Please check the examination det	ails below	before ente	ring your candidate i	nformation
Candidate surname			Other names	
Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level	Centre	Number	Cand	idate Number
Thursday 11	Oct	obe	r 2018	
Afternoon (Time: 1 hour 30 minu	utes)	Paper Re	eference <b>WPS</b>	01/01
Psychology International Advance Paper 1: Social and Co		•		
You do not need any other ma	iterials.			Total Marks

### Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer **all** questions.
- Answer the questions in the spaces provided
  - there may be more space than you need.

### Information

- The total mark for this paper is 64.
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets
  - use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.
- The list of formulae and statistical tables are printed at the start of this paper.
- Candidates may use a calculator.

### **Advice**

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

Turn over ▶



P55456A



### **FORMULAE AND STATISTICAL TABLES**

**Standard deviation (sample estimate)** 

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum (x-\bar{x})^2}{n-1}\right)}$$

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient

$$1 - \frac{6\sum d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}$$

Critical values for Spearman's rank

Level of significance for a one-tailed test

	Level of significance for a one-tailed test						
	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.0025		
	Le	vel of signifi	cance for a	two-tailed t	est		
N	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005		
5	0.900	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
6	0.829	0.886	0.943	1.000	1.000		
7	0.714	0.786	0.893	0.929	0.964		
8	0.643	0.738	0.833	0.881	0.905		
9	0.600	0.700	0.783	0.833	0.867		
10	0.564	0.648	0.745	0.794	0.830		
11	0.536	0.618	0.709	0.755	0.800		
12	0.503	0.587	0.678	0.727	0.769		
13	0.484	0.560	0.648	0.703	0.747		
14	0.464	0.538	0.626	0.679	0.723		
15	0.446	0.521	0.604	0.654	0.700		
16	0.429	0.503	0.582	0.635	0.679		
17	0.414	0.485	0.566	0.615	0.662		
18	0.401	0.472	0.550	0.600	0.643		
19	0.391	0.460	0.535	0.584	0.628		
20	0.380	0.447	0.520	0.570	0.612		
21	0.370	0.435	0.508	0.556	0.599		
22	0.361	0.425	0.496	0.544	0.586		
23	0.353	0.415	0.486	0.532	0.573		
24	0.344	0.406	0.476	0.521	0.562		
25	0.337	0.398	0.466	0.511	0.551		
26	0.331	0.390	0.457	0.501	0.541		
27	0.324	0.382	0.448	0.491	0.531		
28	0.317	0.375	0.440	0.483	0.522		
29	0.312	0.368	0.433	0.475	0.513		
30	0.306	0.362	0.425	0.467	0.504		

The calculated value must be equal to or exceed the critical value in this table for significance to be shown.



AREA

### **Chi-squared distribution formula**

$$X^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$
  $df = (r-1)(c-1)$ 

### Critical values for chi-squared distribution

		• • ••		
		' CIMBITICADO '	IAR 3 ANA_TSII	DO TOCT
LEVE	v	significance i	ivi a viie-tali	eu lest

