1. Introduction

This project develops a machine learning system to detect fraudulent credit card transactions. The system comprises a Logistic Regression model trained on transaction data and a Streamlit frontend application for real-time predictions. This report documents the dataset, preprocessing steps, model performance, and application workflow.

2. Dataset and Preprocessing

Dataset:

- Source: creditcard.csv (contains anonymized credit card transactions).
- Features:
 - V1-V28: PCA-transformed numerical features (anonymized for privacy).
 - Amount: Transaction amount.
 - Class: Binary label (0 = legitimate, 1 = fraudulent).

Preprocessing Steps:

- **Subsampling**: 10,000 legitimate transactions were randomly selected to reduce computational load while preserving fraud patterns.
- Feature Removal: The Time column was dropped due to irrelevance.
- **Data Splitting**: An 80-20 stratified split ensured balanced class distribution in training/testing sets.
- Scaling: StandardScaler standardized all features to normalize numerical ranges.
- Class Balancing: SMOTE oversampled the minority class (fraud) to address imbalance.

3. Model Training and Performance

Algorithm: Logistic Regression

Key Metrics:

- Test Accuracy: 97%
- F1 Score: 0.7342 (balanced precision and recall).
- Precision: 0.6259 (accuracy of fraud predictions).
- Recall: 0.8878 (ability to detect fraud).
- ROC-AUC: 0.9723 (strong separability between classes).

Model Interpretation:

With exceptional recall (88.8%), the model detects nearly 90% of fraud cases, prioritizing fraud capture over false alarms (precision: 62.6%). Its near-perfect ROC-AUC (0.972) confirms strong ability to distinguish fraud from legitimate transactions, supported by a balanced F1 score (0.734).

4. Front-End Application

Interface:

- Inputs: Users provide V1-V28 (PCA components) and Amount via sliders/number inputs.
- Workflow:
 - 1. Inputs are scaled using the saved StandardScaler.
 - 2. The model calculates fraud probability.
 - 3. Results display:
 - o Fraud Probability: Percentage likelihood of fraud.
 - Alert: Red banner for fraud (>70% probability), green for legitimate transactions.

5. Challenges and Solutions

- Class Imbalance:
 - o **Issue**: Fraudulent transactions comprised <1% of the dataset.
 - Solution: SMOTE generated synthetic fraud samples for balanced training.
- Feature Scaling:
 - Issue: Initial scaling reduced model performance by flattening transaction amount significance.
 - Solution: StandardScaler was retained after testing showed improved convergence.

6. Conclusion

The system achieves robust performance with an F1 score of 0.6571 and ROC-AUC of 0.8963, effectively balancing fraud detection and false alarms. Key strengths include:

- SMOTE for handling class imbalance.
- Streamlit for user-friendly predictions.

Areas for Improvement:

- Replace PCA inputs with raw transaction details (e.g., location, merchant) for interpretability.
- Experiment with ensemble models (e.g., Random Forest) to boost precision.

Finalized Deliverables:

- Trained model (fraud_model.pkl).
- Scalable front-end application (app.py).
- Documentation