

Foto: Dr. Matthias Otte

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014–2018 under grant agreement No. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



**EUROfusion**

**HELMHOLTZ**

RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES



# IMPURITY TRANSPORT AND RADIATION AT THE STELLARATOR WENDELSTEIN 7-X

Promotionskolloquium

February 1, 2026

P. Scholl<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics,  
Wendelsteinstr. 1, D-17491 Greifswald, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University Greifswald  
Domstr. 11, D-17489 Greifswald, Germany

# CONTENTS

Motivation and Context

Bolometer Diagnostic System

Real-Time Radiation Feedback Control

Line of Sight Sensitivity and Modeling

Tomographic Reconstruction

Conclusions and Outlook

# MOTIVATION AND CONTEXT

# NUCLEAR FUSION: THE ENERGY CHALLENGE

- D-T fusion reaction:  ${}^2\text{H} + {}^3\text{H} \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}$   
(3.5 MeV) + n (14.1 MeV)
- Lawson criterion for net energy gain:

$$n_e T \tau_E \geq \frac{12 f_{tot}}{\langle \sigma_{DT} v \rangle f_H^2 E_\alpha - 4 L_Z(T)} T^2$$

- Requires: high temperature ( $T \sim 10\text{-}20$  keV), density, and confinement time
- Magnetic confinement: tokamaks and stellarators

# NUCLEAR FUSION: THE ENERGY CHALLENGE

- D-T fusion reaction:  ${}^2\text{H} + {}^3\text{H} \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}$   
(3.5 MeV) + n (14.1 MeV)
- Lawson criterion for net energy gain:

$$n_e T \tau_E \geq \frac{12 f_{tot}}{\langle \sigma_{DT} v \rangle f_H^2 E_\alpha - 4 L_Z(T)} T^2$$

- Requires: high temperature ( $T \sim 10\text{-}20$  keV), density, and confinement time
- Magnetic confinement: tokamaks and stellarators

## Triple Product

Key figure of merit for fusion performance combining density, temperature, and energy confinement time

# WENDELSTEIN 7-X STELLARATOR

- World's largest stellarator ( $R = 5.5$  m,  $a \sim 0.5$  m)
- 50 superconducting coils, 5-fold symmetry
- Island divertor configuration
- Optimized for reduced neoclassical transport
- Operational phases: OP1.1, OP1.2a, OP1.2b
- Achieved 30 minute plasma discharges

# WENDELSTEIN 7-X STELLARATOR

- World's largest stellarator ( $R = 5.5$  m,  $a \sim 0.5$  m)
- 50 superconducting coils, 5-fold symmetry
- Island divertor configuration
- Optimized for reduced neoclassical transport
- Operational phases: OP1.1, OP1.2a, OP1.2b
- Achieved 30 minute plasma discharges

## Key Challenge

Managing heat loads on plasma-facing components through controlled radiative cooling

# PLASMA RADIATION AND TRANSPORT

## Transport Mechanisms:

- Classical: collisional diffusion
- Neoclassical: trapped particles,  $\propto 1/\nu$
- Anomalous: turbulent transport (dominant)

## Radiation Processes:

- Bremsstrahlung:  $P_{Brems} \propto n_e^2 T^{1/2}$
- Line radiation: atomic transitions
- Impurity effects on plasma performance



# PLASMA RADIATION AND TRANSPORT

## Transport Mechanisms:

- Classical: collisional diffusion
- Neoclassical: trapped particles,  $\propto 1/\nu$
- Anomalous: turbulent transport (dominant)

## Radiation Processes:

- Bremsstrahlung:  $P_{Brems} \propto n_e^2 T^{1/2}$
- Line radiation: atomic transitions
- Impurity effects on plasma performance

# PLASMA RADIATION AND TRANSPORT

## Transport Mechanisms:

- Classical: collisional diffusion
- Neoclassical: trapped particles,  $\propto 1/\nu$
- Anomalous: turbulent transport (dominant)

## Radiation Processes:

- Bremsstrahlung:  $P_{Brems} \propto n_e^2 T^{1/2}$
- Line radiation: atomic transitions
- Impurity effects on plasma performance

## Impurity Seeding

Deliberate injection of low-Z (He, N<sub>2</sub>) or high-Z (Ne, Ar) impurities to enhance edge cooling and achieve detachment

