

Bayesian_Statistics_HW1

陈子睿 15220212202842

3/26/24

1 Different priors for Gamma distribution

Consider $y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \text{Gamma}(a, a\theta)$ where a is given and $\theta > 0$.

(a) The Jeffrey's prior is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\theta) &\propto \sqrt{I(\theta)} \\ &= \sqrt{-E_Y \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \theta} \log f(Y|\theta) \right]} \\ &= \sqrt{-E_Y \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \theta} (a \log(a\theta) - \log(\Gamma(a)) + (a-1) \log X - a\theta X) \right]} \\ &= \sqrt{-E_Y \left[-\frac{a}{\theta^2} \right]} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) A possible conjugate prior of θ is

$$a\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta).$$

Hence

$$\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \frac{\beta}{a}).$$

Then we have the prior density

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{(\frac{\beta}{a})^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}\theta},$$

and the density of y

$$p(y) = \frac{(a\theta)^a}{\Gamma(a)} y^{a-1} e^{-a\theta y}.$$

The posterior density is:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\theta|y_1, \dots, y_n) &\propto p(y_1, \dots, y_n|\theta)\pi(\theta) \\ &\propto \left[\frac{(a\theta)^a}{\Gamma(a)} \right]^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{a-1} e^{-a\theta y_i} \right) \frac{(\frac{\beta}{a})^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}\theta} \\ &\propto \theta^{\alpha+na-1} e^{-(a \sum_i y_i + \frac{\beta}{a})\theta} \\ &\sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + na, a \sum_i y_i + \frac{\beta}{a}).\end{aligned}$$

(c) Now we assume that a is unknown but fixed for using an empirical Bayes approach. By the definition of Gamma distribution, for $z \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$, we have that

$$E(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta},$$

$$\text{Var}(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}.$$

Therefore, $E(y_i) = a/a\theta = 1/\theta$, $\text{Var}(y_i) = a/(a\theta)^2 = 1/a\theta^2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we can define $\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})$ as:

$$\hat{a}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}.$$

By some calculation, we can conclude that

$$E[\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})] = E\left[\frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}\right] = a.$$

Then the empirical Bayes prior is

$$\pi(\theta|\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})) = \frac{(\frac{\beta}{\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})})^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})}\theta}$$

Since the empirical Bayes approach is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|y_1, \dots, y_n, \hat{a}(\mathbf{y})) &\propto p(y_1, \dots, y_n|\theta) \pi(\theta|\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})) \\ &\propto \theta^{\alpha+n\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})-1} e^{-(\hat{a}(\mathbf{y}) \sum_i y_i + \frac{\beta}{\hat{a}(\mathbf{y})})\theta} \\ &= \theta^{\alpha+n\bar{y}^2/s^2-1} e^{-(\bar{y}^2/s^2 \sum_i y_i + \frac{\beta}{\bar{y}^2/s^2})\theta} \\ &\sim \text{Gamma}(a + n\frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}, \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2} \sum_i y_i + \frac{\beta}{\bar{y}^2/s^2}). \end{aligned}$$

2 A coin toss question in the uniform prior case

Since the prior knowledge about p is that $0 \leq p \leq 1$, the uniform prior of p can be considered as

$$f(p) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}.$$

The likelihood of the experiment is

$$f(x|p) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

Therefore the posterior is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(p|x) &\propto f(x|p)f(p) \\ &= \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \\ &\propto p^{10} (1-p)^{12-10} \\ &\sim \text{Beta}(11, 3). \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose $y \sim \text{Binomial}(5, p)$, then the posterior prediction distribution is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(y|x) &= \int f(y|x, p) f(p|x) dp \\
 &= \int \binom{5}{m} p^m (1-p)^{5-m} \frac{1}{B(11, 3)} p^{10} (1-p)^2 dp \\
 &= \int \binom{5}{m} \frac{1}{B(11, 3)} p^{10+m} (1-p)^{7-m} dp \\
 &= \binom{5}{m} \frac{B(10+m+1, 8-m)}{B(11, 3)} \int \frac{1}{B(10+m+1, 8-m)} p^{10+m} (1-p)^{7-m} dp \\
 &= \binom{5}{m} \frac{B(10+m+1, 8-m)}{B(11, 3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the prediction of the number of tails if we toss the coin 5 times more is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(y|x) &= \int m \binom{5}{m} \frac{B(10+m+1, 8-m)}{B(11, 3)} dm \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^5 m \binom{5}{m} \frac{B(10+m+1, 8-m)}{B(11, 3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the program below

```

y <- 0
n <- 5
m <- 0
for (m in 0:5) {
  y<- y + m * choose(n,m) * beta(10+m+1,8-m) / beta(11,3)
}
y

```

we have

[1] 3.928571.

