

# FIT5136 - Assignment 4 - Code of Ethics Case Studies Marking Rubric

	Weight (%)	N (0-4.9)	P (5 - 5.9)	C (6 - 6.9)	D (7 - 7.9)	HD (8 - 10)
		<b>Unacceptable</b> Fails to identify what is required	<b>Basic</b> Reflects the beginnings of understanding what is required	<b>Expected</b> Basic understanding and delivery of what is required.	<b>Good</b> Reflects a mastery of what is required	<b>Excellent</b> Reflects the highest level of performance, beyond what is required
1.1 Case Study	100%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Failed to attempt case study 1</li> <li>Or</li> <li>- Wrong identification of ethical situation (Whether it is ethical issue or legal issue or more)</li> <li>- Forget to analysis ethical situation</li> <li>- Lots extra uncorrelated SE code of ethics</li> <li>- Correlation between SE code of ethics and case study are justified poorly or forget to justify</li> <li>- Case study is not articulated properly</li> <li>- Layout and document styling is very poor</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Majorly, wrong identification of ethical situation (Whether it is ethical issue or legal issue or more)</li> <li>- Most of anlaysis points are missing or identified wrong analysis point when analysising ethical situation</li> <li>- Few of the SE code of ethics are identified correctly with unrelated SE code of ethics</li> <li>- Correlation between SE code of ethics and case study are justified poorly</li> <li>- Case study is not articulated properly</li> <li>- Layout and document styling is poor</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mostly correct identification of ethical situation(s) (Whether it is ethical issue or legal issue or more)</li> <li>- Some anlaysis points are missing or identified wrong analysis point when analysising ethical situation</li> <li>- Some of the SE code of ethics are identified correctly with unrelated SE code of ethics</li> <li>- Correlation between SE code of ethics and case study are justified well</li> <li>- Articulated the case study in the an acceptable manner with some problems identified with your understanding of the case study</li> <li>- Layout and document styling is as expected</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Correct identification of ethical situation(s) (Whether it is ethical issue or legal issue or more)</li> <li>- Good analysis of ethical situation, a very few anlaysis points are missing or identified wrong analysis point(s)</li> <li>- Majority of the SE code of ethics are identified correctly with some extra unrelated SE code of ethics</li> <li>- Correlation between SE code of ethics and case study are justified excellently</li> <li>- Good articulation of the case study some possibility of misinterpretation</li> <li>- Good layout and document styling</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Correct identification of ethical situation(s) (Whether it is ethical issue or legal issue or more)</li> <li>- Appropriate analysis of ethical situation</li> <li>- Majority of the SE code of ethics are identified correctly</li> <li>- Correlation between SE code of ethics and case study are justified excellently</li> <li>- Excellent articulation of the case study with no confusion</li> <li>- Excellent layout and document styling</li> </ul>