

## به نام خدا

## دانشکده مهندسی برق و کامپیوتر دانشگاه تهران آزمونک شماره دو - پردازش زبان طبیعی



سوال ۱) اسناد زیر را در اختیار داریم:

Document	Text	Class
1	I loved the movie	+
2	I hated the movie	1
3	a great movie, really good	+
4	poor acting	-
5	not a good play	-
6	great acting, not a bad movie	+
7	I loved the poor play	?
8	I hated the acting	?

با استفاده از طبقهبند Naïve Bayes، مشخص کنید که اسناد ۷ و ۸ در کدام یک از کلاسهای مثبت/ منفی قرار می گیرند. (از روش add-1 smoothing در محاسبات خود استفاده کنید.) (۳۰ نمره)

Vocabulary = {I, loved, the, movie, hated, a, great, really, good, poor, acting, not, bad, play}

$$P\left(w_k\mid+\right) = \frac{n_k+1}{n+|vocabulary|}$$

$$P(+) = \frac{Number\ of\ positive\ documents}{Total\ number\ of\ documents} = \frac{3}{6} = 0.5$$

$$P(+) = \frac{\textit{Number of positive documents}}{\textit{Total number of documents}} = \frac{3}{6} = 0.5$$

$$P(-) = \frac{\textit{Number of negative documents}}{\textit{Total number of documents}} = \frac{3}{6} = 0.5$$

"I loved the poor play"

$$P(I \mid +) = \frac{1+1}{15+14} = \frac{2}{29} = 0.0689$$

P (loved | +) = 
$$\frac{1+1}{15+14} = \frac{2}{29} = 0.0689$$

P (the 
$$|+) = \frac{1+1}{15+14} = \frac{2}{29} = 0.0689$$

$$P (poor | +) = \frac{0+1}{15+14} = \frac{1}{29} = 0.0344$$

$$P (play \mid +) = \frac{0+1}{15+14} = \frac{1}{29} = 0.0344$$

$$P(I \mid -) = \frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$$

P (loved | -) = 
$$\frac{0+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{24} = 0.0416$$

P (the | -) = 
$$\frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$$

P (poor | -) = 
$$\frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$$

P (play | -) = 
$$\frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$$

If  $v_i = +$ ,

$$P(+) P (I | +) P (loved | +) P (the | +) P (poor | +) P (play | +) = 0.5 * (\frac{2}{29})^3 * (\frac{1}{29})^2 = 1.950 * 10^{-7}$$

If  $v_i = -$ 

P(-) P (I | -) P (loved | -) P (the | -) P (poor | -) P (play | -) = 
$$0.5 * (\frac{1}{12})^4 * (\frac{1}{24}) = 1.005 * 10^{-6}$$

So, the posterior probability for class "-" is greater than class "+". Therefore, according to the Naive Bayes classifier, Document 7 would be classified as negative ("-").

"I hated the acting"

$$P(I \mid +) = \frac{1+1}{15+14} = \frac{2}{29} = 0.0689$$

P (hated 
$$| +$$
) =  $\frac{0+1}{15+14} = \frac{1}{29} = 0.0344$ 

P (the 
$$|+) = \frac{1+1}{15+14} = \frac{2}{29} = 0.0689$$

P (acting 
$$| +$$
) =  $\frac{0+1}{15+14} = \frac{1}{29} = 0.0344$ 

$$P(I \mid -) = \frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$$

P (hated | -) = 
$$\frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$$

P (the 
$$\mid$$
 -) =  $\frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$ 

P (acting 
$$\mid - \rangle = \frac{1+1}{10+14} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.0833$$

If 
$$v_i = +$$
,

P(+) P (I | +) P (loved | +) P (the | +) P (poor | +) P (play | +) = 
$$0.5 * (\frac{2}{29})^2 * (\frac{1}{29})^2 = 2.827 * 10^{-6}$$

If 
$$v_i = -$$

P(-) P (I | -) P (loved | -) P (the | -) P (poor | -) P (play | -) = 
$$0.5 * (\frac{1}{12}) = 2.411 * 10^{-5}$$

Since the posterior probability for class "-" is greater than for class "+", according to the Naive Bayes classifier, Document 8 would be classified as negative ("-").

**سوال ۲) به سوالات زیر پاسخ دهید.** (۴۰ نمره). الف) مدل Skip-gram را در نظر بگیرید.

$$P(\text{context} = y | \text{word} = x) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{v}_x \cdot \mathbf{c}_y)}{\sum_{y'} \exp(\mathbf{v}_x \cdot \mathbf{c}_{y'})}$$

پیچیدگی محاسباتی برای این احتمال به چه صورت میباشد؟

P(context = c|word = w)

این پیچیدگی را براساس (dimensionality of the vectors) و |V| (vocabulary size) و O(dv). The dot product is linear in d and not quadratic.

