beta]$  bicriteria, we are able to generate disjoint ring sets where the size is controlled by  $\beta$ . However by choosing random  $\alpha k$  centers as our partitioning set, there is no restriction on the location of the centers. To be a valid coreset, then

$$|\nu(X, P) - \nu(C, S)| \leq \epsilon \nu(C, P)$$

With fixed  $\epsilon$ , and arbitrary partitioning of  $P$  can result in an arbitrarily large  $\nu(C, S)$ - for example we can place them all very far from all  $x \in P$ . For any  $\epsilon$  it is possible to place our  $\alpha k$  centers such that the coreset is not valid since the centers are unbounded. Thus there is no way to guarantee that a random partitioning will result in a valid coreset.

### Problem 4 (4 points)

We demonstrate the proof with the normalized vector,

$$x = \frac{v}{\|v\|}$$

And we want to show that, for  $\|x\| = 1$ ,

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\|Mx\| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|x\|$$

Let  $Y_i$  be a single element of the product,  $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij}x_j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mx\|^2 - 1 &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sqrt{\sum_i Y_i^2} \right)^2 - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_i Y_i^2 - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_i Y_i^2 - k \right) \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 4 from the JL-1 notes, we note that  $\|Mx\|^2 - 1$  has the same distribution as  $\frac{1}{k}Z = \frac{1}{k}(Y_1^2 + \dots + Y_k^2 - k)$  with subgaussian tails. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|Mx\| \geq 1 + \epsilon) &= P(\|Mx\|^2 \geq (1 + \epsilon)^2) \\ &\leq P(\|Mx\|^2 \geq 1 + \epsilon^2) \\ &= P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}Z \geq \epsilon^2\right) \\ &= P(Z \geq \epsilon^2 k) \\ P(Z \geq \epsilon^2 k) &\leq e^{-a\epsilon^4 k} \\ &= e^{-\epsilon^4 k} \end{aligned}$$

When choosing  $a = 1$ . Bounding for an error of 0.05 on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z \geq \epsilon^2 k) &\leq e^{-\epsilon^4 k} \leq 0.05 \\ -\epsilon^4 k &\leq \ln(0.05) \\ k &\geq \frac{\ln(0.05)}{\epsilon^4} \end{aligned}$$

$k$  is  $O(1/\epsilon^4)$  as required, and the error is bounded by 0.1 by choosing  $k$  by above.

## **Collaborators**

I worked with Matthew Ige, Emily Wagner, and Phillippe Piantonne on these problems. The following sources were used.

`people.csail.mit.edu/dannyf/coresets.pdf`

`ttic.uchicago.edu/~gregory/courses/LargeScaleLearning/lectures/jl.pdf`