

Shirui Ye

CS542

PS2

Cover

I tried to use Latex and work on Overleaf.

However, I found out it took me 1 hr and 15min

just for first question, since It took a lot of time

to type in the math symbols and doing so really

interrupted my logic. In this case, to give my

best effort, I decide to hand write this assignment

Thanks for the understanding.

Shirui Ye

CS 542

PS2

3.3 (i) data dependent noise variance

we have $E_0(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N r_n \{t_n - w^T \phi(x_n)\}^2$

we take the $E_0(w)$'s derivative then set it to 0, finally, we solve for w which is the answer. The process is below:

$$\frac{dE_0(w)}{dw} = -\sum_{n=1}^N r_n \{t_n - w^T \phi(x_n)\} \phi(x_n) = 0$$

$$w = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N r_n t_n \phi(x_n) \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^N r_n \phi(x_n) \phi(x_n)^T \right)^{-1}$$

(ii) replicated data points

we define $R = \text{diagonal}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$

Then we use matrix products to write the error function

$$E_0(w) = \frac{1}{2} (\phi w - t)^T (\phi w - t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (w^T \phi^T R \phi w - 2 t^T R \phi w + t^T R t) \quad \dots \quad R = \text{diagonal}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$$

Finally, get gradient of the error function

$$\nabla E_0(w) = \phi^T R \phi w - t^T R \phi$$

$$w = R t (\phi^T R \phi)^{-1} \phi^T$$

Shirui Ye

CS542

PS2

3.11

According to the linear regression function given by 3.59, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}_{N+1}^2(x) = \phi(x)^T S_{N+1} \phi(x) + \frac{1}{B}$$

Then, based on $\ln p(t|w, \beta) = \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln (2\pi) - \beta E_D(w)$

$$\text{we have } S_{N+1} = (S_N^{-1} + B\phi_{N+1}\phi_{N+1}^T)^{-1} = S_N - \frac{\beta S_N \phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+1}^T S_N}{\beta \phi_{N+1}^T S_N \phi_{N+1} + 1}$$

combine with previous formula, we get

$$\hat{\sigma}_{N+1}^2(x) = \phi(x)^T \phi(x) \left(S_N - \frac{\beta S_N \phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+1}^T S_N}{1 + \beta \phi_{N+1}^T S_N \phi_{N+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{B}$$

$$= \hat{\sigma}_N^2(x) = \frac{\beta \phi(x)^T S_N \phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+1}^T S_N \phi(x)}{\beta \phi_{N+1}^T S_N \phi_{N+1} + 1}$$

we know $S_N \geq 0$, so top: $\beta \phi(x)^T S_N \phi_{N+1} \phi_{N+1}^T S_N \phi(x) \geq 0$

bottom: $\beta \phi_{N+1}^T S_N \phi_{N+1} + 1 \geq 0$

Thus, we successfully show $\hat{\sigma}_N^2(x)$ satisfies $\hat{\sigma}_{N+1}^2(x) \leq \hat{\sigma}_N^2(x)$

Shirui Ye

CS542

PS2

3.14

We know we suppose $\phi_j(x)$ are linearly independent and $\phi_0(x)=1$. we have 3.115 and need to prove. $\sum_{n=1}^N k(x, x_n) = 1$

According to the question, we have $\alpha=0$, we know $S_{11} = (B\Phi^T\Phi)^{-1}$

Then, $k(x, x') = \psi(x)^T \psi(x')$

We know $\psi(x) = V\phi(x)$ $V = M \times M$ $\phi(x) = V^{-1}\psi(x)$

According to $\psi(x) = V\phi(x)$ and $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \dots & \phi_{M-1}(x_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \phi_1(x_N) & \dots & \phi_{M-1}(x_N) \end{pmatrix}$

We have $\Phi = \Phi V^T$, then $\Phi = \psi V^T$ [$V^{-T} = (V^{-1})^T \Rightarrow \psi^{-T}\Phi = I$]

Now we can have $S_{11} = B^{-1}(\Phi^T\Phi)^{-1} = B^{-1}VV^T$

$\psi(x)=1 \quad \sum_{n=1}^N \psi_i(x_n)\psi_i(x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N \psi_i(x_n)^2 = S_{ii}$

we also know $\begin{bmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_n(x_1) \end{bmatrix} [\psi_1(x_1) \dots \psi_n(x_n)] = I$

Finally, $\sum_{n=1}^N k(x, x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N \psi(x)^T \psi(x_n) = \sum \psi_i(x) S_{ii} = \psi_I(x) = 1$

Thus, we successfully show that the kernel satisfies the summation constraint $\sum_{n=1}^N k(x, x_n) = 1$

Shinui Ye

CS542

PS2

3.2.1

We have $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln|A| = \text{Tr}(A^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} A)$

Now we follow the question, consider the eigenvalue expansion of a real symmetric matrix A , we write A in $A u_i = \lambda_i u_i$

Then $\ln|A| = \ln \prod_{i=1}^M u_i = \sum_{i=1}^M \ln u_i$ and $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln|A| = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{u_i} \frac{d}{d\lambda} u_i$

We now expand $A u_i = \lambda_i u_i$ by an expansion of its eigenvalue, we have

$A = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$, Then A^{-1} can be expressed $A^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^T$

Now, let's work on $\text{Tr}(A^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} A) = \text{Tr}(\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^T \frac{d}{d\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k u_k u_k^T)$

$$= \text{Tr}(\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^T [\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{d\lambda_k}{d\lambda} u_k u_k^T + \lambda_k (\frac{du_k}{d\lambda} u_k^T + u_k \frac{du_k^T}{d\lambda})])$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^T \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k (\frac{d\lambda_k}{d\lambda} u_k u_k^T + u_k \frac{du_k^T}{d\lambda}))$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{d\lambda_k}{d\lambda} u_k u_k^T + u_k \frac{du_k^T}{d\lambda}) = \text{Tr}(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^M u_i u_i^T)$$

Since we know $\sum_{i=1}^M u_i u_i^T = I$

Then $\text{Tr}(A^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} A) = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{d\lambda_i}{d\lambda}$

Finally $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln p(t|\lambda B) = \frac{M}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(A^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} A) - \frac{1}{2} m_{nv}^T m_{nv}$ (factor out $\frac{1}{2}$)

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\frac{M}{2} - \text{Tr}(A^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} A) - m_{nv}^T m_{nv})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\frac{M}{2} - \text{Tr}(A^{-1}) - m_{nv}^T m_{nv})$$

Shirui Ye

CSS42

PS2

2. programming (Report & calculation)

(a) Linear Regression

According to the question, FTP and WE are two key variables, we use the linear function to calculate the third variable.

Thus, we use $Y = B_0 + B_1 X_1 + \dots + B_k X_k + \epsilon$ which is $y = B X + \epsilon$

Then we write out the matrix format:

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_0 \\ B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_n \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & \dots & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \quad \epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

And $\hat{y} = X \hat{B}$ where $\hat{B} = (X'X)^{-1} X'y$

We know the cost function is $\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$, now we need find all case for all possible.

After the procedures, we can determine LIC is the third variable.

The final formula we will use is below:

$$\underline{y = 0.017 LIC + 0.185 FTP + 0.107 WE - 58.124}$$

As for the code, please see the next pages.