Mitigating the Risk of Software Vulnerabilities by Adopting a Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF)

Donna Dodson
Applied Cybersecurity Division
Information Technology Laboratory

Murugiah Souppaya
Computer Security Division
Information Technology Laboratory

Karen Scarfone
Scarfone Cybersecurity
Clifton, VA

April 23, 2020

National Institute of Standards and Technology
U.S. Department of Commerce

Abstract

Few software development life cycle (SDLC) models explicitly address software security in detail, so secure software development practices usually need to be added to each SDLC model to ensure the software being developed is well secured. This white paper recommends a core set of high-level secure software development practices called a secure software development framework (SSDF) to be integrated within each SDLC implementation. The paper facilitates communications about secure software development practices among business owners, software developers, project managers and leads, and cybersecurity professionals within an organization. Following these practices should help software producers reduce the number of vulnerabilities in released software, mitigate the potential impact of the exploitation of undetected or unaddressed vulnerabilities, and address the root causes of vulnerabilities to prevent future recurrences. Also, because the framework provides a common vocabulary for secure software development, software consumers can use it to foster communications with suppliers in acquisition processes and other management activities.

Keywords

Disclaimer

Additional Information

For additional information on NIST's Cybersecurity programs, projects and publications, visit the Computer Security Resource Center. Information on other efforts at NIST and in the Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) is also available.

Comments on this publication may be submitted to:

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory
100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930
Email: ssdf@nist.gov

All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

Acknowledgments

Audience

There are two primary audiences for this white paper. The first is software producers (e.g., commercial-off-the-shelf [COTS] product vendors, government-off-the-shelf [GOTS] software developers, custom software developers) regardless of size, sector, or level of maturity. The second is software consumers, both federal government agencies and other organizations. Readers of this document are not expected to be experts in secure software development in order to understand it, but such expertise is required to implement its recommended practices.

Personnel within the following Workforce Categories and Specialty Areas from the National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education (NICE) Cybersecurity Workforce Framework [1] are most likely to find this publication of interest:

- Securely Provision (SP): Risk Management (RSK), Software Development (DEV), Systems Requirements Planning (SRP), Test and Evaluation (TST), Systems Development (SYS)
- Operate and Maintain (OM): Systems Analysis (ANA)
- Oversee and Govern (OV): Training, Education, and Awareness (TEA); Cybersecurity Management (MGT); Executive Cyber Leadership (EXL); Program/Project Management (PMA) and Acquisition
- Protect and Defend (PR): Incident Response (CIR), Vulnerability Assessment and Management (VAM)
- Analyze (AN): Threat Analysis (TWA), Exploitation Analysis (EXP)

Trademark Information

1   Introduction

A *software development life cycle (SDLC)*[1] is a formal or informal methodology for designing, creating, and maintaining software (which includes code built into hardware). There are many models for SDLCs, including waterfall, spiral, agile, and development and operations (DevOps). Few SDLC models explicitly address software security in detail, so secure software development practices usually need to be added to and integrated within each SDLC model. Regardless of which SDLC model is used to develop software, secure software development practices should be integrated throughout it for three reasons: to reduce the number of vulnerabilities in released software, to mitigate the potential impact of the exploitation of undetected or unaddressed vulnerabilities, and to address the root causes of vulnerabilities to prevent future recurrences. Most aspects of security can be addressed at multiple places within an SDLC, but in general, the earlier in the SDLC that security is addressed, the less effort and cost is ultimately required to achieve the same level of security. This principle, also known as *shifting left*, is critically important regardless of the SDLC model.

There are many existing documents on secure software development practices, including those listed in the References section. This white paper does not introduce new practices or define new terminology; instead, it describes a subset of high-level practices based on established standards, guidance, and secure software development practice documents. These practices, collectively called a secure software development framework (SSDF), should be particularly helpful for the target audiences to achieve secure software development objectives. Note that these practices are limited to those that bear directly on secure software development (e.g., securing the development infrastructure or pipeline itself is out of scope).

This white paper is intended to be a starting point for discussing the concept of an SSDF and therefore does not provide a comprehensive view of SSDFs. Future work may expand on the material in this white paper, potentially covering topics such as how an SSDF may apply to and vary for different software development methodologies and how an organization can transition from using just their current software development practices to also incorporating the practices specified by the SSDF. It is likely that future work will primarily take the form of use cases so that the insights will be more readily applicable to certain types of development environments.

This white paper expresses secure software development practices but does not prescribe exactly how to implement them. The focus is on implementing the practices rather than on the tools, techniques, and mechanisms used to do so. For example, one organization might automate a particular step, while another might use manual processes instead. Advantages of specifying the practices at a high level include the following:

- Can be used by organizations in any sector or community, regardless of size or cybersecurity sophistication
- Can be applied to software developed to support information technology (IT), industrial control systems (ICS), cyber-physical systems (CPS), or the Internet of Things (IoT)

---

[1]   Note that SDLC is also widely used for "system development life cycle." All usage of "SDLC" in this white paper is referencing software, not systems.

- Can be integrated into any existing software development workflow and automated toolchain; should not negatively affect organizations that already have robust secure software development practices in place
- Makes the practices broadly applicable, not specific to particular technologies, platforms, programming languages, SDLC models, development environments, operating environments, tools, etc.
- Can help an organization document its secure software development practices today and define its future target practices as part of its continuous improvement process
- Can assist an organization currently using a classic software development model in transitioning its secure software development practices for use with a modern software development model (e.g., agile, DevOps)
- Can assist organizations that are procuring and using software to understand secure software development practices employed by their suppliers

This white paper also provides a common language to describe fundamental secure software development practices. This is similar to the approach of the *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity*, also known as the NIST Cybersecurity Framework [2].[2] Expertise in secure software development is not required to understand the practices. This helps facilitate communications about secure software practices among both internal and external organizational stakeholders, such as the following:

- Business owners, software developers, project managers and leads, and cybersecurity professionals within an organization
- Software consumers, including both federal government agencies and other organizations, that want to define required or desired characteristics for software in their acquisition processes in order to have higher-quality software (particularly with fewer security vulnerabilities)[3]
- Software producers (e.g., commercial-off-the-shelf [COTS] product vendors, government-off-the-shelf [GOTS] software developers, software developers working within or on behalf of software consumer organizations, software testers/quality assurance personnel) that want to integrate secure software development practices throughout their SDLCs, express their secure software practices to their customers, or define requirements for their suppliers

