







Performance Estimation of a Classifier

- Predictive accuracy works fine, when the classes are balanced
- · That is, every class in the data set are equally important
- In fact, data sets with imbalanced class distributions are quite common in many real life applications
- When the classifier classified a test data set with imbalanced class distributions then, predictive accuracy on its
 own is not a reliable indicator of a classifier's effectiveness.

Example 1: Effectiveness of Predictive Accuracy

- Given a data set of stock markets, we are to classify them as "good" and "worst". Suppose, in the data set, out of 100 entries, 98 belong to "good" class and only 2 are in "worst" class.

 - With this data set, if classifier's predictive accuracy is 0.98, a very high value!
 Here, there is a high chance that 2 "worst" stock markets may incorrectly be classified as "good"
 - On the other hand, if the predictive accuracy is 0.02, then none of the stock markets may be classified as "good"
- Thus, when the classifier classified a test data set with imbalanced class distributions, then predictive
 accuracy on its own is not a reliable indicator of a classifier's effectiveness.
- · This necessitates an alternative metrics to judge the classifier.

Confusion Matrix

A confusion matrix for a two classes (+, -) is shown below.
 Predicted Value
 C1 C2

C1 True positive False negative
C2 False positive True negative

	+	-
+	++	+-
-	-+	

- · There are four quadrants in the confusion matrix, which are symbolized as
 - True Positive (TP: f₊₊): The number of instances that were positive (+) and correctly classified as positive (+v).
 - False Negative (FN: f₊): The number of instances that were positive (+) and incorrectly classified as negative (-). It is also known as Type 2 Error.
 - False Positive (FP: f_+): The number of instances that were negative (-) and incorrectly classified as (+). This also known as Type 1 Error.
 - True Negative (TN: f_): The number of instances that were negative (-) and correctly classified as (-).

1

Confusion Matrix

- $N_p = \text{TP}(f_{++}) + \text{FN}(f_{+-})$
 - = is the total number of positive instances.
- $N_n = FP(f_+) + TN(f_-)$
 - is the total number of negative instances.
- $N = N_p + N_n$
- = is the total number of instances.
- . (TP + TN) denotes the number of correct classification
- . (FP+FN) denotes the number of errors in classification.
- For a perfect classifier FP = FN = 0, that is, there would be no Type 1 or Type 2

Confusion Matrix

Example 2: Confusion matrix

A classifier is built on a dataset regarding Good and Worst classes of stock markets. The model is then tested with a test set of 10000 unseen instances. The result is shown in the form of a confusion matrix. The result is self explanatory.

Class	Good	Worst	Total	Rate(%)
Good	6954	46	7000	99.34
Worst	412	2588	3000	86.27
Total	7366	2634	10000	95.42

Predictive accuracy?

Performance Evaluation Metrics

- · Let us now define a number of metrics for the measurement of a classifier.
 - Assume that there are only two classes: $^+\mbox{(positive)}$ and $-\mbox{(negative)}$
 - Nevertheless, the metrics can easily be extended to multi-class classifiers (with some modifications)
- True Positive Rate (TPR): It is defined as the fraction of the positive examples predicted correctly by the classifier.

$$TPR = \frac{TP}{P} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN} = \frac{f_{++}}{f_{++}+f_{+-}}$$

- This metrics is also known as Recall, Sensitivity or Hit rate.
- False Positive Rate (FPR): It is defined as the fraction of negative examples classified as positive class by the classifier.

FPR =
$$\frac{FP}{N} = \frac{FP}{FP + TN} = \frac{f}{f_{-+} + f_{--}}$$

This metric is also known as False Alarm Rate.

Performance Evaluation Metrics

False Negative Rate (FNR): It is defined as the fraction of positive examples
classified as a negative class by the classifier.

$$FNR = \frac{FN}{P} = \frac{FN}{TP + FN} = \frac{f_{+-}}{f_{++} + f_{+-}}$$

• True Negative Rate (TNR): It is defined as the fraction of negative examples classified correctly by the classifier $TNR = \frac{TN}{N} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} = \frac{f_{--}}{f_{--} + f_{--}}$

$$TNR = \frac{TN}{N} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} = \frac{f_{--}}{f_{--} + f_{--}}$$

· This metric is also known as Specificity.