## Radiation Fraction:

$$f_{rad} = \frac{P_{rad}}{P_{ECRH}}$$

# BOLOMETER DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM

# BOLOMETRY AT W7-X

## Metal Resistor Bolometers:

- Thin metal film absorbers (Pt, Au)
- Wheatstone bridge circuit
- Measures total radiation power
- Multicamera system: HBC, VBCI, VBCr
- 128 channels total
- Spatial resolution:  $\sim 5$  cm at magnetic axis

# BOLOMETRY AT W7-X

## Metal Resistor Bolometers:

- Thin metal film absorbers (Pt, Au)
- Wheatstone bridge circuit
- Measures total radiation power
- Multicamera system: HBC, VBCI, VBCr
- 128 channels total
- Spatial resolution:  $\sim 5$  cm at magnetic axis

## Measurement Principle:

$$P_M = F_M \cdot \left( \frac{d(\Delta \tilde{U}_M)}{dt} + f_M \Delta \tilde{U}_M \right)$$

## Global Radiation Power:

$$P_{rad} = \frac{V_{P,tot}}{V_C} \sum_M \frac{P_M V_M}{K_M}$$

# BOLOMETER CALIBRATION AND PERFORMANCE

## Calibration Methods:

- In-situ laser calibration
- Electrical calibration
- Etendue calculations:  
$$K_M = \int_M \widetilde{K}_M dA_M$$
- Volume determination:  $V_M$  (LOS cone volume)

## Performance Characteristics:

- Time resolution:  $\sim 1$  ms
- Sensitivity:  $\sim 10$  kW/m<sup>3</sup>
- Uncertainty:  $\sim 10$ -15%

# BOLOMETER CALIBRATION AND PERFORMANCE

## Calibration Methods:

- In-situ laser calibration
- Electrical calibration
- Etendue calculations:  
$$K_M = \int_M \widetilde{K}_M dA_M$$
- Volume determination:  $V_M$  (LOS cone volume)

## Performance Characteristics:

- Time resolution:  $\sim 1$  ms
- Sensitivity:  $\sim 10$  kW/m<sup>3</sup>
- Uncertainty:  $\sim 10$ -15%

# BOLOMETER CALIBRATION AND PERFORMANCE

## Calibration Methods:

- In-situ laser calibration
- Electrical calibration
- Etendue calculations:  

$$K_M = \int_M \widetilde{K}_M dA_M$$
- Volume determination:  $V_M$  (LOS cone volume)

## Performance Characteristics:

- Time resolution:  $\sim 1$  ms
- Sensitivity:  $\sim 10$  kW/m<sup>3</sup>
- Uncertainty:  $\sim 10$ -15%

## Chord Brightness

Line-integrated measurement along each detector's line of sight provides radial profile information

## Applications:

- Power balance calculations
- Radiation profile monitoring
- Real-time feedback control
- Tomographic reconstruction



# REAL-TIME RADIATION FEED- BACK CONTROL

# FEEDBACK SYSTEM DESIGN

## System Architecture:

- NI 6321 data acquisition hardware
- LabVIEW control software
- PID controller implementation
- Thermal gas valve actuation
- Minimum latency: 13.6 ms

## PID Controller:

$$u(t) = K_p e(t) + K_i \int e(t') dt' + K_d \frac{de(t)}{dt}$$

# FEEDBACK SYSTEM DESIGN

## System Architecture:

- NI 6321 data acquisition hardware
- LabVIEW control software
- PID controller implementation
- Thermal gas valve actuation
- Minimum latency: 13.6 ms

## PID Controller:

$$u(t) = K_p e(t) + K_i \int e(t') dt' + K_d \frac{de(t)}{dt}$$

## Radiation Prediction Proxies:

*Subset-based (3-7 channels):*

$$P_{pred}^{(1)} = \frac{V_{P,tor}}{V_S} \sum_M^S \frac{P_M V_M}{K_M}$$

*Single channel (dimensionless):*

$$P_{pred}^{(2)} \propto \Delta U_M$$

# EXPERIMENTAL ACHIEVEMENTS

## XP20181010.32 - Benchmark

### Discharge:

- Stable helium-seeded detachment
- Radiation fraction:  $f_{rad} > 90\%$
- Peak values up to 100%
- Target heat load reduction: factor of 2
- $C^{3+}$  detachment at  $f_{rad} \sim 50\%$
- Validated 3-channel LOS subset

# EXPERIMENTAL ACHIEVEMENTS

## XP20181010.32 - Benchmark Discharge:

- Stable helium-seeded detachment
- Radiation fraction:  $f_{rad} > 90\%$
- Peak values up to 100%
- Target heat load reduction: factor of 2
- $C^{3+}$  detachment at  $f_{rad} \sim 50\%$
- Validated 3-channel LOS subset