This white paper's practices are not based on the assumption that all organizations have the same security objectives and priorities; rather, the recommendations reflect that each software producer may have unique security assumptions, and each software consumer may have unique security needs and requirements. While the desire is for each software producer to follow all applicable practices, the expectation is that the degree to which each practice is implemented and the formality of the implementation will vary based on the producer's security assumptions. The practices

---

[2]   The SSDF practices may help support the NIST Cybersecurity Framework Functions, Categories, and Subcategories, but the SSDF practices do not map to them and are typically the responsibility of different parties. Developers can adopt SSDF practices, and the outcomes of their work could help organizations with their operational security in support of the Cybersecurity Framework.
[3]   Future work may provide more practical guidance for software consumers on how they can leverage the SSDF in specific use cases.

provide flexibility for implementers, but they are also clear to avoid leaving too much open to interpretation.

2 Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF)

This white paper introduces a software development framework (SSDF) of fundamental, sound, and secure software development practices based on established secure software development practice documents. For the purposes of this white paper, the practices are organized into four groups:

- **Prepare the Organization (PO):** Ensure that the organization's people, processes, and technology are prepared to perform secure software development at the organization level and, in some cases, for each individual project.
- **Protect the Software (PS):** Protect all components of the software from tampering and unauthorized access.
- **Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):** Produce well-secured software that has minimal security vulnerabilities in its releases.
- **Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV):** Identify vulnerabilities in software releases and respond appropriately to address those vulnerabilities and prevent similar vulnerabilities from occurring in the future.

Each practice is defined with the following elements:

- **Practice:** A brief statement of the practice, along with a unique identifier and an explanation of what the practice is and why it is beneficial.
- **Task:** An individual action (or actions) needed to accomplish a practice.
- **Implementation Example:** An example of a type of tool, process, or other method that could be used to implement this practice; not intended to imply that any example or combination of examples is required or that only the stated examples are feasible options.
- **Reference:** An established secure development practice document and its mappings to a particular task.

Although most practices are relevant for any software development effort, some practices are not always applicable. For example, if developing a particular piece of software does not involve using a compiler, there would be no need to follow a practice on configuring the compiler to improve executable security. Some practices are more fundamental, while others are more advanced and may depend on certain fundamental practices already being in place. Also, practices are not all equally important in any particular case. Risk should be considered when deciding which practices to use and how much time and resources to devote to each practice.[4] Finally, the frequency for performing recurring practices is not specified because the frequency appropriate for any particular situation depends on risk and other factors.

The table that defines the practices is below. Remember that these practices are only a **subset** of what an organization may need to do, with the practices focused on helping organizations achieve secure software development objectives. The practices are not listed sequentially or in order of

---

[4] Organizations seeking guidance on how to get started with secure software development can consult many publicly available references, such as "SDL That Won't Break the Bank" by Steve Lipner from SAFECode (https://i.blackhat.com/us-18/Thu-August-9/us-18-Lipner-SDL-For-The-Rest-Of-Us.pdf) and "Simplified Implementation of the Microsoft SDL" by Microsoft (https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=12379).

importance. The information in the table is space constrained, and much more information on each practice can be found in the references (with the bolded text on each line being the identifier used for that reference in the table):

- **BSIMM10**: *Building Security in Maturity Model (BSIMM) Version 10* [3]
- **BSA**: BSA, *Framework for Secure Software* [4]
- **IDASOAR**: Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA), *State-of-the-Art Resources (SOAR) for Software Vulnerability Detection, Test, and Evaluation 2016* [5]
- **ISO27034**: International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission (ISO/IEC), *Information technology – Security techniques – Application security – Part 1: Overview and concepts*, ISO/IEC 27034-1:2011 [6]
- **MSSDL**: Microsoft, *Security Development Lifecycle* [7]
- **NISTCSF**: NIST, *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity, Version 1.1* [2]
- **OWASPASVS**: OWASP, *OWASP Application Security Verification Standard 4.0* [8]
- **OWASPTEST**: OWASP, *OWASP Testing Guide 4.0* [9]
- **PCISSLRAP**: Payment Card Industry (PCI) Security Standards Council, *Secure Software Lifecycle (Secure SLC) Requirements and Assessment Procedures Version 1.0* [10]
- **SAMM15**: OWASP, *Software Assurance Maturity Model Version 1.5* [11]
- **SCAGILE**: Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code (SAFECode), *Practical Security Stories and Security Tasks for Agile Development Environments* [12]
- **SCFPSSD**: SAFECode, *Fundamental Practices for Secure Software Development: Essential Elements of a Secure Development Lifecycle Program, Third Edition* [13]
- **SCSIC**: SAFECode, *Software Integrity Controls: An Assurance-Based Approach to Minimizing Risks in the Software Supply Chain* [14]
- **SCTPC**: SAFECode, *Managing Security Risks Inherent in the Use of Third-Party Components* [15]
- **SCTTM**: SAFECode, *Tactical Threat Modeling* [16]
- **SP80053**: Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, *Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations*, NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53 Revision 4 [17]
- **SP800160**: NIST, *Systems Security Engineering: Considerations for a Multidisciplinary Approach in the Engineering of Trustworthy Secure Systems*, NIST SP 800-160 Volume 1 [18]
- **SP800181**: NIST, *National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education (NICE) Cybersecurity Workforce Framework*, NIST SP 800-181 [1]

