

Mathematical Foundations of Computing

Propositional Calculus : 2

N Geetha

AM & CS

PSG College of Technology

Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

- Consider the proposition $p \rightarrow q$
 - Its converse is the proposition $q \rightarrow p$
 - Its inverse is the proposition $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
 - Its contrapositive is the proposition $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Eg.

- If it snows, the traffic moves slowly.
- p : it snows; q : the traffic moves slowly
- **Converse:** If the traffic moves slowly, then it snows.
- **Inverse:** If it does not snow, then the traffic does not move slowly.
- **Contrapositive:** If the traffic does not move slowly, then it does not snow.

Examples to work: C, I and CP

- If I am not the President of US, then I will walk to work.
- If I have enough money, then I will buy a car and I will buy a house.
- If the flood destroys my house or the fire destroys my house, then my insurance company will pay me.

Tautology, Contradiction and Satisfiability

- Propositions are synonymous with statements, logical expressions, logical formulas, well formed formulas (wff),
- For any statement formula, we construct a truth table.
- Each row in the TT is called as an interpretation.
- An interpretation is an assignment of truth value (T or F) to a proposition.
- A compound statement that is always true is a **tautology**
- If a formula is uniformly false, then it is a **contradiction**.
- If a formula is neither a tautology nor a contradiction then it is a **contingency**.
- Examples
 - tautology : $p \vee \neg p$
 - contradiction : $p \wedge \neg p$
 - contingency : $p \rightarrow q$

| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ | $\neg p$ | $\neg p \vee q$ | $\neg p \vee p$ | $\neg p \wedge p$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Satisfiability

- A formula is satisfiable if there is at least one assignment of truth values to its variables that makes the whole formula true.
- If all assignments are true, then it is said to be valid. (Tautology)
- If all assignments are false, then it is invalid or unsatisfiable.(contradiction)

| a | b | c | $b \rightarrow c$ | $a \vee (b \rightarrow c)$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Construct a truth table

- Eg1.
- $(P \vee Q) \wedge \sim (P \wedge Q)$

| P | Q | $(P \vee Q)$ | $(P \wedge Q)$ | $\sim (P \wedge Q)$ | $(P \vee Q) \wedge \sim (P \wedge Q)$ |
|-----|-----|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| T | T | T | T | F | F |
| T | F | T | F | T | T |
| F | T | T | F | T | T |
| F | F | F | F | T | F |

Construct a truth table

- Eg2.
- $P \leftrightarrow (Q \vee R)$

| <i>P</i> | <i>Q</i> | <i>R</i> | $Q \vee R$ | $P \leftrightarrow (Q \vee R)$ |
|----------|----------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | T |
| <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>T</i> | T |
| <i>T</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | T |
| <i>T</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>F</i> | F |
| <i>F</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | F |
| <i>F</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>T</i> | F |
| <i>F</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | F |
| <i>F</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>F</i> | T |