Performance Evaluation Metrics

Positive Predictive Value (PPV): It is defined as the fraction of the positive examples classified as positive that are really positive

$$PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} = \frac{f_{++}}{f_{++} + f_{-+}}$$

- It is also known as Precision.
- \mathbf{F}_1 Score (\mathbf{F}_1): Recall (r) and Precision (p) are two widely used metrics employed in analysis, where detection of one of the classes is considered more significant than the others.
- It is defined in terms of (r or TPR) and (p or PPV) as follows.

$$F_1 = \frac{2r \cdot p}{r + p} = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN}$$

$$= \frac{2f_{++}}{2f_{++} + f_{\mp} + f_{+-}} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r}}$$

- High value of F₁ score ensures that both Precision and Recall are reasonably high.

Predictive Accuracy (ε)

It is defined as the fraction of the number of examples that are correctly classified by the classifier to the total number of instances.

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &= \frac{TP + TN}{P + N} \\ &= \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + FN + TN} \\ &= \frac{f_{++} + f_{--}}{f_{++} + f_{+-} + f_{\mp} + f_{--}} \end{split}$$

Error Rate (ε)

- The error rate $\bar{\epsilon}$ is defined as the fraction of the examples that are incorrectly classified.

$$\begin{split} \bar{\varepsilon} &= \frac{FP + FN}{P + N} \\ &= \frac{FP + FN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \\ &= \frac{f_{+-} + f_{-+}}{f_{++} + f_{+-} + f_{--}} \end{split}$$

Note

 $\bar{\epsilon}=1-\epsilon.$

Analysis with Performance Measurement Metrics

- Based on the various performance metrics, we can characterize a classifier.
- · We do it in terms of TPR, FPR, Precision and Recall and Accuracy
- · Case 1: Perfect Classifier

When every instance is correctly classified, it is called the perfect classifier. In this case, TP = P, TN = N and CM is

$$TPR = \frac{P}{p} = 1 = \text{Recall}$$

$$FPR = \frac{0}{N} = 0$$

$$Precision = \frac{P}{p} = 1$$

$$F_1 Score = \frac{2 \times 1}{1 + 1} = 1$$

$$Accuracy = \frac{1}{p + N} = 1$$

		Predicted Class	
		+	-
Actual	+	Р	0
	-	0	N

Analysis with Performance Measurement Metrics

· Case 2: Worst Classifier

When every instance is wrongly classified, it is called the worst classifier. In this case, TP=0, TN=0 and the CM is

$$\begin{aligned} TPR &= \frac{0}{p} = 0 = \text{Recall} \\ FPR &= \frac{N}{N} = 1 \\ Precision &= \frac{0}{N} = 0 \\ F, Score &= \text{Not applicable} \\ \text{as } Recall + Precision &= 0 \\ \text{Accuracy} &= \frac{0}{p+N} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

		Predicted Class	
			-
Actual class		0	Р
	-	N	0

Analysis with Performance Measurement Metrics

· Case 3: Ultra-Liberal Classifier

The classifier always predicts the \pm class correctly. Here, the False Negative (FN) and True Negative (TN) are zero. The CM is

$$TPR = \frac{P}{p} = 1 = \text{Recall}$$

$$FPR = \frac{N}{N} = 1$$

$$Precision = \frac{P}{P+N}$$

$$F_1 Score = \frac{2P}{P+N}$$

$$Accuracy = \frac{P}{P+N}$$

		Predicted Class	
			-
Actual class	٠	P	0
		N	0

17

Analysis with Performance Measurement Metrics

Case 4: Ultra-Conservative Classifier

This classifier always predicts the - class correctly. Here, the False Negative (FN) and True Negative (TN) are zero. The CM is

$$TPR = \frac{0}{p} = 0$$
 = Recall $FPR = \frac{0}{N} = 0$ Precision = Not applicable (as $TP + FP = 0$) F_1 Score = Not applicable Accuracy = $\frac{N}{P+N}$

		Predicted Class	
		٠	
3 8		0	Р
da da	-	0	N

Predictive Accuracy versus TPR and FPR

- One strength of characterizing a classifier by its TPR and FPR is that they do not depend on the relative size of P and N.
 - The same is also applicable for FNR and TNR and others measures from CM.
- In contrast, the *Predictive Accuracy, Precision, Error Rate, F*₁ *Score*, etc. are affected by the relative size of *P* and *N*.
- FPR, TPR, FNR and TNR are calculated from the different rows of the CM.
 - On the other hand Predictive Accuracy, etc. are derived from the values in both rouse.
- This suggests that FPR, TPR, FNR and TNR are more effective than Predictive Accuracy, etc.

19