First Advanced Query

SELECT r.name, AVG(d.Price) AS AvgPrice FROM Restaurant r JOIN Dishes d ON (r.restaurantID = d.RestaurantID) GROUP BY r.restaurantID:

Default Index Designs

The following indexing snippets show the default indexes generated automatically by the database engine for all the relations (Restaurant, Dishes, Purchases and Reviews) using their defined PRIMARY and FOREIGN key constraints.

mysql> SHOW INDEX FROM Purchases;

| Table | Non_unique | Key_name | Seq_in_index | Column_name | Collation | Cardinality | Sub_part | Packed | Null | Index_type | Comment | Index_comment | Visible | Expression | 0 | PRIMARY | 1 | PurchaseID | A | 1000 | NULL | NULL | | BTREE | | YES | NULL | Purchases | 1 | NetID | 1 | NetID | A | 411 | NULL | NULL | YES | BTREE | |YES |NULL | | Purchases | 393 | NULL | NULL | YES | BTREE | 1 | DishName | 1 | DishName | A |YES |NULL | Purchases | | 323| NULL| NULL| |BTREE | | 1 | RestaurantID | 1 | RestaurantID | A | Purchases | 4 rows in set (0.00 sec)

mysql> SHOW INDEX FROM Reviews;

3 rows in set (0.00 sec)

mysql>

Default Query Performance

mysql> SHOW INDEX FROM Dishes;+++++++	
+++	1 Name A 1 Price A
3 rows in set (0.00 sec)	
mysql> DROP INDEX Price_idx ON Dishes; Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.02 sec) Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0 mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT r.name, AVG(d.Price) AS AvgPrice FROM Restaurant r JOIN Dishes d ON (r.restaurantID = d.RestaurantID) GROUP BY r.restaurantID;	
<u>- </u>	+ EXPLAIN
-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=0.0010.064 rows=616 loops=1) -> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=2.7232.844 rows=616 loops=1) -> Nested loop inner join (cost=451.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.088.2.043 rows=1000 loops=1) -> Table scan on d (cost=101.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.0470.368 rows=1000 loops=1) -> Single-row index lookup on r using PRIMARY (restaurantID=d.RestaurantID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.0010.001 rows=1 loops=1000)</temporary>	-+
1 row in set (0.00 sec)	+
med	

Index Design and Query Performance - 1

The following index design indexes on Price for Dishes relation. The execution time comparison from the default and current index design shows that the performance worsens after indexing on Price.

mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT r.name, AVG(d.Price) AS AvgPrice
-> FROM Restaurant r JOIN Dishes d ON (r.restaurantID = d.RestaurantID)
-> GROUP BY r.restaurantID;
+
+
EXPLAIN
+
-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=0.0020.066 rows=616 loops=1)</temporary>
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=8.9369.059 rows=616 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=451.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.5647.724 rows=1000 loops=1)
-> Table scan on d (cost=101.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.4660.867 rows=1000 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on r using PRIMARY (restaurantID=d.RestaurantID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.0060.006 rows=1 loops=1000)
+
1 row in set (0.01 sec)

Index Design and Query Performance - 2

The following index design indexes on restaurant name for Restaurant attribute. After comparing the execution time of this index design with the default, similar to the first index design, it can be concluded that the execution time worsens with an additional indexing column. The reason is that the additional indexing created unwanted complexity to the performance and since our query does not use any filtering or sorting attribute column that is not a primary or foreign key (which are already efficiently indexed by the database at the creation of relations) indexing on restaurant name is useless.

iliysqi> si								·									
Table	Non_unio	que Key_	name Se	eq_in_index	Column_	name Co	ollation C	ardinality Su	ib_part Pacl	ed Nu	ull Index	_type Cor	nment In	dex_commer	t Visible E	xpression	
Restaura	nt (nt 1	PRIMAF	l Ys	1 restaur 1 name	antID A A	1	1136 N 7 NULL	ULL NULL NULL YE	BTREE	T	1	YES	NULL NULL	1			
2 rows in					-+	r	-+	·	r			-+					
mysql> EX	(PLAIN AN	IALYZE SE	LECT r.n	ame, AVG(d	.Price) AS	AvgPrice	FROM Res	staurant r JOI	N Dishes d C	N (r.re	staurantI) = d.Resta	urantID) (GROUP BY r.	restaurantID;		
I EXPLAIN	 I															+	
i +																	
-> Aggr -> Ne ->	egate usin ested loop Table scar	g temporar inner join n on d (cos	y table (a (cost=451 st=101.25	rows=1000)	3.4993.62 100) (actua (actual tin	9 rows=6 I time=0.0 ne=0.041.	16 loops=1 502.660 .0.484 row) rows=1000 lo s=1000 loops tID) (cost=0.2	s=1)	ctual ti	me=0.002	20.002 rov	ws=1 loops	s=1000)		+	
1 row in se	et (0.01 se	c)														+	
munal>																	

Index Design and Query Performance - 3

mysql>

Similar to first and second index designs, this index design also contributes to no improvement in performance. One potential factor that contributes to longer execution times for this and the previous two index designs is the planning time that the database optimizer takes to efficiently perform query execution. With additional indexing, the storage for additional indexing and the time for analyzing those potential execution paths increases.