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Prepare the Organization (PO)			
Define Security Requirements for Software Development (PO.1): Ensure that security requirements for software development are known at all times so that they can be taken into account throughout the SDLC and duplication of effort can be minimized because the requirements information can be collected once and shared. This includes requirements from internal sources (e.g., the organization's policies, business objectives, and risk management strategy) and external sources (e.g., applicable laws and regulations).	**PO.1.1:** Identify all applicable security requirements for the organization's general software development, and maintain the requirements over time.	• Define policies that specify the security requirements for the organization's software to meet, including secure coding practices for developers to follow. • Define policies that specify software architecture requirements, such as making code modular to facilitate code reuse and easier updates as well as isolating security functionality from other functionality during code execution. • Define policies for securing the development infrastructure, such as developer workstations and code repositories. • Ensure that policies cover the entire software life cycle, including notifying users of the impending end of software support and the date of software end-of-life. • Use a well-known set of security requirements as a structure or lexicon for defining the organization's requirements. This set can be mapped to other third-party security requirements to which the organization is also subject. • Review and update the requirements after each response to a vulnerability incident. • Conduct a periodic (typically at least annual) review of all security requirements. • Promptly review new external requirements and updates to existing external requirements. • Educate affected individuals on the impending changes in requirements.	**BSIMM10**: CP1.1, CP1.3, SR1.1 **BSA**: SC.1-1, SC.2, PD.1-1, PD.1-2, PD.1-3, PD.2-2 **ISO27034**: 7.3.2 **MSSDL**: Practice 2 **NISTCSF**: ID.GV-3 **OWASPTEST**: Phase 2.1 **PCISSLRAP**: 2.1 **SAMM15**: PC1-A, PC1-B, PC2-A, SR1-A, SR1-B, SR2-B **SCFPSSD**: Planning the Implementation and Deployment of Secure Development Practices; Establish Coding Standards and Conventions **SP80053**: SA-15 **SP800160**: 3.1.2, 3.3.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.3 **SP800181**: T0414; K0003, K0039, K0044, K0157, K0168, K0177, K0211, K0260, K0261, K0262, K0524; S0010, S0357, S0368; A0033, A0123, A0151

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Implement Roles and Responsibilities (PO.2): Ensure that everyone inside and outside of the organization involved in the SDLC is prepared to perform their SSDF-related roles and responsibilities throughout the SDLC.	**PO.2.1:** Create new roles and alter responsibilities for existing roles to encompass all parts of the SSDF. Periodically review the defined roles and responsibilities, and update them as needed.	• Define SSDF-related roles and responsibilities for all members of the software development team. • Integrate the security roles into the software development team. • Define roles and responsibilities for cybersecurity staff, security champions, project managers and leads, senior management, software developers, software testers/quality assurance personnel, product owners, and others involved in the SDLC. • Conduct an annual review of all roles and responsibilities. • Educate affected individuals on the impending changes in roles and responsibilities.	**BSA**: PD.2-1, PD.2-2 **BSIMM10**: CP3.2, SM1.1 **NISTCSF**: ID.AM-6, ID.GV-2 **PCISSLRAP**: 1.2 **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Development Integrity Controls **SP80053**: SA-3 **SP800160**: 3.2.1, 3.2.4, 3.3.1 **SP800181**: K0233
	PO.2.2: Provide role-specific training for all personnel with responsibilities that contribute to secure development. Periodically review role-specific training and update it as needed.	• Document the desired outcomes of training for each role. • Create a training plan for each role. • Acquire or create training for each role; acquired training may need customization for the organization.	**BSA**: PD.2-2 **BSIMM10**: CP2.5, SM1.3, T1.1, T1.5, T1.7, T2.6, T2.8, T3.2, T3.4 **MSSDL**: Practice 1 **NISTCSF**: PR.AT-* **PCISSLRAP**: 1.3 **SAMM15**: EG1-A, EG2-A **SCAGILE:** Operational Security Tasks 14, 15; Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 1 **SCFPSSD**: Planning the Implementation and Deployment of Secure Development Practices **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Development Integrity Controls **SP80053**: SA-8 **SP800160**: 3.2.4 **SP800181**: OV-TEA-001, OV-TEA-002; T0030, T0073, T0320; K0204, K0208, K0220, K0226, K0243, K0245, K0252; S0100, S0101; A0004, A0057

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
	PO.2.3: Obtain upper management commitment to secure development, and convey that commitment to all with SSDF-related roles and responsibilities.	• Increase awareness by upper management. • Assist upper management in incorporating secure development support into their communications with personnel with SSDF-related roles and responsibilities. • Educate all personnel with SSDF-related roles and responsibilities on upper management's commitment to the SSDF and the importance of the SSDF to the organization.	**BSIMM10**: SM1.2, SM1.3 **PCISSLRAP**: 1.1 **SAMM15**: SM1.A **SP 800-181**: T0001, T0004
Implement a Supporting Toolchain (PO.3): Use automation to reduce the human effort needed and improve the accuracy, consistency, and comprehensiveness of security practices throughout the SDLC, as well as provide a way to document and demonstrate use of these practices. Toolchains and tools may be used at different levels of the organization, such as organization-wide or project-specific.	**PO.3.1:** Specify which tools or tool types are to be included in each toolchain and which are mandatory, as well as how the toolchain components are to be integrated with each other.	• Define categories of toolchains, and specify the mandatory tools or tool types to be used for each category. • Identify security tools to integrate into the developer toolchain. • Use automated technology for toolchain management and orchestration.	**BSA**: TC.1, TC.1-1, TC.1-2 **MSSDL**: Practice 8 **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 9 **SP80053**: SA-15 **SP800181**: K0013, K0178
	PO.3.2: Following sound security practices, deploy and configure tools, integrate them within the toolchain, and maintain the individual tools and the toolchain as a whole.	• Evaluate, select, and acquire tools, and assess the security of each tool. • Integrate tools with other tools and with existing software development processes and workflows. • Update, upgrade, and replace existing tools. • Monitor tools and tool logs for potential operational and security issues.	**BSA**: TC.1-1, TC.1-6 **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 9 **SP80053**: SA-15 **SP800181**: K0013, K0178
	PO.3.3: Configure tools to collect evidence and artifacts of their support of the secure software development practices.	• Use the organization's existing workflow or issue tracking systems to create an audit trail of the secure development-related actions that are performed. • Determine how often the collected information should be audited, and implement processes to perform the auditing.	**BSA**: PD.1.6 **MSSDL**: Practice 8 **PCISSLRAP**: 2.5 **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 9 **SP80053**: SA-15 **SP800181**: K0013

