

Scheduling Concepts (Worked Example)

We'll use three processes:

- **A:** Burst time = 6
- **B:** Burst time = 4
- **C:** Burst time = 8
- All arrive at time 0.

FCFS (First-Come, First-Served)

- Order: $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$
- Completion times:
 - $A = 6$
 - $B = 10$
 - $C = 18$
- Turnaround times (TAT = completion – arrival):
 - $A = 6$
 - $B = 10$
 - $C = 18$
- Waiting times (WT = TAT – burst):
 - $A = 0$
 - $B = 6$
 - $C = 10$
- Averages:
 - Avg TAT = $(6 + 10 + 18) \div 3 = 11.33$
 - Avg WT = $(0 + 6 + 10) \div 3 = 5.33$

Round Robin (Quantum = 4)

- Order slices:
 - A: 4 (remaining 2), B: 4 (done), C: 4 (remaining 4), A: 2 (done), C: 4 (done).
- Completion times:
 - $B = 8$
 - $A = 10$
 - $C = 18$
- Turnaround times:
 - $A = 10$
 - $B = 8$

- $C = 18$
- Waiting times:
 - $A = 10 - 6 = 4$
 - $B = 8 - 4 = 4$
 - $C = 18 - 8 = 10$
- Averages:
 - $\text{Avg TAT} = (10 + 8 + 18) \div 3 = 12$
 - $\text{Avg WT} = (4 + 4 + 10) \div 3 = 6$

Reflection (Week 5)

This week's exercises helped me understand how operating systems manage processes and decide which tasks run at a given time. By experimenting with foreground and background jobs, I saw how the shell can control multiple processes and how the OS tracks them. Adjusting priorities with `nice` and `renice` showed me how scheduling can be influenced manually, and how CPU usage changes when a process has lower priority.

Working through FCFS and Round Robin scheduling examples gave me a clearer picture of how waiting time and turnaround time are calculated. I realized that FCFS is simple but can cause long waiting times for later processes, while Round Robin improves fairness by giving each process a time slice.

Overall, I learned that process scheduling is about balancing efficiency and fairness. These concepts are not just theoretical — they directly affect system performance and responsiveness. I feel more confident now in analyzing scheduling problems and using Linux commands to observe and control processes.