	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.0005
		Level of s	ignificance	for a two-		
df	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.001
1	1.64	2.71	3.84	5.02	6.64	10.83
2	3.22	4.61	5.99	7.38	9.21	13.82
3	4.64	6.25	7.82	9.35	11.35	16.27
4	5.99	7.78	9.49	11.14	13.28	18.47
5	7.29	9.24	11.07	12.83	15.09	20.52
6	8.56	10.65	12.59	14.45	16.81	22.46
7	9.80	12.02	14.07	16.01	18.48	24.32
8	11.03	13.36	15.51	17.54	20.09	26.12
9	12.24	14.68	16.92	19.02	21.67	27.88
10	13.44	15.99	18.31	20.48	23.21	29.59
11	14.63	17.28	19.68	21.92	24.73	31.26
12	15.81	18.55	21.03	23.34	26.22	32.91
13	16.99	19.81	22.36	24.74	27.69	34.53
14	18.15	21.06	23.69	26.12	29.14	36.12
15	19.31	22.31	25.00	27.49	30.58	37.70
16	20.47	23.54	26.30	28.85	32.00	39.25
17	21.62	24.77	27.59	30.19	33.41	40.79
18	22.76	25.99	28.87	31.53	34.81	42.31
19	23.90	27.20	30.14	32.85	36.19	43.82
20	25.04	28.41	31.41	34.17	37.57	45.32
21	26.17	29.62	32.67	35.48	38.93	46.80
22	27.30	30.81	33.92	36.78	40.29	48.27
23	28.43	32.01	35.17	38.08	41.64	49.73
24	29.55	33.20	36.42	39.36	42.98	51.18
25	30.68	34.38	37.65	40.65	44.31	52.62
26	31.80	35.56	38.89	41.92	45.64	54.05
27	32.91	36.74	40.11	43.20	46.96	55.48
28	34.03	37.92	41.34	44.46	48.28	56.89
29	35.14	39.09	42.56	45.72	49.59	58.30
30	36.25	40.26	43.77	46.98	50.89	59.70
40	47.27	51.81	55.76	59.34	63.69	73.40
50	58.16	63.17	67.51	71.42	76.15	86.66
60	68.97	74.40	79.08	83.30	88.38	99.61
70	79.72	85.53	90.53	95.02	100.43	112.32

The calculated value must be equal to or exceed the critical value in this table for significance to be shown.



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

### **Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test process**

- Calculate the difference between two scores by taking one from the other
- Rank the differences giving the smallest difference Rank 1

Note: do not rank any differences of 0 and when adding the number of scores, do not count those with a difference of 0, and ignore the signs when calculating the difference

- Add up the ranks for positive differences
- Add up the ranks for negative differences
- T is the figure that is the smallest when the ranks are totalled (may be positive or negative)
- N is the number of scores left, ignore those with 0 difference

## Critical values for the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test

## Level of significance for a one-tailed test

	0.05	0.025	0.01
	Level of sig	nificance for a two	o-tailed test
n	0.1	0.05	0.02
N=5	0	-	-
6	2	0	-
7	3	2	0
8	5	3	1
9	8	5	3
10	11	8	5
11	13	10	7
12	17	13	9

The calculated value must be equal to or less than the critical value in this table for significance to be shown.





DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

SECT	ION	Α

### **SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY**

Answer ALL questions in this section. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

(Total for Question 1 = 2 marl	ks)
	(2)
Explain <b>one</b> factor that could affect conformity.	(2)



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

2	2 Helena completed an investigation about conformity using participants from her local school.				
	In Condition A there was one naive participant.				
	• In Condition B there were four confederates and one naive participant.				
	Both conditions listened to 15 well-known, common songs that Helena had previously selected.				
	In Condition A, the participant was asked to give the song title.				
	In Condition B, the confederates sometimes gave a previously agreed incorrect song title in order to measure if the naive participant would agree with the group majority.				
	(a) State the independent variable for this study.	(4)			
		(1)			
	(b) Explain <b>one</b> strength and <b>one</b> weakness of the investigation conducted by Helena.	(4)			
	Strength				
	Weakness				
	Wedness				



Helena replicated both conditions of her investigation eight times using different participants in each replication.

The results for the number of incorrect song titles given by each participant is shown in **Table 1**.

Participant	Condition A No Confederates	Participant	Condition B Four Confederates
А	2	I	7
В	1	J	1
С	3	К	8
D	4	L	6
E	3	М	7
F	2	N	8
G	0	0	1
Н	1	Р	8

Table 1

(c) State <b>one</b> conclusion Helena could make using the results in <b>Table 1</b> .	(1)

(d) Calculate the standard deviation for Condition B using **Table 2** below.

The formula can be found in the formulae and statistical tables at the front of the paper.

You must give your answer to two decimal places.