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Define Criteria for Software Security Checks (PO.4): Help ensure that the software resulting from the SDLC meets the organization's expectations by defining criteria for checking the software's security during development.	**PO.4.1:** Define criteria for software security checks throughout the SDLC.	• Ensure that the criteria adequately indicate how effectively security risk is being managed. • Define key performance indicators (KPIs) for software security. • Add software security criteria to existing checks (e.g., the Definition of Done in agile SDLC methodologies). • Review the artifacts generated as part of the software development workflow system to determine if they meet the criteria purposes. • Record security check approvals, rejections, and requests for exception as part of the workflow and tracking system.	**BSA:** TV.2-1, TV.5-1 **BSIMM10:** SM1.4, SM2.2 **ISO27034:** 7.3.5 **MSSDL:** Practice 3 **OWASPTEST:** Phase 1.3 **SAMM15:** DR3-B, IR3-B, PC3-A, ST3-B **SP80053:** SA-15 **SP800160:** 3.2.1, 3.2.5, 3.3.1 **SP800181:** K0153, K0165
	PO.4.2: Implement processes, mechanisms, etc. to gather the necessary information in support of the criteria.	• Use the toolchain to automatically gather information that informs security decision-making. • Deploy additional tools if needed to support the generation and collection of information supporting the criteria. • Automate decision-making processes utilizing the criteria.	**BSA:** PD.1-6 **BSIMM10:** SM1.4, SM2.2 **SP80053:** SA-15 **SP800160:** 3.3.7 **SP800181:** T0349; K0153

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Protect Software (PS)			
Protect All Forms of Code from Unauthorized Access and Tampering (PS.1): Help prevent unauthorized changes to code, both inadvertent and intentional, which could circumvent or negate the intended security characteristics of the software. For code that is not intended to be publicly accessible, it helps prevent theft of the software and may make it more difficult or time-consuming for attackers to find vulnerabilities in the software.	**PS.1.1:** Store all forms of code, including source code and executable code, based on the principle of least privilege so that only authorized personnel have the necessary forms of access.	• Store all source code in a code repository, and restrict access to it based on the nature of the code. For example, some code may be intended for public access, in which case its integrity and availability should be protected; other code may also need its confidentiality protected. • Use version control features of the repository to track all changes made to the code with accountability to the individual developer account. • Review and approve all changes made to the code. • Use code signing to help protect the integrity and provenance of executables. • Use cryptography (e.g., cryptographic hashes) to help protect the integrity of files. • Create and maintain a software bill of materials (SBOM) for each software package created.	**BSA**: IA.1, IA.2-2, SM.4-1 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 25 **NISTCSF**: PR.AC-4 **OWASPASVS**: 1.10, 10.3.2, 14.2 **PCISSLRAP**: 6.1 **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Delivery Integrity Controls, Vendor Software Development Integrity Controls
Provide a Mechanism for Verifying Software Release Integrity (PS.2): Help software consumers ensure that the software they acquire is legitimate and has not been tampered with.	**PS.2.1:** Make verification information available to software consumers.	• Post cryptographic hashes for release files on a well-secured website. • Use an established certificate authority for code signing so consumers can confirm the validity of signatures. • Periodically review the code signing processes, including certificate renewal and protection.	**BSA**: SM.4.2, SM.4.3, SM.5.1, SM.6.1 **BSIMM10**: SE2.4 **NISTCSF**: PR.DS-6 **PCISSLRAP**: 6.2 **SAMM15**: OE3-B **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Delivery Integrity Controls **SP800181**: K0178
Archive and Protect Each Software Release (PS.3): Help identify, analyze, and eliminate vulnerabilities discovered in the software after release.	**PS.3.1:** Securely archive a copy of each release and all of its components (e.g., code, package files, third-party libraries, documentation), and release integrity verification information.	• Store all release files in a repository, and restrict access to them.	**BSA**: PD.1-6 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 25 **NISTCSF**: PR.IP-4 **PCISSLRAP**: 5.2, 6.2 **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Delivery Integrity Controls **SP80053**: SA-15

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Produce Well-Secured Software (PW)			
Design Software to Meet Security Requirements and Mitigate Security Risks (PW.1): Identify and evaluate the applicable security requirements for the software's design; determine what security risks the software is likely to face during production operation and how those risks should be mitigated by the software's design; and justify any cases where risk-based decisions conclude that security requirements should be relaxed or waived. Addressing security requirements and risks during software design (secure by design) helps to make software development more efficient.	**PW.1.1:** Use forms of risk modeling, such as threat modeling, attack modeling, or attack surface mapping, to help assess the security risk for the software.	• Train the development team (the security champions in particular) or collaborate with a threat modeling expert to create threat models and attack models and to analyze how to use a risk-based approach to address the risks and implement mitigations. • Perform more rigorous assessments for high-risk areas, such as protecting sensitive data and safeguarding identification, authentication, and access control, including credential management. • Review vulnerability reports and statistics for previous software.	**BSA**: SC.1-3, SC.1-4 **BSIMM10**: AM1.3, AM1.5, AM2.1, AM2.2, AM2.5, AM2.6, AM2.7 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 1 **ISO27034**: 7.3.3 **MSSDL**: Practice 4 **NISTCSF**: ID.RA-* **OWASPASVS**: 1.1.2, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 1.9, 1.11, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 **OWASPTEST**: Phase 2.4 **PCISSLRAP**: 3.2 **SAMM15**: DR1-A, TA1-A, TA1-B, TA3-B **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 3 **SCFPSSD**: Threat Modeling **SCTTM**: Entire guide **SP80053**: SA-8, SA-15, SA-17 **SP800160**: 3.3.4, 3.4.5 **SP800181**: T0038, T0062, T0236; K0005, K0009, K0038, K0039, K0070, K0080, K0119, K0147, K0149, K0151, K0152, K0160, K0161, K0162, K0165, K0297, K0310, K0344, K0362, K0487, K0624; S0006, S0009, S0022, S0078, S0171, S0229, S0248; A0092, A0093, A107