, ,	SHOW INDEX FROM Dishes;
Table Visible	++++++
Dishes Dishes Dishes Dishes	1 RestaurantID 1 RestaurantID A 616 NULL NULL BTREE YES NULL 1 restaurantID_and_Price_idx 1 RestaurantID A 616 NULL NULL BTREE YES NULL
	n set (0.00 sec)
mysql> mysql> mysql> +	EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT r.name, AVG(d.Price) AS AvgPrice FROM Restaurant r JOIN Dishes d ON (r.restaurantID = d.RestaurantID) GROUP BY r.restaurantID;
EXPLA	UIN
-> Tabl -> Ag ->	e scan on <temporary> (actual time=0.0020.058 rows=616 loops=1) gregate using temporary table (actual time=2.2292.343 rows=616 loops=1) Nested loop inner join (cost=451.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.0932.060 rows=1000 loops=1) > Index scan on d using restaurantID_and_Price_idx (cost=101.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.0810.458 rows=1000 loops=1) > Single-row index lookup on r using PRIMARY (restaurantID=d.RestaurantID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.0010.001 rows=1 loops=1000)</temporary>
+	
	set (0.01 sec)

Second Advanced Query

SELECT Temp.name, d.Name

FROM (SELECT * FROM Restaurant r WHERE r.cuisine = "Italian") AS Temp JOIN Dishes d ON (Temp.restaurantID = d.RestaurantID) JOIN Purchases p ON (d.RestaurantID = p.RestaurantID) JOIN Reviews ON (p.NetID = Reviews.NetID) WHERE Reviews.Rating >= 4.0;

Default Index Designs mysgl> SHOW INDEX FROM Restaurant; | Table | Non_unique | Key_name | Seq_in_index | Column_name | Collation | Cardinality | Sub_part | Packed | Null | Index_type | Comment | Index_comment | Visible | Expression | 0 | PRIMARY | | Restaurant | 1 | restaurantID | A | 1136 | NULL | NULL | BTREE | | |YES |NULL 1 row in set (0.01 sec) mysgl> SHOW INDEX FROM Dishes; | Table | Non_unique | Key_name | Seq_in_index | Column_name | Collation | Cardinality | Sub_part | Packed | Null | Index_type | Comment | Index comment | Visible | Expression | | 1000| NULL| NULL| |BTREE | | 1 | Name | A |YES |NULL | I Dishes I 1 | RestaurantID | 1 | RestaurantID | A | 616| NULL| NULL| |BTREE | | |YES |NULL 2 rows in set (0.01 sec) mysal> SHOW INDEX FROM Purchases: | Table | Non_unique | Key_name | Seq_in_index | Column_name | Collation | Cardinality | Sub_part | Packed | Null | Index_type | Comment | Index comment | Visible | Expression | 0 | PRIMARY | 1 | PurchaseID | A | 1000 | NULL | NULL | BTREE | | | Purchases | |YES |NULL 1 | NetID | A | |YES |NULL | | Purchases | 1 | NetID | - 1 1 | DishName | A | Purchases | 1 | DishName | |YES |NULL 1 | RestaurantID | 1 | RestaurantID | A | 323 | NULL | NULL | BTREE | | YES | NULL I Purchases I 4 rows in set (0.00 sec) mysql> SHOW INDEX FROM Reviews; | Table | Non_unique | Key_name | Seq_in_index | Column_name | Collation | Cardinality | Sub_part | Packed | Null | Index_type | Comment | Index_comment | Visible | Expression | 0 | PRIMARY | 1 | ReviewID | A | 1000 | NULL | NULL | | BTREE | | | 1 | PurchaseID | 1 | PurchaseID | A | 624 | NULL | NULL | NULL | | BTREE | | | 1 | NetID | 1 | NetID | A | 390 | NULL | NULL | YES | BTREE | | | |YES |NULL | Reviews | 624 | NULL | NULL | BTREE | | | Reviews | YES | NULL 1 | NetID | 1 | NetID | A | |YES |NULL 3 rows in set (0.00 sec) mvsal>

Default Query Performance

Index Design and Query Performance -1

The following explain analysis indexes on type of cuisine for Restaurant relation. The reason for indexing on cuisine is because the advanced query filters an arbitrary cuisine by performing a table scan which is linear in time complexity. In expectation, indexing on cuisine should result in shorter execution time as the scan performance is logarithmic. However, after comparing with the default indexing scheme, we notice that there is no improvement depicted, which potentially means that the database automatically performs non-clustered indexing based on its statistics.

