

Project 3 Report: Unoptimized Code Generation

Jack Choi, Allen Mi, Hanxiang Ren October 26, 2018

1 Design

The unoptimized code generation component of the Scalars Decaf Compiler is built via the following procedure:

- 1. Convert high-level IR tree into low-level IR by flattening expression trees.
- 2. Generate a control-flow graph based on the low level IR tree.
- 3. Generate x86-64 assembly from the CFG.

1.1 Expression Tree Flattening

For each maximal Expression tree t rooted at an Operation, we need to flatten t such that t can be represented by a series of 3-address operations involving temporary variables. The flattening process is described by the following:

For each Operation t, we augment t with two fields, .eval and .block, where

- 1. .eval is a Location instance that tracks the temporary variable containing the result of the Operation, and
- 2. .block is a Block instance that contains a list of temporary variable declarations in . declarations field, and a list of 3-address operations for the Expression tree rooted at t in .statements field.

When we process each Operation t, .eval is initialized as an empty location, and.block is initialized as an empty block. We then recursively apply flattening to the children of t (i.e. child for unary operators, lhs and rhs for binary operators, and condition, ifTrue, ifFalse for the ternary operator). We then populate t.block by combining the .block fields of t's children. We do so for both the .declarations and the .statements fields of the block.

After populating t.block, we declare a new temporary variable tmp and add its declaration to t.block.declarations. We set t.eval as a Location instance of tmp. Finally, we add an assignment operation to t.block.statements for calculating tmp from the .eval fields of t's children.

Throughout the recursive flattening process, we keep a global iterator that increments whenever we initialize a new temporary variable. We use this technique to generate a unique name for each temporary variable in the program.

1.2 Control-Flow Graph Generation

A control-flow graph is generated from the low-level IR. The processes is separated into 3 stages.

- 1. Short-circuiting logical expressions.
- 2. Destructuring logical constructs.
- 3. Joining adjacent blocks.

1.2.1 Short-Circuiting

Given a logical expression t that has a depth $d \geq 2$, the short-circuiting of t is done as follows:

- 1. Examine the type (i.e. unary, binary or ternary) and the operator (i.e. &&, ||, etc.) of the root of t. For each operator, generate a CFG snippet from a pre-specified template.
- 2. Recurse into each conditional in the template and repeat the same operation.
- 3. If the expression for a conditional is atomic (i.e. not a compound logical expression), replace the conditional in the template with the actual expression.
- 4. Once all conditionals are filled in a CFG snippet s, add s to its parent snippet by replacing s's corresponding conditional in the parent snippet with s. Connect the inbound/outbound edges of s with its parent's edges as necessary.

1.2.2 Destructuring

Logical constructs are destructured as outlined in the lecture notes.

1.2.3 Joining Adjacent Blocks

We scan for adjacent blocks of declarations and 3-address statements, and join them into a single node in the CFG. After this process, every node in the CFG is represented by one of the following 6 categories:

- 1. VirtualCFG: Start and end nodes that do not contain statements.
- 2. CFGBlock: Basic block in CFG that does not contain conditional statements.
- 3. CFGConditional: Basic block in CFG that represents a single conditional statement.
- 4. CFGMethod: Basic block in CFG that represents a method declaration.
- 5. CFGMethodCall: Basic block in CFG that represents a method call.
- 6. CFGProgram: Basic block in CFG that represents a program.

1.3 x86-64 Assembly Generation

The CFG is linearized, and from which x86-64 assembly is generated. When generating code for method calls, the Linux/GCC x86-64 calling convention is used.

2 Extras

2.1 Producing Debug Information

When using the --target=assembly target, the user may use the --debug switch to pretty-print the low-level intermediate representation tree. For each node of the IR, its corresponding IR class, row/column and other relevant info are displayed. Additionally, for each expression tree, a list of flattened code, as well as the declarations of any associated temporary variables are displayed.

2.2 Build/Run Scripts

We re-implemented the build and run scripts. The scripts and their functionalities are specified as follows:

- 1. build.sh: Attaches scala if the current machine's FQDN ends with .mit.edu. Invokes setenv.sh. Passes all additional parameters to ant.
- run.sh: Attaches scala if the current machine's FQDN ends with .mit.edu. Invokes setenv .sh. Sets JAVA_OPTS appropriately. Invokes program and passes all additional parameters to program.
- 3. setenv.sh: Checks if the current machine's FQDN ends with .mit.edu. If so, populate the current shell with the environment variables specified in athena.environment; otherwise, populate the current shell with the environment variables specified in local.environment.

Each .environment file follows a one-line-per-variable format. Variable expansions (e.g. \$var are allowed, as long as the variable is defined in the current shell or in previous lines of the environment file.

The athena.environment is attached as follows:

1 SCALA_HOME=/mit/scala/scala/scala-2.11.2/

3 Difficulties

- 1. It is challenging to design and implement an effective expression tree flattening scheme. An important aspect of expression tree flattening is to maintain information necessary in generating the CFG, especially for short-circuiting and destructuring. In our final solution, each node t of an expression tree contains all 3-address code and temporary variable declarations needed to compute the subtree rooted at t. This design choice borrows from the idea of persistent data structures, and it allows us to augment the IR with flattened code, rather than replacing it. Hence we are able to retain the original expression tree structure for short-circuiting and destructuring.
- 2. Performing short-circuiting in CFG generation.

4 Contribution

4.1 Jack

1. Designed and implemented control-flow graph generation.

4.2 Allen

- 1. Designed and implemented expression tree flattening.
- 2. Added command line interface for --target=assembly switch and its --debug variant. Added pretty-printing. Created and maintained run and build scripts.
- 3. Completed documentation.

4.3 Hanxiang

1. Designed and implemented x86-64 assembly generation.