3 Posterior predictive distribution of univariate normal with unknown mean

We're given that $X_1, \dots, X_n | \mu \sim \text{i.i.d } N(\mu, \sigma_1^2)$ and $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\mu | X_1, \dots, X_n) &\propto f(\mu) f(X_1, \dots, X_n | \mu) \\
 &= f(\mu) \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i | \mu) \\
 &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \right\} \prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (X_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \\
 &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 \right] \right\} \\
 &\sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_n = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \mu_0 + \frac{n}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{x}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma_1^2}} \text{ and } \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma_1^2}.$$

The the posterior predictive distribution $f(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \int f(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n, \mu) f(\mu | X_1, \dots, X_n) d\mu \\
 &\propto \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (X_{n+1} - \mu)^2 \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_n^2} (\mu - \mu_n)^2 \right\} d\mu \\
 &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_n^2)} (X_{n+1} - \mu_n)^2 \right\} \\
 &\sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_n^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

4 Dirichlet distribution

(a) The Dirichlet prior has form

$$f(\theta | \alpha) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha)} \theta_{11}^{4-1} \theta_{01}^{2-1} \theta_{10}^{2-1} \theta_{00}^{3-1}.$$

where $\theta = (\theta_{11}, \theta_{01}, \theta_{10}, \theta_{00})'$, $\alpha = (4, 2, 2, 3)'$. By the property of Dirichlet distribution Dirichlet distribution, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\theta_{11}) &= \frac{4}{4+2+2+3} = \frac{4}{11}, E(\theta_{01}) = \frac{2}{4+2+2+3} = \frac{2}{11}, \\
 E(\theta_{10}) &= \frac{2}{4+2+2+3} = \frac{2}{11}, E(\theta_{00}) = \frac{3}{4+2+2+3} = \frac{3}{11}.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Var(\theta_{11}) = \frac{4 \times (11-4)}{11^2 \times 12} = \frac{7}{363}, Var(\theta_{01}) = \frac{2 \times (11-2)}{11^2 \times 12} = \frac{3}{242},$$

$$Var(\theta_{10}) = \frac{2 \times (11 - 2)}{11^2 \times 12} = \frac{3}{242}, Var(\theta_{00}) = \frac{3 \times (11 - 3)}{11^2 \times 12} = \frac{2}{121}.$$

(b) The posterior is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta|y) &\propto f(\theta)f(y|\theta) \\ &\propto \theta_{11}^3 \theta_{01} \theta_{10} \theta_{00}^2 \binom{55}{y_{11}, y_{01}, y_{10}, y_{00}} \theta_{11}^{y_{11}} \theta_{01}^{y_{01}} \theta_{10}^{y_{10}} \theta_{00}^{y_{00}} \\ &\propto \theta_{11}^{3+y_{11}} \theta_{01}^{1+y_{01}} \theta_{10}^{1+y_{10}} \theta_{00}^{2+y_{00}} \\ &\sim Dirichlet(4 + y_{11}, 2 + y_{01}, 2 + y_{10}, 3 + y_{00}) \\ &= Dirichlet(29, 8, 9, 16) \end{aligned}$$

(c) In the same case as (a), we have

$$E(\theta_{11}|y) = \frac{29}{62}, E(\theta_{01}|y) = \frac{4}{31}, E(\theta_{10}|y) = \frac{9}{62}, E(\theta_{00}|y) = \frac{8}{31},$$

$$Var(\theta_{11}|y) = \frac{319}{80724}, Var(\theta_{01}|y) = \frac{12}{6727}, Var(\theta_{10}|y) = \frac{53}{26908}, Var(\theta_{00}|y) = \frac{184}{60543}.$$

(d) Using R, we have

```
library(MCMCpack)
alpha <- c(29, 8, 9, 16)
# generating Dirichlet Distribution sample
set.seed(123)
samples <- rdirichlet(100000, alpha)
ci_95 <- apply(samples, 2, function(x) quantile(x, probs = c(0.025, 0.975)))
print(ci_95)
```

| | [,1] | [,2] | [,3] | [,4] |
|-------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| 2.5% | 0.3454844 | 0.05858723 | 0.06968283 | 0.1584641 |
| 97.5% | 0.5913356 | 0.22236531 | 0.24273000 | 0.3735698 |

The 95% posterior interval, based on the exact posterior distribution is given by the output.

5 Log-normal distribution

(a) The prior distribution of $\log\theta$ is $N(2.5, 0.5^2)$. For convenience, we denote $\psi = \log\theta \sim N(2.5, 0.5^2)$. Then the posterior distribution of ψ is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\psi|x) &\propto f(x|\psi)f(\psi) \\ &\sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mu_n = \frac{\frac{n}{\sigma_1^2} \bar{x} + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2}}{\frac{n}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}} = \frac{\frac{10}{0.05^2} \times 3.035 + \frac{2.5}{0.5^2}}{\frac{10}{0.05^2} + \frac{1}{0.5^2}} = 3.034466,$$

and

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{10}{0.05^2} + \frac{1}{0.5^2}} = 0.01580349^2.$$

(b) A symmetric 95% posterior interval for $\log\theta$ is

$$[\mu_n - z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_n, \mu_n + z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_n] = [3.003492, 3.06544].$$

(c) A symmetric 95% posterior interval for θ is

$$[e^{\mu_n - z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_n}, e^{\mu_n + z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_n}] = [20.15579, 21.4439].$$

(d) The posterior probability that $\theta < 20$ is equivalent to $\log\theta < \log 20$, by using

```
pnorm(log(20), mean = mean, sd = sd, lower.tail = TRUE, log.p = FALSE)
[1] 0.007123774
```

Thus

$$P(\theta < 20) = P(\log\theta < \log 20) = 0.007123774.$$