Proiect Sortari SD realizat de Velciu Razvan Gabriel

Codul sursa folosit pentru acest proiect a fost scris in Python 3.11.1.

Am implementat urmatorii algoritmi de sortare:

- Insertion sort
- Counting sort
- Radix sort in baza 10, 2¹⁶, 2⁸ realizat cu operatii pe biti, exceptand cel in baza 10
- Merge sort
- Shell sort
- Bubble sort

De asemenea, in testele pe care le-am efectuat am introdus si algoritmul de sortare al limbajului.

Au fost executate urmatoarele teste pentru a stabili diferentele dintre acesti algoritmi (N reprezinta numarul de elemente din vector si Max reprezinta care e cea mai mare valoare care poate aparea in vector)

Vectorul sortat este generat random.

N = 1000 Max = 1000:

Sortare corecta 0.02402186393737793 seconds insertionSort

Sortare corecta 0.0 seconds countSort

Sortare corecta 0.0010008811950683594 seconds radixSort

Sortare corecta 0.004004001617431641 seconds mergeSort

Sortare corecta 0.0020020008087158203 seconds shellSort

Sortare corecta 0.057051658630371094 seconds bubbleSort

Sortare corecta 0.002002716064453125 seconds radixSort_8

Sortare corecta 0.015013694763183594 seconds radixSort_16

Sortare corecta 0.0 seconds Default Python Sort

N = 10000 Max = 1000: Sortare corecta 2.523293972015381 seconds insertionSort Sortare corecta 0.002001523971557617 seconds countSort Sortare corecta 0.008006572723388672 seconds radixSort Sortare corecta 0.056051015853881836 seconds mergeSort Sortare corecta 0.036032676696777344 seconds shellSort Sortare corecta 6.686097860336304 seconds bubbleSort Sortare corecta 0.021018266677856445 seconds radixSort_8 Sortare corecta 0.023019790649414062 seconds radixSort_16 Sortare corecta 0.0010020732879638672 seconds Default Python Sort N = 100000 Max = 1000: Sortare corecta 265.60192346572876 seconds insertionSort Sortare corecta 0.01601576805114746 seconds countSort Sortare corecta 0.08307552337646484 seconds radixSort Sortare corecta 0.6786224842071533 seconds mergeSort Sortare corecta 0.5242280960083008 seconds shellSort Sortare corecta 721.6923620700836 seconds bubbleSort Sortare corecta 0.23921656608581543 seconds radixSort_8 Sortare corecta 0.08607864379882812 seconds radixSort_16 Sortare corecta 0.014012813568115234 seconds Default Python Sort Vectorul sortat este generat aproape sortat.

N = 1000 Max = 1000:

Sortare corecta 0.0020017623901367188 seconds insertionSort

Sortare corecta 0.0 seconds countSort

Sortare corecta 0.0010006427764892578 seconds radixSort

Sortare corecta 0.005005598068237305 seconds mergeSort

Sortare corecta 0.0010004043579101562 seconds shellSort

Sortare corecta 0.03503131866455078 seconds bubbleSort

Sortare corecta 0.0020017623901367188 seconds radixSort_8

Sortare corecta 0.015013694763183594 seconds radixSort_16

Sortare corecta 0.0 seconds Default Python Sort

N = 10000 Max = 1000: Sortare corecta 0.013010501861572266 seconds insertionSort Sortare corecta 0.0020020008087158203 seconds countSort Sortare corecta 0.007005929946899414 seconds radixSort Sortare corecta 0.05404973030090332 seconds mergeSort Sortare corecta 0.016015291213989258 seconds shellSort Sortare corecta 3.740398406982422 seconds bubbleSort Sortare corecta 0.018016576766967773 seconds radixSort_8 Sortare corecta 0.021018266677856445 seconds radixSort_16 Sortare corecta 0.0 seconds Default Python Sort

N = 100000 Max = 1000: Sortare corecta 0.1481325626373291 seconds insertionSort Sortare corecta 0.018015384674072266 seconds countSort Sortare corecta 0.10209274291992188 seconds radixSort Sortare corecta 0.6686065196990967 seconds mergeSort Sortare corecta 0.19717907905578613 seconds shellSort Sortare corecta 412.63363432884216 seconds bubbleSort Sortare corecta 0.20350265502929688 seconds radixSort_8 Sortare corecta 0.08403396606445312 seconds radixSort_16 Sortare corecta 0.002002239227294922 seconds Default Python Sort Vectorul sortat este generat cu elementele ordonate descrescator.

N = 10000 Max = 1000:

Sortare corecta 5.292120456695557 seconds insertionSort

Sortare corecta 0.002001523971557617 seconds countSort

Sortare corecta 0.008006811141967773 seconds radixSort

Sortare corecta 0.05004572868347168 seconds mergeSort

Sortare corecta 0.02101874351501465 seconds shellSort

Sortare corecta 9.248378276824951 seconds bubbleSort

Sortare corecta 0.01901721954345703 seconds radixSort_8

Sortare corecta 0.021018028259277344 seconds radixSort_16

Sortare corecta 0.0 seconds Default Python Sort

N = 1000 Max = 1000: Sortare corecta 0.05404806137084961 seconds insertionSort Sortare corecta 0.0010006427764892578 seconds countSort Sortare corecta 0.001001596450805664 seconds radixSort Sortare corecta 0.0040035247802734375 seconds mergeSort Sortare corecta 0.0020017623901367188 seconds shellSort Sortare corecta 0.08807921409606934 seconds bubbleSort Sortare corecta 0.0020020008087158203 seconds radixSort_8 Sortare corecta 0.016015291213989258 seconds radixSort_16 Sortare corecta 0.0 seconds Default Python Sort

N = 100000 Max = 1000: Sortare corecta 555.8084895610809 seconds insertionSort Sortare corecta 0.02902674674987793 seconds countSort Sortare corecta 0.12711572647094727 seconds radixSort Sortare corecta 0.6991040706634521 seconds mergeSort Sortare corecta 0.3433103561401367 seconds shellSort Sortare corecta 1009.7146062850952 seconds bubbleSort Sortare corecta 0.1999821662902832 seconds radixSort_8 Sortare corecta 0.0840005874633789 seconds radixSort_16 Sortare corecta 0.0020012855529785156 seconds Default Python Sort Se poate observa la insertionSort si bubbleSort cum devin din ce in ce mai lente cu cresterea numarului de elemente intr-o maniera foarte sesizabila. Acest lucru se datoareaza tuturor comparatiilor pe care trebuie sa le faca, avand o complexitate de $O(n^2)$.

Se observa totusi ca in cazul in care vectorul este sortat descrescator timpul de executie este cel mai ridicat la ele, acesta fiind Worst Case-ul acestora. Diferenta este foarte sesizabila, algoritmii devenind neutilizabil de lenti comparativ cu celelalte.

https://we.tl/t-bepudcLUQV

In acest link am adaugat mai multe teste pe care le-am rulat pe algoritmii acestia de sortare, cu Max de la 10^3 pana la 10^8 si cu vectori generati random, aproape sortati si sortati descrescator. In aceste teste se observa cresterea exponentiala a countSortului din cauza acelui vector de frecventa. RadixSorturile s-au comportat destul de bine in fata tuturor testelor, insa algoritmul de sortare al limbajului Python a castigat in fiecare test.