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Review the Software Design to Verify Compliance with Security Requirements and Risk Information (PW.2): Help ensure that the software will meet the security requirements and satisfactorily address the identified risk information.	**PW.2.1:** Have a qualified person who was not involved with the software design review it to confirm that it meets all of the security requirements and satisfactorily addresses the identified risk information.	• Review the software design to confirm that it addresses all of the security requirements. • Review the risk models created during software design to determine if they appear to adequately identify the risks. • Review the software design to confirm that it satisfactorily addresses the risks identified by the risk models. • Have the software's designer correct failures to meet the requirements. • Change the design and/or the risk response strategy if the security requirements cannot be met.	**BSA**: TV.3, TV.3-1, TV.5 **BSIMM10**: AA1.2, AA2.1 **ISO27034**: 7.3.3 **OWASPTEST**: Phase 2.2 **SAMM15**: DR1-A, DR1-B **SP800181**: T0328; K0038, K0039, K0070, K0080, K0119, K0152, K0153, K0161, K0165, K0172, K0297; S0006, S0009, S0022, S0036, S0141, S0171
Verify Third-Party Software Complies with Security Requirements (PW.3): Reduce the risk associated with using acquired software modules and services, which are potential sources of additional vulnerabilities.	**PW.3.1:** Communicate requirements to third parties who may provide software modules and services to the organization for reuse by the organization's own software.	• Define a core set of security requirements, and include them in acquisition documents, software contracts, and other agreements with third parties. • Define the security-related criteria for selecting commercial and open-source software. • Require the providers of commercial software modules and services to provide evidence that their software complies with the organization's security requirements. • Establish and follow procedures to address risk when there are security requirements that third-party software modules and services do not meet.	**BSA**: SM.1, SM.2, SM.2-1, SM.2.4 **BSIMM10**: CP2.4, SR2.5, SR3.2 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheets 19, 21 **MSSDL**: Practice 7 **SAMM15**: SR3-A **SCFPSSD**: Manage Security Risk Inherent in the Use of Third-Party Components **SCSIC**: Vendor Sourcing Integrity Controls **SP80053:** SA-4, SA-12 **SP800160:** 3.1.1, 3.1.2 **SP800181**: T0203, T0415; K0039; S0374; A0056, A0161

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
	PW.3.2: Use appropriate means to verify that commercial, open source, and all other third-party software modules and services comply with the requirements.	• See if there are publicly known vulnerabilities in the software modules and services that the vendor has not yet fixed. • Ensure each software module or service is still actively maintained, which should include new vulnerabilities found in the software being remediated. • Determine a plan of action for each third-party software module or service that is no longer being maintained or available in the future. • Use the results of commercial services for vetting the software modules and services. • [See **Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7)**] • [See **Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8)**]	**BSA**: SC.3-1, TV.2 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 21 **MSSDL**: Practice 7 **OWASPASVS**: 10, 14.2 **PCISSLRAP**: 4.1 **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 8 **SCFPSSD**: Manage Security Risk Inherent in the Use of Third-Party Components **SCSIC**: Vendor Sourcing Integrity Controls **SCTPC:** 3.2.2 **SP80053**: SA-12 **SP800160**: 3.1.2, 3.3.8 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-002; K0153, K0266 [See **Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7)**] [See **Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8)**]
Reuse Existing, Well-Secured Software When Feasible Instead of Duplicating Functionality (PW.4): Lower the costs of software development, expedite software development, and decrease the likelihood of introducing additional security vulnerabilities into the software. These are particularly true for software that implements security functionality, such as cryptographic modules and protocols.	**PW.4.1:** Acquire well-secured components (e.g., software libraries, modules, middleware, frameworks) from third parties for use by the organization's software.	• Review and evaluate third-party software components in the context of their expected use. If a component is to be used in a substantially different way in the future, perform the review and evaluation again with that new context in mind. • Establish an organization-wide software repository to host sanctioned and vetted open-source components. • Maintain a list of organization-approved commercial software components and component versions. • Designate which components must be included by software to be developed.	**BSA**: SM.2, SM.2.1 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 19 **MSSDL**: Practice 6 **SAMM15**: SA1-A **SCTPC**: 3.2.1 **SP80053**: SA-12 **SP800181**: K0039

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
	PW.4.2: Create well-secured software components in-house following SDLC processes to meet common internal software development needs that cannot be better met by third-party software.	• Follow the organization-established security practices for secure software development. • Maintain an organization-wide software repository for these components. • Designate which components must be included by software to be developed.	**BSIMM10**: SFD1.1, SFD2.1 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 19 **OWASPASVS**: 10 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-001
	PW.4.3: Where appropriate, build in support for using standardized security features and services (e.g., integrating with log management, identity management, access control, and vulnerability management systems) instead of creating proprietary implementations of security features and services.	• Maintain an organization-wide software repository of modules for supporting standardized security features and services. • Designate which security features and services must be supported by software to be developed.	**BSA**: SI.2, EN.1-1, LO.1 **MSSDL**: Practice 5 **OWASPASVS**: 1.1.6 **SCFPSSD**: Establish Log Requirements and Audit Practices
Create Source Code Adhering to Secure Coding Practices (PW.5): Decrease the number of security vulnerabilities in the software, and reduce costs by eliminating vulnerabilities during source code creation.	**PW.5.1:** Follow all secure coding practices that are appropriate to the development languages and environment.	• Validate all inputs, and validate and properly encode all output. • Avoid using unsafe functions and calls. • Handle errors gracefully. • Provide logging and tracing capabilities. • Use development environments with features that encourage or require the use of secure coding practices. • Follow procedures for manually ensuring compliance with secure coding practices. • Check for other vulnerabilities that are common to the development languages and environment.	**BSA**: SC.2, SC.4, SC.3, SC.3-2, EE.1, EE.1.2, EE.2, LO.1, **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 2 **ISO27034**: 7.3.5 **MSSDL**: Practice 9 **OWASPASVS**: 1.5, 1.7, 5, 7, **SCFPSSD**: Establish Log Requirements and Audit Practices, Handle Data Safely, Handle Errors, Use Safe Functions Only **SP800181**: SP-DEV-001; T0013, T0077, T0176; K0009, K0016, K0039, K0070, K0140, K0624; S0019, S0060, S0149, S0172, S0266; A0036, A0047
	PW.5.2: Have the developer review their own human-readable code, analyze their own human-readable code, and/or test their own executable code to complement (not replace) code review, analysis, and/or testing performed by others.	• [See **Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7)**] • [See **Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8)**]	[See **Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7)**] [See **Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8)**]