Table Non Expression	++ _unique Key_name ++	Seq_in_index	Column_name	Collation 0	Cardinality Sub	_part Packed	Null Inde	x_type Co	omment Ind	ex_comment	t Visible
Restaurant Restaurant	0 PRIMARY 1 cuisine_idx	1 restaura 1 cuisine	ntID A A	1136 1 11 NULL	NULL NULL . NULL YES	BTREE BTREE	1 1	YES YES	NULL	1	
, .	N ANALYZE SELECT T		,					, .			lating >= 4.0;
EXPLAIN											
-> Nested loc	inner join (cost=587.9. p inner join (cost=527.	88 rows=73) (act	ual time=0.344	14.720 rows=	. ,						

^{-&}gt; Filter: (p.NetID is not null) (cost=101.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.151..0.566 rows=1000 loops=1)

^{-&}gt; Table scan on p (cost=101.25 rows=1000) (actual time=0.150..0.423 rows=1000 loops=1)

-> Filter: (r.cuisine = 'Italian') (cost=0.25 rows=0) (actual time=0.0030.003 rows=0 loops=1000) -> Single-row index lookup on r using PRIMARY (restaurantID=p.RestaurantID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.0030.003 rows=1 loops=1000) -> Filter: (Reviews.Rating >= 4) (cost=0.64 rows=1) (actual time=0.0060.006 rows=0 loops=75) -> Index lookup on Reviews using NetID (NetID=p.NetID) (cost=0.64 rows=3) (actual time=0.0050.006 rows=2 loops=75) -> Index lookup on d using RestaurantID (RestaurantID=p.RestaurantID) (cost=0.66 rows=2) (actual time=0.0020.002 rows=2 loops=26)
†
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
Mysql
Index Design and Query Performance - 2
The second index design indexes on rating for Reviews relations. After comparing the execution
times for current and default index designs, we found that the current index design overall gives
slower execution time.
mysql> SHOW INDEX FROM Reviews;
Table Non_unique Key_name Seq_in_index Column_name Collation Cardinality Sub_part Packed Null Index_type Comment Index_comment Visible Expression
tttttt
Reviews 1 PurchaseID 1 PurchaseID A 624 NULL NULL BTREE YES NULL

4 rows in set (0.00 sec)

1 | rating_idx |

1 | Rating

| Reviews |

mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT Temp.name, d.Name FROM (SELECT * FROM Restaurant r WHERE r.cuisine = "Italian") AS Temp JOIN Dishes d ON (Temp.restaurantID) JOIN Purchases p ON (d.RestaurantID = p.RestaurantID) JOIN Reviews ON (p.NetID = Reviews.NetID) WHERE Reviews.Rating >= 4.0;

NULL | NULL | YES | BTREE

IYES

NULL

1 row in set (0.01 sec)

Index Design and Query Performance - 3

The third index design indexes on two attributes for two different relations, which combines the last two index designs: indexing on cuisine and ratings for Restaurant and Reviews respectively. We can observe that the combination of index definitions comprehensively outputs better execution time for JOINS. Hence this index design can be an acceptable index design for improving query performance.

mysql> SHOW INDEX FROM Restaurant;	
++++++	e
+	
2 rows in set (0.00 sec)	
mysql> SHOW INDEX FROM Reviews;	
Table Non_unique Key_name Seq_in_index Column_name Collation Cardinality Sub_part Packed Null Index_type Comment Index_comment Visible Expression ++	

Reviews	0 PRIMARY	1 ReviewID A		NULL BTREE	!!!	YES NULL	
Reviews	1 PurchaseID	1 PurchaseID A		NULL BTREE		YES NULL	
Reviews Reviews	1 NetID 1 rating idx	1 NetID A 1 Rating A		LL YES BTREE LL YES BTREE	 	YES NULL YES NULL	
++	+	+		+++	 +	++	
4 rows in set (0.00 sec)						
mysql> EXPL	AIN ANALYZE SELEC	CT Temp.name, d.Name I	ROM (SELECT * FROM	Restaurant r WHERE	r.cuisine = "Italia	an") AS Temp JOIN Dishes	d ON
(Temp.restaur	antID = d.RestaurantI	ID) JOIN Purchases p ON	(d.RestaurantID = p.Res	taurantID) JOIN Review	ws ON (p.NetID	= Reviews.NetID) WHERE	E Reviews.Rating >= 4.0;
+							
EXPLAIN							+
i							
+							
I -> Nested lo	op inner join (cost=44	46.49 rows=65) (actual tim	ne=0.2602.358 rows=63	loops=1)			
	. , ,	413.67 rows=40) (actual ti		' '			
		t=250.16 rows=467) (actu		' '			
	,	not null) (cost=86.66 row	, ,		,		
	•	Reviews using rating_idx, \ NetID (NetID=Reviews.Ne	•	• , ,		192) (actual time=0.1570.3	307 rows=192 loops=1)
		(cost=0.25 rows=0) (actua	, ,	`	005 10WS-2 100p	192)	
	, ,	, ,		. ,	actual time=0.0	010.001 rows=1 loops=45	54)
	,	aurantID (RestaurantID=p.	•	, ,	`	•	,
1							
+							
1 row in set (0	.01 sec)					1	
mysql>	,						