Multivariate Analysis - Homework 5

- 1. For multivariate regression models, using the same notations as slides, derive
 - (a) the distribution of predicted vector $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0$ of a new response \mathbf{y}_0 , with observed \mathbf{x}_0 ;
 - (b) the $(1 \alpha)\%$ confidence region/ellipse of $E(\mathbf{y}_0)$;
 - (c) the $(1 \alpha)\%$ simultaneous confidence intervals of all the p components of $E(\mathbf{y}_0)$ (for the derivation of simultaneous confidence interval, please refer to Result 5.3 in P225 of book "Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis");
 - (d) the $(1-\alpha)\%$ simultaneous prediction intervals of all the p components of \mathbf{y}_0 .
- 2. Use (1) inequality, (2) Lagrange multiplier, (3) SVD to obtain principal components.
- 3. For the covariance matrix of $\mathbf{y} = (Y_1, Y_2)'$,

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

- (a) Determine the population principal components $\mathbf{z} = (Z_1, Z_2)'$ by hand.
- (b) Compute the proportion of total population variance explained by the first principal component Z_1 .
- (c) Suppose the original variables follows bivariate normal distribution with mean vector (1,2)'. Sketch the constant density ellipse and indicate the principal components on your graph.
- (d) Convert the covariance matrix to a correlation matrix \mathbf{P} . Determine the principal components $\mathbf{v} = (V_1, V_2)'$ from \mathbf{P} , and compute the proportion of total population variance explained by the first principal component V_1 .
- (e) Compare the components calculated in (d) with those obtained in (b). Are they the same? Should they be?
- (f) Find the correlation matrix $CORR(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y})$, $CORR(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{y})$ and $CORR(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v})$, respectively. Comment.
- 4. We present the results of several factor analyses on bone and skull measurements of white leghorn fowl. The full data set consists of n=276 measurements on bone dimensions:

Head:
$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \text{skull length} \\ X_2 = \text{skull breadth} \end{cases}$$
Leg:
$$\begin{cases} X_3 = \text{femur length} \\ X_4 = \text{tibia length} \end{cases}$$
Wing:
$$\begin{cases} X_5 = \text{humerus length} \\ X_6 = \text{ulna length} \end{cases}$$

The sample correlation matrix is

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.000 & .505 & .569 & .602 & .621 & .603 \\ .505 & 1.000 & .422 & .467 & .482 & .450 \\ .569 & .422 & 1.000 & .926 & .877 & .878 \\ .602 & .467 & .926 & 1.000 & .874 & .894 \\ .621 & .482 & .877 & .874 & 1.000 & .937 \\ .603 & .450 & .878 & .894 & .937 & 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Obtain the estimated factor loadings by the principal component method, suppose 3 factors are used.
- (b) Estimate the specific variances.
- (c) Estimate the communalities.
- (d) Estimate the proportion of variance explained by each factor.
- (e) Compute the residual matrix and comment the result.
- (f) Suppose the rotated factor loadings are as follows, give the interpretation of the factors.

Rotated F_1^*	estimated F_2^*	loadings F_3^*
.355	.244	.902
.235	.949	.211
.921	.164	.218
.904	.212	.252
.888	.228	.283
.908	.192	.264

- 5. (R exercise.) Consider the air-pollution data (attached in a separate .dat file.)
 - (a) Conduct a principal component analysis of the data using both the covariance matrix and correlation matrix. What have you learned? Give the detail of the analysis, your conclusion remarks and the interpretations.
 - (b) Refer to the variables Y_1, Y_2, Y_5, Y_6 . Obtain the principal component solution to a factor model with m = 1 and m = 2.

- (c) Find the maximum likelihood estimates of **L** and Ψ for m=1 and m=2.
- (d) Compare the factorization obtained by the principal component and maximum likelihood methods.
- (e) Rotate the factors using varimax methods based on the principal component method with m=2. Interpret the result.
- (f) Plot the rotated factor scores associated with (d) and analyze the typical values.