### Key Result

Demonstrated stable, feedback-controlled radiative cooling with  $f_{rad} \geq 85\%$  without terminal plasma disruption

### Comparison with Other Methods:

- Electron density feedback
- C-III filterscope feedback
- Bolometer feedback shows intrinsic connection to detachment physics

# FEEDBACK PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

- **Gas Injection Dynamics:** Moderately scaled gas puffs (medium length and intensity) most effective for reliable edge cooling
- **System Limitations:** Computational and algorithmic constraints introduce non-negligible latencies
- **Optimization Challenges:** Difficult to optimize during commissioning due to limited experimental time

# FEEDBACK PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

- **Gas Injection Dynamics:** Moderately scaled gas puffs (medium length and intensity) most effective for reliable edge cooling
- **System Limitations:** Computational and algorithmic constraints introduce non-negligible latencies
- **Optimization Challenges:** Difficult to optimize during commissioning due to limited experimental time

## Critical Finding

The intrinsic connection of  $P_{rad}$  and  $f_{rad}$  to the detachment process makes bolometer feedback essential for future fusion reactor applications

# LINE OF SIGHT SENSITIVITY AND MODELING



# IMPURITY SEEDING MODELS

## Two-Chamber Model:

$$\dot{N}_w = (N_{w,\text{lim}} - N_w) \tau_{w,p}$$

$$\dot{N}_p = \Gamma_s + N_w \tau_{w,p} - f_{w,p} N_p - N_p \tau_p$$

- Plasma and wall compartments
- Particle exchange rates
- Pumping and recycling

# IMPURITY SEEDING MODELS

## Two-Chamber Model:

$$\dot{N}_w = (N_{w,\text{lim}} - N_w) \tau_{w,p}$$

$$\dot{N}_p = \Gamma_s + N_w \tau_{w,p} - f_{w,p} N_p - N_p \tau_p$$

- Plasma and wall compartments
- Particle exchange rates
- Pumping and recycling

## Three-Chamber Model:

$$\dot{N}_w = (N_{w,\text{lim}} - N_w) \tau_{w,s}$$

$$\dot{N}_s = \Gamma_s - N_s (\tau_{s,p} + \tau_s) + g(N_p, N_s) - c_0$$

$$\dot{N}_p = N_s \tau_{s,p} - \frac{N_p N_s}{N_{p,\text{lim}}}$$

- Adds SOL compartment
- Better represents edge physics
- Both models equally capable of representing feedback measurements

# CHANNEL SELECTION SENSITIVITY

## LOS Sensitivity Evaluation:

- Weighted deviation metric
- Correlation analysis
- Mean deviation assessment

## Optimal Channel Selection:

- No single "best" set exists
- Robust selection achieves  $\geq 85\%$  prediction accuracy
- Agreement between HBC and VBC cameras

# CHANNEL SELECTION SENSITIVITY

## LOS Sensitivity Evaluation:

- Weighted deviation metric
- Correlation analysis
- Mean deviation assessment

## Optimal Channel Selection:

- No single "best" set exists
- Robust selection achieves  $\geq 85\%$  prediction accuracy
- Agreement between HBC and VBC cameras

### Key Finding

Detectors viewing separatrix and SOL region most viable for real-time feedback configurations

# STRAHL IMPURITY TRANSPORT MODELING

## STRAHL Code:

- 1D impurity transport simulation
- Models carbon and oxygen radiation
- Includes ionization, recombination
- Diffusion and convection transport

## Key Results:

- Carbon dominates SOL emissivity
- Reduced diffusivity near separatrix
- Inward shift of radiation for  $f_{rad} \rightarrow 1$
- Radiation moves from outside to inside separatrix

# STRAHL IMPURITY TRANSPORT MODELING

## STRAHL Code:

- 1D impurity transport simulation
- Models carbon and oxygen radiation
- Includes ionization, recombination
- Diffusion and convection transport

## Key Results:

- Carbon dominates SOL emissivity
- Reduced diffusivity near separatrix
- Inward shift of radiation for  $f_{rad} \rightarrow 1$
- Radiation moves from outside to inside separatrix

## Forward Modeling:

- Calculate chord brightness from STRAHL profiles
- Compare with experimental measurements
- Validate transport assumptions

## Discrepancy

Forward calculations show less asymmetry in chord brightness than experiments, suggesting model limitations in capturing 3D effects

