

Given a planning instance  $I_\delta$  from Sheila's algorithm, we construct an NFA  $M = (Q, A, \Delta, q_0, F)$  as follows:

1.  $Q$  is the set of state objects  $S_0, \dots, S_n$  mentioned in  $I_\delta$ , plus  $S_f$ ;
2.  $A$  is the set of operator names in  $I_\delta$ , plus  $end$ ;
3.  $\Delta$  is the transition between state objects defined by operator description, plus  $\Delta(S_n, end) = \{S_f\}$ ;
4.  $q_0$  is  $S_0$ ;
5.  $F$  is  $\{S_f\}$ .

As above, we know that  $A$  contains primitive actions and some auxiliary actions like *noop*, *test*, *free* and *end*. Here we mark  $NT$  the subset of  $A$ , as it contains all the *noop* and *test* actions.

From the given transition  $\Delta(s, a) = s'$  for states  $s$  and  $s'$  and action  $a$ , we can define the transition for states set  $R$  and action sequence  $\vec{c}$  as classical automaton as follows:

1.  $\Delta(R, a) = \bigcup_{s \in R} \Delta(s, a)$ ;
2.  $\Delta(R, \vec{c} \cdot a) = \Delta(\Delta(R, \vec{c}), a)$ .

So we say an action sequence  $\vec{c}$  is accepted by  $M$ , if  $S_f \in \Delta(S_0, \vec{c})$ .

Now we construct an NFA  $M' = (Q', A, \Delta', q_0, F)$  from  $M = (Q, A, \Delta, q_0, F)$  constructed from  $I_\delta$ , as follows:

- $Q' = \{S_0\} \cup \bigcup_{s \in Q, a \in A - NT} \Delta(s, a)$ ;
- 

$$\Delta'(s, \vec{c}) = \begin{cases} \Delta(s, \vec{c}) & \text{if } s \in Q' \text{ and } \vec{c} = \vec{nt} \cdot a, \text{ where } \vec{nt} \in NT^* \text{ and } a \in A - NT \\ \emptyset & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We want to demonstrate the equivalence of the two NFA  $M$  and  $M'$ : an action sequence  $\vec{c}$  is accepted by  $M'$ , iff  $\vec{c}$  is accepted by  $M$ .

To prove the equivalence, we firstly prove that: for arbitrary  $s \in Q'$  and  $\vec{c} = \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m$ , where  $\vec{nt}_i \in NT^*$  and  $a_i \in A - NT$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $\Delta'(s, \vec{c}) = \Delta(s, \vec{c})$ .

Proof: we use structure induction to prove.

(1) Base: consider  $\vec{c} = \vec{nt} \cdot a$ , then  $\Delta'(s, \vec{c}) = \Delta(s, \vec{c})$  from the definition.

(2) Induction step: consider  $\vec{c} = \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m$ , where  $m > 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta'(s, \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m) \\ &= \Delta'(s, (\vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_{m-1} \cdot a_{m-1}) \cdot \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m) \\ &= \Delta'(\Delta'(s, \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_{m-1} \cdot a_{m-1}), \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m) \end{aligned}$$

We mark  $\Delta(s, \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_{m-1} \cdot a_{m-1})$  as  $P$  for short, and from inductive assumption, we have  $\Delta'(s, \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_{m-1} \cdot a_{m-1}) = P$ . Then we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta'(s, \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m) \\
&= \bigcup_{s' \in P} \Delta'(s', \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m) \\
&= \bigcup_{s' \in P} \Delta(s', \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m) [\text{from definition}] \\
&= \Delta(s, \vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_m \cdot a_m)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof is done. ■

And now the equivalence of  $M$  and  $M'$  is easy to demonstrate. As *end* is always the final and only action to transit to  $S_f$ , every action sequence  $\vec{c}$  accepted by  $M$ , i.e.  $S_f \in \Delta(S_0, \vec{c})$ , should be the form  $\vec{nt}_1 \cdot a_1 \cdots \vec{nt}_m \cdot \text{end}$ . Hence we know  $\vec{c}$  is accepted by  $M'$ , as  $\Delta'(S_0, \vec{c}) = \Delta(S_0, \vec{c})$  contains  $S_f$  too. Vice verse.

Our improvement is to combine the action sequence  $\vec{nt} \cdot a$  into an action  $a'$ , of which the name is as the same as  $a$ 's; and precondition is the conjunctions of the preconditions of  $nt_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$  and  $a$ , with state function restrict updated from  $M'$ ; and effects are the union of the effects of  $nt_i$  and  $a$ , also with state function updated from  $M'$ ; and lastly the parameters should be the union of the ones of  $nt_i$  and  $a$ . And we mark the new planning instance transformed from  $I_\delta$  as  $I'_\delta$ .

As we know that NFAs  $M$  and  $M'$  are equivalence, we conclude that the plans for  $I_\delta$  and  $I'_\delta$ , filtering the actions in  $NT$ , would be exact the same.