The main difference between CBOW and Skip-gram lies in their approach to the prediction task: CBOW predicts a word given its context, while Skip-gram predicts the context given a word. This leads to differences in efficiency, with CBOW being generally faster but Skip-gram offering advantages in quality, particularly for rare words.

Computing the normalization constant for skip-gram during learning requires normalizing over the vocabulary, whereas skip-gram with negative sampling does not. You can also see this as only reducing the scores of certain sampled contexts rather than all negative contexts.

For handling the word "not" which can reverse the sentiment polarity in a sentence when using the Bag of Words (BoW) method for sentiment analysis, one strategy is to modify the words in the sentence from the word "not" up to the next punctuation mark by appending the suffix "\_NOT" to each word, thus creating new terms.

This approach helps to distinguish the negated context in the processed text. By doing so, words that are part of a negated context are treated as distinct tokens compared to their positive counterparts.

سوال ۳) مدلی را آموزش دادهایم تا بررسی کند که آیا یک ایمیل دریافت شده Spam است یا خیر. پس از آموزش مدل، آن را بر روی ۵۰۰ داده ی جدید (برچسبدار) تست کردهایم و نتایج به شکل زیر است.

		True Class	
		Spam	Not Spam
Predicted	Spam	70	30
Class	Not Spam	70	330

الف) مقادیر Macro Average و Micro Average را برای معیار F1-Score با در نظر گرفتن هر دو کلاس محاسبه کنید. (۲۰ نمره).

Precision for Spam = 
$$\frac{70}{70+30}$$
 = 0.7  
Precision for Not Spam =  $\frac{330}{330+70}$  = 0.825

Recall for Spam = 
$$\frac{70}{70+70}$$
 = 0.5  
Recall for Not Spam =  $\frac{330}{330+30}$  = 0.916

## **Macro Average F1-Score**

F1-Score for Spam = 
$$\frac{2 (P Spam * R Spam)}{P Spam + R Spam} = \frac{2 (0.7 * 0.5)}{0.7 + 0.5} = 0.583$$

Fl-Score for Not Spam = 
$$\frac{2 (P \ Not \ Spam * R \ Not \ Spam)}{P \ Not \ Spam + R \ Not \ Spam} = \frac{2 (0.825 * 0.916)}{0.825 + 0.916} = 0.868$$

Macro Average F1-Score = 
$$\frac{0.583 + 0.865}{2}$$
 = 0.724

## **Micro Average F1-Score**

Micro Precision = 
$$\frac{Total \, TP}{Total \, TP + Total \, FP} = \frac{70 + 330}{70 + 330 + 30 + 70} = 0.8$$

Micro Recall = 
$$\frac{Total\ TP}{Total\ TP + Total\ FN} = \frac{70 + 330}{70 + 330 + 30 + 70} = 0.8$$

$$\label{eq:micro Precision * Micro Recall Micro Precision * Micro Recall Micro Precision + Micro Recall} = 0.8$$

ب) فرض کنید که دو کاربر داریم که می خواهند از این مدل استفاده کنند:

Junk کاربر A از اینکه در Inbox ایمیلش، Spam ببیند خوشش نمی آید. هرچند مشکلی با اینکه قسمت Spam کاربر A ایمیلش را مدام چک کند تا ایمیلهایی را که به اشتباه Spam درنظر گرفته شدهاند را بررسی کند، ندارد.

Spam در مقابل، کاربر B حتی مطلع نیست که قسمتی به نام Spam وجود دارد تا بتواند ایمیلهایی را که Spam در Spam داده شدهاند، بررسی کند. در نتیجه ترجیح می دهد برخی از ایمیلهای Spam را (اشتباها) در Spam ببیند، به جای آنکه، بدون اینکه مطلع باشد، ایمیلهای مهم را از دست بدهد.

حال، بهنظر شما کدام یک از این کاربران احساس بهتری نسبت به استفاده از این مدل خواهند داشت؟ چرا؟ (۲۰ نمره).

In order to answer this question, let's think about what it means to have high precision and low recall with respect to SPAM and, conversely, what it means to have high recall and low precision with respect to SPAM.

**High-precision and low recall with respect to SPAM**: whatever the model classifies as SPAM is probably SPAM. However, many emails that are truly SPAM are misclassified as NOT SPAM. The user is likely to see some SPAM messages in his/her inbox, but will never have to go to the "junk" directory to look for genuine messages incorrectly marked as SPAM.

**High recall and low precision with respect to SPAM**: the model filters all the SPAM emails, but also incorrectly classifies some genuine emails as SPAM. The user will never see SPAM emails in his/her inbox, but will have to periodically check the "junk" directory for genuine emails incorrectly marked as SPAM.

Because the classifier achieves higher precision than recall, *USER B* is more likely to be satisfied with the classifier.