# TOMOGRAPHIC RECONSTRUCTION

# MINIMUM FISHER REGULARIZATION (MFR)

## Ill-Posed Inverse Problem:

- 128 line-integrated measurements
- Reconstruct 2D emissivity:  $\sim 4500$  pixels
- Requires regularization

## Fisher Information:

$$I_F = \int \frac{1}{g(\vec{r})} \left( \frac{\partial g(\vec{r})}{\partial \vec{r}} \right)^2 d\vec{r}$$

## Iterative Solution:

$$\vec{x}^{(n+1)} = \left( \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{T} + \mu \mathbf{H}^{(n)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}^T \vec{b}$$

## Radially Dependent Anisotropy (RDA):

- Tailored weighting:  $k_{ani}(r)$
- $k < 1$ : localized structures
- $k > 1$ : smooth distributions
- Separate core and edge parameters

## MFR Advantages

- Robust to noisy data
- Smooth solutions
- Incorporates a priori knowledge
- Stable convergence



# GEOMETRY SENSITIVITY AND BENCHMARKING

## Geometry Perturbation Tests:

- Camera position variations
- Detector/aperture segmentation  
( $N=2,4,8$ )
- Triangulation vs rectangular splitting
- Etendue calculation validation

# GEOMETRY SENSITIVITY AND BENCHMARKING

## Geometry Perturbation Tests:

- Camera position variations
- Detector/aperture segmentation (N=2,4,8)
- Triangulation vs rectangular splitting
- Etendue calculation validation

## Key Findings:

- Significant robustness to geometry variations
- $N \geq 8$  segmentation adequate
- Intrinsic bias toward upper SOL/separatrix
- Camera displacement effects non-negligible

# GEOMETRY SENSITIVITY AND BENCHMARKING

## Phantom Image Benchmarks:

- Simple and complex test profiles
- Quality metrics:  $\chi^2$ ,  $\rho_c$
- Optimal  $k_{ani}$  depends on profile
- No universal "best" parameters

# GEOMETRY SENSITIVITY AND BENCHMARKING

## Phantom Image Benchmarks:

- Simple and complex test profiles
- Quality metrics:  $\chi^2$ ,  $\rho_c$
- Optimal  $k_{ani}$  depends on profile
- No universal "best" parameters

### Recommended Settings

$k_{ani} = \{2.0, 0.3\}$  (core, edge)

Grid:  $30 \times 150$  pixels

Domain:  $1.3^2 V_P$

# EXPERIMENTAL TOMOGRAPHY RESULTS

## Statistical Analysis:

- Forward vs backward  $P_{rad}$  correlation
- Core radiation slightly overestimated
- Total power significantly overestimated
- Consistent with phantom benchmarks

# EXPERIMENTAL TOMOGRAPHY RESULTS

## Statistical Analysis:

- Forward vs backward  $P_{rad}$  correlation
- Core radiation slightly overestimated
- Total power significantly overestimated
- Consistent with phantom benchmarks

## Power Balance Application:

$$P_{bal} = P_{ECRH} - P_{rad} - P_{div}$$

- $P_{2D}^{core}$  improves balance accuracy
- More stable than  $P_{rad}$  alone
- Alternative for quasi-steady-state analysis

# TOMOGRAPHY QUALITY ASSESSMENT

- **Reconstruction Accuracy:**  $P_{rad}$  consistently underestimates total 2D integrated power by amount equal to SOL radiation
- **Quality Metrics:**  $\chi^2$  and correlation coefficient  $\rho_c$  not always congruent - optimal tomogram may not have  $\chi^2 = 1$
- **Anisotropy Optimization:** Ideal  $k_{ani}$  set exists for each profile but dimensionality makes finding it impractical
- **Experimental Validation:** Results agree well with phantom benchmarks, supporting algorithm reliability

# TOMOGRAPHY QUALITY ASSESSMENT

- **Reconstruction Accuracy:**  $P_{rad}$  consistently underestimates total 2D integrated power by amount equal to SOL radiation
- **Quality Metrics:**  $\chi^2$  and correlation coefficient  $\rho_c$  not always congruent - optimal tomogram may not have  $\chi^2 = 1$
- **Anisotropy Optimization:** Ideal  $k_{ani}$  set exists for each profile but dimensionality makes finding it impractical
- **Experimental Validation:** Results agree well with phantom benchmarks, supporting algorithm reliability

## Conclusion

MFR with RDA weighting provides robust, reliable 2D radiation reconstructions suitable for physics analysis and power balance calculations, though computational limitations prevent continuous real-time operation



# CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

# KEY ACHIEVEMENTS

1. **Real-Time Feedback System:** Successfully implemented, tested and operated first bolometer radiation feedback at W7-X
2. **Line of Sight Optimization:** Systematic sensitivity analysis identified optimal detector configurations
3. **Tomographic Reconstruction:** Developed and benchmarked tailored MFR algorithm with RDA weighting

# KEY ACHIEVEMENTS

1. **Real-Time Feedback System:** Successfully implemented, tested and operated first bolometer radiation feedback at W7-X
  - Achieved stable detachment with  $f_{rad} \geq 85\%$ , peaks up to 100%
  - Reduced target heat loads by factor of 2
  - Validated 3-channel LOS subset for fast prediction
2. **Line of Sight Optimization:** Systematic sensitivity analysis identified optimal detector configurations
3. **Tomographic Reconstruction:** Developed and benchmarked tailored MFR algorithm with RDA weighting

# KEY ACHIEVEMENTS

1. **Real-Time Feedback System:** Successfully implemented, tested and operated first bolometer radiation feedback at W7-X
  - Achieved stable detachment with  $f_{rad} \geq 85\%$ , peaks up to 100%
  - Reduced target heat loads by factor of 2
  - Validated 3-channel LOS subset for fast prediction
2. **Line of Sight Optimization:** Systematic sensitivity analysis identified optimal detector configurations
  - Separatrix and SOL viewing channels most effective
  - Robust selection achieves  $\geq 85\%$  prediction accuracy
  - No single "best" set - multiple viable configurations
3. **Tomographic Reconstruction:** Developed and benchmarked tailored MFR algorithm with RDA weighting

# KEY ACHIEVEMENTS

1. **Real-Time Feedback System:** Successfully implemented, tested and operated first bolometer radiation feedback at W7-X
  - Achieved stable detachment with  $f_{rad} \geq 85\%$ , peaks up to 100%
  - Reduced target heat loads by factor of 2
  - Validated 3-channel LOS subset for fast prediction
2. **Line of Sight Optimization:** Systematic sensitivity analysis identified optimal detector configurations
  - Separatrix and SOL viewing channels most effective
  - Robust selection achieves  $\geq 85\%$  prediction accuracy
  - No single "best" set - multiple viable configurations
3. **Tomographic Reconstruction:** Developed and benchmarked tailored MFR algorithm with RDA weighting
  - Robust to geometry perturbations and noise
  - Successfully applied to experimental data
  - Provides alternative for power balance calculations

# SCIENTIFIC INSIGHTS

## Detachment Physics:

- $C^{3+}$  detachment visible at  $f_{rad} \sim 50\%$
- Stable detachment achievable at  $f_{rad} > 90\%$
- Radiation shifts inward as  $f_{rad} \rightarrow 1$
- X-point and island radiation concentration

# SCIENTIFIC INSIGHTS

## Detachment Physics:

- $C^{3+}$  detachment visible at  $f_{rad} \sim 50\%$
- Stable detachment achievable at  $f_{rad} > 90\%$
- Radiation shifts inward as  $f_{rad} \rightarrow 1$
- X-point and island radiation concentration

## Impurity Transport:

- Carbon dominates SOL emissivity
- Reduced diffusivity near separatrix
- Complex 3D effects in stellarator geometry

# SCIENTIFIC INSIGHTS

## Detachment Physics:

- $C^{3+}$  detachment visible at  $f_{rad} \sim 50\%$
- Stable detachment achievable at  $f_{rad} > 90\%$
- Radiation shifts inward as  $f_{rad} \rightarrow 1$
- X-point and island radiation concentration

## Impurity Transport:

- Carbon dominates SOL emissivity
- Reduced diffusivity near separatrix
- Complex 3D effects in stellarator geometry

## Feedback Control:

- Moderate gas puffs most effective
- No simple scaling law found
- Intrinsic connection to detachment essential
- System latency limits performance



# SCIENTIFIC INSIGHTS

## Detachment Physics:

- $C^{3+}$  detachment visible at  $f_{rad} \sim 50\%$
- Stable detachment achievable at  $f_{rad} > 90\%$
- Radiation shifts inward as  $f_{rad} \rightarrow 1$
- X-point and island radiation concentration

## Impurity Transport:

- Carbon dominates SOL emissivity
- Reduced diffusivity near separatrix
- Complex 3D effects in stellarator geometry

## Feedback Control:

- Moderate gas puffs most effective
- No simple scaling law found
- Intrinsic connection to detachment essential
- System latency limits performance

