

EPGP DS C55

Mayur Powar

Payal Saluja

Poola Hemanth

OBJECTIVE

Building Logistic regression model & assigning Lead Scores to the prospective candidates of X Education

Problem description

- X Education is an online Education company which has Lead database, some of which got converted & some didn't
- The typical lead conversion rate is 30% which is expected to be maximized to atleast 80%
- Target is to identify the 'Hot Leads' which have a high conversion rate.
- The 'Hot Leads' to be identified by cutoff Lead Scores
- Lead scores to be assigned to each candidates based on probabilities calculated by Logistic regression model.

Contents

- Data Inspection and Missing value treatment
- Dummy variable creation
- Logistic regression modelling
- Model Accuracy Check
- Model fit on test data
- Conclusion
- Recommendations

Data Preparation

Data Inspection and Missing value treatment

- Columns containing >70% missing data were dropped.
- 'City' column had ~40% missing values & was dropped
- In absence of any visible correlation with Activity & Profile, these columns were dropped too
- *Asymmetric Index columns were checked for any possible relation to impute missing values
- Other columns with possible imputations were handled appropriately

Unique value columns

Columns with only one type of unique values were dropped in absence of variability

Imputation

• High missing value containing columns were imputed with suitable values

Cleaned dataset

| Lead Origin | Lead Source | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Do Not Email | Converted | |
| Total Visits | Total Time Spent on Website | |
| Page Views Per Visit | Last Activity | |
| Country | Specialization | |
| Tags | Lead Quality | |
| What is your current occupation | | |
| Afree copy of Mastering The Interview | | |
| Last Notable Activity | | |

• After data cleaning, 12 columns are left.

Outlier treatment

- Numeric columns were treated for Outliers
- Data within +/- 3*Standard deviation was retained

DUMMY VARIABLES & NUMERICAL ENCODING TRAIN-TEST SPLIT

Tostart with Logistic regression, Dummy variables arecreated with <u>Original</u> <u>Categorical variables</u> are dropped after dummycreation.

Yes&No values in columns are converted to 1 & 0 respectively. The final dataset contains-

Rows:9112

• Columns:150

Final dataset is split into train and test dataset in 70%-30% proportion.

- Train & Test data are split into X & y.
- y is taken as 'Converted', remaining variables as X.


Model building

- 15 Features were selected using RFE.
- Six Logistic regression models were built iteratively
- Final model was selected based on:
 - 1.p-values < 0.05 for all variables, indicating significance
 - 2.VIF<5, indicating absence of multicollinearity
- Model performance measures-
- 1. High values of Accuracy, Sensitivity & Specificity indicate good predictive powers of model.
- 2. Low False positive rate indicates model's ability to predict positive values accurately.

| Accuracy | 89.21% |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Sensitivity | 83.35% |
| Specificity | 92.92% |
| False Positive Rate | 7.07% |
| Positive Predictive Value | 88.20% |
| Negative Predictive Value | 89.79% |

MODEL ACCURACY CHECK

Accuracy, Sensitivity & Specificity plot to find optimum cutoff for probability



- The three curves intersect at ~0.32.
- Model accuracy at this point is 89.21%, which is very close to earlier calculated value.

MODEL FIT ON TEST DATA

- Final model was fit on the testdata.
- Predictions of Converted values were made.
- The accuracy achieved on test dataset is also same at 88.84%.
- Sensitivity of 83.13% and Specificity of 92.30% wasachieved.
- Thesemeasures indicate a good fit of model on the test dataaswell.

CONVERSION

- Tocalculate Conversion on theentire dataset, amaster data frame was created with final y(s) from train and testsets.
- From train, 'y_train_pred_final' and from test, 'y_pred_final' are concatenated
- Cutoff LeadScorewasapplied on this dataset toselect only Hot leads
- At LeadScore of 38, Conversion of 88%wasachieved, which is more than target of80%

RECOMMENDATION

- Toget more customers, XEducation must keep the lead score lower, starting at '0'. But to achieve target conversion of greater than 80%, it should keep the cut off at 30.
- Thus, in the model, data frame changed for cut off Lead Score to gauge the Conversion percentages w.r.t. actual converted.
- I Lowering the lead score cut off reduces conversion %, but it increases number of actual converted.
- Based on the man power availability with XEducation, it may decide to give weightage to **conversion** % or **actual numbers**.