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Configure the Compilation and Build Processes to Improve Executable Security (PW.6): Decrease the number of security vulnerabilities in the software, and reduce costs by eliminating vulnerabilities before testing occurs.	**PW.6.1:** Use compiler and build tools that offer features to improve executable security.	• Use up-to-date versions of compiler and build tools. • Validate the authenticity and integrity of compiler and build tools.	**BSA**: TC.1-1, TC.1-3, TC.1-4, TC.1-5 **MSSDL**: Practice 8 **SCAGILE**: Operational Security Task 3 **SCFPSSD**: Use Current Compiler and Toolchain Versions and Secure Compiler Options **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Development Integrity Controls
	PW.6.2: Determine which compiler and build tool features should be used and how each should be configured, then implement the approved configuration for compilation and build tools, processes, etc.	• Enable compiler features that produce warnings for poorly secured code during the compilation process. • Implement the "clean build" concept, where all compiler warnings are treated as errors and eliminated. • Enable compiler features that randomize characteristics, such as memory location usage, that would otherwise be easily predictable and thus exploitable. • Conduct testing to ensure that the features are working as expected and not inadvertently causing any operational issues or other problems. • Verify that the approved configuration is enabled for compilation and build tools, processes, etc. • Document information about the compilation and build tool configuration in a knowledge base that developers can access and search.	**BSA**: TC.1, TC.1-3, TC.1-4, TC.1-5 **OWASPASVS**: 1.14.3, 1.14.4, 14.1 **SCAGILE**: Operational Security Task 8 **SCFPSSD**: Use Current Compiler and Toolchain Versions and Secure Compiler Options **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Development Integrity Controls **SP800181**: K0039, K0070

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7): Help identify vulnerabilities so they can be corrected before the software is released to prevent exploitation. Using automated methods lowers the effort and resources needed to detect vulnerabilities. Human-readable code includes source code and any other form of code an organization deems as human readable.	**PW.7.1:** Determine whether code *review* (i.e., a person directly looks at the code to find issues) and/or code *analysis* (i.e., tools are used to find issues in code, either in a fully automated way or in conjunction with a person) should be used.	• Follow the organization's policies or guidelines for when code review should be performed and how it should be conducted. This includes third-party code and reusable code modules written in-house. • Follow the organization's policies or guidelines for when code analysis should be performed and how it should be conducted.	**SCSIC**: Peer Reviews and Security Testing **SP80053**: SA-11 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-002; K0013, K0039, K0070, K0153, K0165; S0174
	PW.7.2: Perform the code review and/or code analysis based on the organization's secure coding standards, and document and triage all discovered issues and recommended remediations in the development team's workflow or issue tracking system.	• Perform peer review of code, and review any existing code review, analysis, or testing results as part of the peer review. • Use peer reviews to check code for backdoors and other malicious content. • Use peer reviewing tools that facilitate the peer review process, and document all discussions and other feedback. • Use a static analysis tool to automatically check code for vulnerabilities and for compliance with the organization's secure coding standards, with a human reviewing issues reported by the tool and remediating them as necessary. • Use review checklists to verify that the code complies with the requirements. • Use automated tools to identify and remediate documented and verified unsafe software practices on a continuous basis as human-readable code is checked into the code repository. • Identify and document the root cause of each discovered issue. • Document lessons learned from code review and analysis in a knowledge base that developers can access and search.	**BSA**: PD.1-5, TV.2, TV.3 **BSIMM10**: CR1.2, CR1.4, CR1.6, CR2.6, CR2.7 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheets 3, 4, 5, 14, 15, 48 **ISO27034**: 7.3.6 **MSSDL**: Practices 9, 10 **OWASPASVS**: 1.1.7, 10 **OWASPTEST**: Phase 3.2, Phase 4.1 **PCISSLRAP**: 4.1 **SAMM15**: IR1-B, IR2-A, IR2-B **SCAGILE**: Operational Security Tasks 4, 7 **SCFPSSD**: Use Code Analysis Tools to Find Security Issues Early, Use Static Analysis Security Testing Tools, Perform Manual Verification of Security Features/Mitigations **SCSIC**: Peer Reviews and Security Testing **SP80053**: SA-11, SA-15 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-001, SP-DEV-002; T0013, T0111, T0176, T0267, T0516; K0009, K0039, K0070, K0140, K0624; S0019, S0060, S0078, S0137, S0149, S0167, S0174, S0242, S0266; A0007, A0015, A0036, A0044, A0047

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8): Help identify vulnerabilities so they can be corrected before the software is released in order to prevent exploitation. Using automated methods lowers the effort and resources needed to detect vulnerabilities. Executable code includes binaries, directly executed bytecode, directly executed source code, and any other form of code an organization deems as executable.	**PW.8.1:** Determine if executable code testing should be performed and, if so, which types should be used.	• Follow the organization's policies or guidelines for when code testing should be performed and how it should be conducted. This includes third-party executable code and reusable executable code modules written in-house.	**BSA**: TV.3 **SCSIC**: Peer Reviews and Security Testing **SP80053**: SA-11 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-001, SP-DEV-002; T0456; K0013, K0039, K0070, K0153, K0165, K0342, K0367, K0536, K0624; S0001, S0015, S0026, S0061, S0083, S0112, S0135
	PW.8.2: Design the tests, perform the testing, and document the results.	• Perform robust functional testing of security features. • Integrate dynamic vulnerability testing into the project's automated test suite. • Incorporate tests for previously reported vulnerabilities into the project's automated test suite to ensure that errors are not reintroduced. • Use automated fuzz testing tools to find issues with input handling. • If resources are available, use penetration testing to simulate how an attacker might attempt to compromise the software in high-risk scenarios. • Identify and document the root cause of each discovered issue. • Document lessons learned from code testing in a knowledge base that developers can access and search.	**BSA**: PD.1-5, TV.3, TV.5, TV.5-2 **BSIMM10**: PT1.1, PT1.2, PT1.3, ST1.1, ST1.3, ST2.1, ST2.4, ST2.5, ST2.6, ST3.3, ST3.4 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheets 7, 8, 10, 11, 38, 39, 43, 44, 48, 55, 56, 57 **ISO27034**: 7.3.6 **MSSDL**: Practice 11 **PCISSLRAP**: 4.1 **SAMM15**: ST1-B, ST2-A, ST2-B **SCAGILE**: Operational Security Tasks 10, 11; Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 4, 6, 7 **SCFPSSD**: Perform Dynamic Analysis Security Testing, Fuzz Parsers, Network Vulnerability Scanning, Perform Automated Functional Testing of Security Features/Mitigations, Perform Penetration Testing **SCSIC**: Peer Reviews and Security Testing **SP80053:** SA-11, SA-15 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-001, SP-DEV-002; T0013, T0028, T0169, T0176, T0253, T0266, T0456, T0516; K0009, K0039, K0070, K0272, K0339, K0342, K0362, K0536, K0624; S0001, S0015, S0046, S0051, S0078, S0081, S0083, S0135, S0137, S0167, S0242; A0015