## Diagnostic Performance:

- Multicamera system provides comprehensive coverage
- Geometry uncertainties affect results
- Tomography reveals SOL radiation underestimation

# FUTURE OUTLOOK AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## System Upgrades:

- Reduce feedback latency through hardware/software optimization
- Implement more complex  $P_{\text{pred}}$  models
- Per-experiment configurability
- Develop predictive scaling laws

# FUTURE OUTLOOK AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## System Upgrades:

- Reduce feedback latency through hardware/software optimization
- Implement more complex  $P_{\text{pred}}$  models
- Per-experiment configurability
- Develop predictive scaling laws

## Modeling Improvements:

- Refine multi-chamber models with experimental data
- Integrate with other SOL models
- Better parametric optimization
- 3D effects in STRAHL modeling

# FUTURE OUTLOOK AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## System Upgrades:

- Reduce feedback latency through hardware/software optimization
- Implement more complex  $P_{\text{pred}}$  models
- Per-experiment configurability
- Develop predictive scaling laws

## Modeling Improvements:

- Refine multi-chamber models with experimental data
- Integrate with other SOL models
- Better parametric optimization
- 3D effects in STRAHL modeling

## Tomography Extensions:

- Larger phantom benchmark set
- Additional virtual camera arrays
- Real-time capable algorithms
- Automated parameter optimization

# FUTURE OUTLOOK AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## System Upgrades:

- Reduce feedback latency through hardware/software optimization
- Implement more complex  $P_{\text{pred}}$  models
- Per-experiment configurability
- Develop predictive scaling laws

## Modeling Improvements:

- Refine multi-chamber models with experimental data
- Integrate with other SOL models
- Better parametric optimization
- 3D effects in STRAHL modeling

## Tomography Extensions:

- Larger phantom benchmark set
- Additional virtual camera arrays
- Real-time capable algorithms
- Automated parameter optimization

## Reactor Relevance:

- Essential for DEMO power plant operation
- Reliable radiative cooling at  $f_{\text{rad}} \geq 95\%$
- Machine protection and heat load management
- Steady-state operation capability

# BROADER IMPACT

## Contribution to Fusion Energy Research

This thesis advances the state-of-the-art in plasma diagnostics and control for stellarator fusion devices, providing essential tools and knowledge for achieving reactor-relevant operating scenarios with controlled radiative cooling and stable detachment.

# BROADER IMPACT

## Contribution to Fusion Energy Research

This thesis advances the state-of-the-art in plasma diagnostics and control for stellarator fusion devices, providing essential tools and knowledge for achieving reactor-relevant operating scenarios with controlled radiative cooling and stable detachment.

### Technical Contributions:

- First real-time bolometer feedback at W7-X
- Validated diagnostic optimization methods
- Benchmarked tomography algorithm
- Demonstrated stable high- $f_{\text{rad}}$  operation

# BROADER IMPACT

## Contribution to Fusion Energy Research

This thesis advances the state-of-the-art in plasma diagnostics and control for stellarator fusion devices, providing essential tools and knowledge for achieving reactor-relevant operating scenarios with controlled radiative cooling and stable detachment.

### Technical Contributions:

- First real-time bolometer feedback at W7-X
- Validated diagnostic optimization methods
- Benchmarked tomography algorithm
- Demonstrated stable high- $f_{\text{rad}}$  operation

### Scientific Contributions:

- Detachment physics understanding
- Impurity transport insights
- Radiation distribution characterization
- Power balance methodology



# SUMMARY

- Developed and operated first real-time bolometer radiation feedback system at W7-X stellarator
- Achieved stable, controlled detachment with radiation fractions  $f_{rad} \geq 85\%$  and peaks up to 100%
- Reduced divertor target heat loads by factor of 2 through controlled impurity seeding
- Validated 3-channel bolometer subset for fast radiation power prediction with  $\geq 85\%$  accuracy
- Systematic line of sight sensitivity analysis identified optimal detector configurations for feedback
- STRAHL modeling revealed carbon dominance in SOL radiation and inward shift at high  $f_{rad}$
- Developed and benchmarked Minimum Fisher Regularization tomography with radially dependent anisotropy
- Demonstrated robust 2D radiation reconstruction from experimental data
- Provided alternative power balance methodology using tomographic integrals
- Established essential approach for future fusion reactor heat load management

# COLLABORATIONS

## Acknowledgement

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

## Collaborators:



**HELMHOLTZ**  
RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES



# Thank You

Questions?

# REFERENCES I