(2)

Participant	Score	$x - \overline{x}$	$(x-\overline{x})^2$
I	7	1.25	1.56
J	1	-4.75	22.56
K	8	2.25	5.06
L	6	0.25	0.06
M	7	1.25	1.56
N	8	2.25	5.06
0	1	-4.75	22.56
Р	8	2.25	5.06
Total	46		
Mean score for Condition B	5.75		

Table 2
Space for calculations

Standard deviation for Condition B .....

(Total for Question 2 = 8 marks)

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

	(Total for Question 3	= 2 marks)



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

1	ualitative data.	
(6	<ul> <li>Describe how you analysed the qualitative data from your social practical investigation.</li> </ul>	
		(2)
(	c) Explain <b>one</b> strength and <b>one</b> weakness of how you analysed the qualitative data from your social practical investigation.	
	nom your social practical investigation.	(4)
	Strength	
	Weakness	

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

5	Assess whether social power theory is a complete explanation of obedience.	(8)



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

(Total for Question 5 – 9 marks)
(Total for Question 5 = 8 marks)  TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 26 MARKS

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

## **BLANK PAGE**

SECTION B BEGINS ON THE NEXT PAGE.



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

#### **SECTION B**

#### **COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY**

### Answer ALL questions in this section. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

- 6 In cognitive psychology, you will have learned about one of the following contemporary studies in detail.
  - Darling et al. (2007) Behavioural evidence for separating components within visuo-spatial working memory.
  - Sacchi et al. (2007) Changing history: doctored photographs affect memory for past public events.

(a) Describe the procedure of your chosen contemporary study.	(3)

Chosen study

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

(b) Explain <b>one</b> strength and o	one weakness of this co	ntemporary study.	(4)
Strength			
Weakness			
		(Total for Question (	5 = 7 marks)
		(10000000000000000000000000000000000000	



- **7** Philippa carried out an investigation into memory. She used a random sample of 16 participants from her local college of 208 students.
  - In Condition A participants learned and recalled a list of words in a quiet classroom.
  - In Condition B the same participants learned and recalled a second list of words in a noisy coffee shop.
  - (a) Calculate the fraction of the college student population Philippa used in her memory investigation.

You **must** express the fraction in its lowest form.

(1)

Fraction .....

# **Space for calculations**

from the 208 college students.	(2)

(b) Describe how Philippa could have obtained her random sample of 16 participants

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

(c) Describe how Philippa could have used counterbalancing in her investigation	n. (2)
(d) Explain <b>two</b> variables that Philippa may have needed to control when design her word lists.	
1	(4)
2	



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

State what is meant by '≤'.	(1)
Philippa concluded that students recall less words from a word list they learn in a noisy coffee shop than from a word list they learn in a quiet classroom.	
(f) Justify, using working memory model, the conclusion that Philippa made.	(1)
(Total for Question 7 = 11	marks)

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

8	Femi and Asha were sent by their newspaper editor to report on an international sporting event. They spent the day taking pictures of the athletes and recording who won medals in each event.	
	When they returned to their office they wrote their articles about the sporting event and submitted these to the editor. She was surprised that there were differences in Femi and Asha's accounts of the sporting event.	
	Discuss how the multi-store model of memory could explain the differences in Femi and Asha's articles.	
	You must refer to the context in your answer.	(8)



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

	(Total for Question 8 = 8 marks)
7	TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 26 MARKS



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

<b>SECTION C</b>
------------------

Answer the question in this section. Write your answer in the space p	rovided.
<b>9</b> Evaluate psychological research into obedience.	
	(12)

	λ				
				7	
	y				
	d				é
	ζ				
	à				
			è		
	d				
	q				
	g				
	y				
	q	P	٦	P	
	3	ľ	3	Ę	ı
\$	9	Ę	2	5	
8	9	Ę	3	Ş	S
\$	9	Ę	3	Ş	
?	9	Ę		2	
>	9	F			
5	9	F			
>	9	F			
3	9				
?	9				
3	9				
?	3				
	3				
?	9				
>					
>					
\ \ \					

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA



(Total for Question 9 = 12 marks)
(Total for Question 9 = 12 marks)