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Configure the Software to Have Secure Settings by Default (PW.9): Help improve the security of the software at the time of installation to reduce the likelihood of the software being deployed with weak security settings that would put it at greater risk of compromise.	**PW.9.1:** Determine how to configure each setting that has an effect on security so that the default settings are secure and do not weaken the security functions provided by the platform, network infrastructure, or services.	• Conduct testing to ensure that the settings, including the default settings, are working as expected and are not inadvertently causing any security weaknesses, operational issues, or other problems.	**BSA**: CF.1, TC.1 **IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 23 **ISO27034**: 7.3.5 **OWASPTEST**: Phase 4.2 **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 12 **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Delivery Integrity Controls, Vendor Software Development Integrity Controls **SP800181**: SP-DEV-002; K0009, K0039, K0073, K0153, K0165, K0275, K0531; S0167
	PW.9.2: Implement the default settings (or groups of default settings, if applicable), and document each setting for software administrators.	• Verify that the approved configuration is in place for the software. • Document each setting's purpose, options, default value, security relevance, potential operational impact, and relationships with other settings. • Document how each setting can be implemented by software administrators.	**IDASOAR**: Fact Sheet 23 **OWASPTEST**: Phase 4.2 **PCISSLRAP**: 8.1, 8.2 **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 12 **SCFPSSD**: Verify Secure Configurations and Use of Platform Mitigation **SCSIC**: Vendor Software Delivery Integrity Controls, Vendor Software Development Integrity Controls **SP800181**: SP-DEV-001; K0009, K0039, K0073, K0153, K0165, K0275, K0531

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV)			
Identify and Confirm Vulnerabilities on an Ongoing Basis (RV.1): Help ensure that vulnerabilities are identified more quickly so they can be remediated more quickly, reducing the window of opportunity for attackers.	**RV.1.1:** Gather information from consumers and public sources on potential vulnerabilities in the software and any third-party components that the software uses, and investigate all credible reports.	• Establish a vulnerability response program, and make it easy for security researchers to learn about your program and report possible vulnerabilities. • Monitor vulnerability databases, security mailing lists, and other sources of vulnerability reports through manual or automated means. • Use threat intelligence sources to better understand how vulnerabilities in general are being exploited.	**BSA**: VM.1-3, VM.3 **BSIMM10**: CMVM1.2, CMVM3.4 **PCISSLRAP**: 3.4, 4.1, 9.1 **SAMM15**: IM1-A **SCAGILE**: Operational Security Task 5 **SCTPC**: 3.2.4 **SP800181**: K0009, K0038, K0040, K0070, K0161, K0362; S0078
	RV.1.2: Review, analyze, and/or test the software's code to identify or confirm the presence of previously undetected vulnerabilities.	• Configure the toolchain to perform automated code analysis and testing on a regular basis. • [See **Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7)**] • [See **Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8)**]	**BSA**: VM.1-2 **ISO27034**: 7.3.6 **PCISSLRAP**: 3.4, 4.1 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-002; K0009, K0039, K0153 [See **Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7)**] [See **Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8)**]
	RV.1.3: Have a team and process in place to handle the responses to vulnerability reports and incidents.	• Have a policy that addresses vulnerability disclosure and remediation, and implement the processes needed to support that policy. • Have a security response playbook to handle a generic reported vulnerability, a report of zero-days, a vulnerability being exploited in the wild, and a major ongoing incident involving multiple parties.	**BSA**: VM.1-1, VM.2, VM.2-3 **MSSDL**: Practice 12 **SAMM15**: IM1-B, IM2-A, IM2-B **SCFPSSD**: Vulnerability Response and Disclosure **SP800160**: 3.3.8 **SP800181**: K0041, K0042, K0151, K0292, K0317; S0054; A0025

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
Assess, Prioritize, and Remediate Vulnerabilities (RV.2): Help ensure that vulnerabilities are remediated as quickly as necessary, reducing the window of opportunity for attackers.	**RV.2.1:** Analyze each vulnerability to gather sufficient information to plan its remediation.	• Use issue tracking software (existing software, if available) to document each vulnerability. • Estimate how much effort would be required to remediate the vulnerability. • Estimate the potential impact of vulnerability exploitation. • Estimate the resources needed to weaponize the vulnerability, if that has not already been done. • Estimate any other relevant factors needed to plan the remediation of the vulnerability.	**BSA**: VM.2, VM.2-1, VM.2-2 **PCISSLRAP**: 4.2 **SCAGILE**: Tasks Requiring the Help of Security Experts 10 **SP80053**: SA-10 **SP800160**: 3.3.8 **SP800181**: K0009, K0039, K0070, K0161, K0165; S0078
	RV.2.2: Develop and implement a remediation plan for each vulnerability.	• For each vulnerability, make a risk-based decision as to whether it will be remediated or if the risk will be addressed through other means (e.g., risk acceptance, risk transference). • For each vulnerability to be remediated, determine how its remediation should be prioritized. • If a permanent mitigation for a vulnerability is not yet available, determine how the vulnerability can be temporarily mitigated until the permanent solution is available, and add that temporary remediation to the plan.	**BSA**: VM.1-1, VM.2-3, VM.2-4 **PCISSLRAP**: 4.1, 4.2 **SCAGILE**: Operational Security Task 2 **SCFPSSD**: Fix the Vulnerability, Identify Mitigating Factors or Workarounds **SP800181**: T0163, T0229, T0264; K0009, K0070
Analyze Vulnerabilities to Identify Their Root Causes (RV.3): Help reduce the frequency of vulnerabilities in the future.	**RV.3.1:** Analyze all identified vulnerabilities to determine the root cause of each vulnerability.	• Document the root cause of each discovered issue. • Document lessons learned from root cause analysis in a knowledge base that developers can access and search.	**BSA**: VM.2-1 **PCISSLRAP**: 4.2 **SAMM15**: IM3-A **SP800181**: T0047, K0009, K0039, K0070, K0343
	RV.3.2: Analyze the root causes over time to identify patterns, such as when a particular secure coding practice is not being followed consistently.	• Document lessons learned from root cause analysis in a knowledge base that developers can access and search. • Add mechanisms to the toolchain to automatically detect future instances of the root cause.	**BSA**: VM.2-1, PD.1-3 **MSSDLPG52**: Phase Two: Design **PCISSLRAP**: 4.2 **SP800160**: 3.3.8 **SP800181**: T0111, K0009, K0039, K0070, K0343

Practices	Tasks	Implementation Examples	References
	RV.3.3: Review the software for other instances of the reported problem and proactively fix them rather than waiting for external reports.	• [See **Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7)**] • [See **Create Source Code Adhering to Secure Coding Practices (PW.5)**]	**BSA**: VM.2 **PCISSLRAP**: 4.2 **SP800181**: SP-DEV-001, SP-DEV-002; K0009, K0039, K0070
	RV.3.4: Review the SDLC process, and update it as appropriate to prevent (or reduce the likelihood of) the root cause recurring in updates to this software or in new software that is created.	• Document lessons learned from root cause analysis in a knowledge base that developers can access and search. • Plan and implement changes to the appropriate SSDF practices.	**BSA**: PD.1-3 **BSIMM10**: CMVM3.2 **MSSDL**: Practice 2 **PCISSLRAP**: 2.6, 4.2 **SP800181**: K0009, K0039, K0070

References

[1]   Newhouse W, Keith S, Scribner B, Witte G (2017) National Initiative for Cybersecurity
        Education (NICE) Cybersecurity Workforce Framework. (National Institute of Standards
        and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-181.
        https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-181

[2]   National Institute of Standards and Technology (2018), Framework for Improving
        Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity, Version 1.1. (National Institute of Standards and
        Technology, Gaithersburg, MD). https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.CSWP.04162018

[3]   Migues S, Steven J, Ware M (2019) *Building Security in Maturity Model (BSIMM)
        Version 10*. Available at https://www.bsimm.com/download/

[4]   BSA (2019) *Framework for Secure Software.* Available at
        https://www.bsa.org/reports/bsa-framework-for-secure-software

[5]   Hong Fong EK, Wheeler D, Henninger A (2016) State-of-the-Art Resources (SOAR) for
        Software Vulnerability Detection, Test, and Evaluation 2016. (Institute for Defense
        Analyses [IDA], Alexandria, VA), IDA Paper P-8005. Available at
        https://www.ida.org/research-and-publications/publications/all/s/st/stateoftheart-
        resources-soar-for-software-vulnerability-detection-test-and-evaluation-2016

[6]   International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical
        Commission (ISO/IEC), Information technology – Security techniques – Application
        security – Part 1: Overview and concepts, ISO/IEC 27034-1:2011, 2011. Available at
        https://www.iso.org/standard/44378.html

[7]   Microsoft (2019) *Security Development Lifecycle*. Available at
        https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/sdl

[8]   Open Web Application Security Project (2019) *OWASP Application Security Verification
        Standard 4.0*. Available at https://github.com/OWASP/ASVS

[9]   Open Web Application Security Project (2014) *OWASP Testing Guide 4.0*. Available at
        https://www.owasp.org/images/1/19/OTGv4.pdf

[10]   Payment Card Industry (PCI) Security Standards Council (2019) *Secure Software
        Lifecycle (Secure SLC) Requirements and Assessment Procedures Version 1.0*. Available
        at https://www.pcisecuritystandards.org/document_library?category=sware_sec#results

[11]   Open Web Application Security Project (2017) *Software Assurance Maturity Model
        Version 1.5*. Available at https://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP_SAMM_Project

[12]   Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code (2012) *Practical Security Stories and
        Security Tasks for Agile Development Environments*. Available at
        http://www.safecode.org/publication/SAFECode_Agile_Dev_Security0712.pdf

[13]   Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code (2018) *Fundamental Practices for Secure Software Development: Essential Elements of a Secure Development Lifecycle Program, Third Edition*. Available at https://safecode.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/SAFECode_Fundamental_Practices_for_Secure_Software_Development_March_2018.pdf

[14]   Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code (2010) *Software Integrity Controls: An Assurance-Based Approach to Minimizing Risks in the Software Supply Chain*. Available at http://www.safecode.org/publication/SAFECode_Software_Integrity_Controls0610.pdf

[15]   Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code (2017) *Managing Security Risks Inherent in the Use of Third-Party Components*. Available at https://www.safecode.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/SAFECode_TPC_Whitepaper.pdf

[16]   Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code (2017) *Tactical Threat Modeling*. Available at https://www.safecode.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/SAFECode_TM_Whitepaper.pdf

[17]   Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative (2013) Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53, Revision 4, Includes updates as of January 22, 2015. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53r4

[18]   Ross R, McEvilley M, Oren J (2016) Systems Security Engineering: Considerations for a Multidisciplinary Approach in the Engineering of Trustworthy Secure Systems. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-160, Volume 1, Includes updates as of March 21, 2018. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-160v1

Appendix A—Acronyms

BSIMM	Building Security In Maturity Model
CISQ	Consortium for Information & Software Quality
COTS	Commercial-Off-the-Shelf
CPS	Cyber-Physical System
DevOps	Development and Operations
GOTS	Government-Off-the-Shelf
ICS	Industrial Control System
IDA	Institute for Defense Analyses
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
IoT	Internet of Things
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ISPAB	Information Security and Privacy Advisory Board
IT	Information Technology
ITL	Information Technology Laboratory
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
MITA	Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance
NAVSEA	Naval Sea Systems Command
NICE	National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
OWASP	Open Web Application Security Project
PCI	Payment Card Industry
SAFECode	Software Assurance Forum for Excellence in Code
SAMM	Software Assurance Maturity Model
SBOM	Software Bill of Materials
SDL	[Microsoft] Security Development Lifecycle
SDLC	Software Development Life Cycle
SEI	Software Engineering Institute
SLC	Software Lifecyle
SOAR	State-of-the-Art Resources
SSDF	Secure Software Development Framework