EGU General Assembly 2024 — Vienna, Austria | 14–19 April 2024

Sharing is encouraged

Real-time GPS vs full-GNSS time series accuracies estimations at RING INGV research infrastructure

Pietro Miele[1], Antonio Avallone[1], Ciriaco D'Ambrosio[1], Luigi Falco[1], Shi Du[2], Maorong Ge[3], Xinyuan Jiang[2], Roberto Devoti[4], Nicola Angelo Famiglietti[1], Carmine Grasso[1], Grazia Pietrantonio[4], Raffaele Moschillo[1] and Annamaria Vicari[1]

[1] Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia - Sezione Irpinia, Grottaminarda (AV), Italy
[2] Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum, Potsdam, Germany
[3] Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Shanghai, China
[4] Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia - Osservatorio Nazionale Terremoti, Roma, Italy

ABSTRACT The RING (Rete Integrata Nazionale GNSS) is a research infrastructure developed for accurately measuring deformations at different spatial and temporal scales in the Eurasia-Africa plate boundary region (Avallone et al., 2010; Devoti et al., 2017). Currently, the **RING network** (http://ring.gm.ingv.it/) is composed of 250 real-time transmitting remote sites, 70% of which are now equipped with full-GNSS (GPS, Galileo, Glonass and Beidou) instrumentation. The data streaming, in standard RTCM v.3 format, from these sites to the acquisition centre in southern Italy (**Sezione Irpinia, Grottaminarda, AV**) is managed by a tuned **Ntrip Caster** (https://igs.bkg.bund.de/ntrip/bkgcaster).



RTPPP Software GUI

NTRIP CASTER



RING network

The typical magnitude of the strongest events that occurred in the last century in this region (5.5-7) should require high accuracy (2-3 cm) GPS/GNSS time series to properly observe both static and dynamic coseismic displacements and, then, to properly model the earthquake source. Furthermore, the detection of any afterslip or, in general, any transient deformation should require even better accuracy (< 2 cm). The real-time GPS/GNSS data analysis has been implemented by means of the **RTPPP software** developed by GFZ (Ge et al., 2012). This software allows the determination of various Precise Point Positioning products with increasing accuracy (**standard PPP**, PPP with ambiguity resolution [**PPP-AR**], and PPP with regional augmentation [**PPP-RA**]). We performed some preliminary investigations on different (limited in time) datasets and we compared GPS-only and full-GNSS results. In the case of GPS-only PPP-RA solutions, the accuracies estimated on 24-hour data for the whole network amount up to **1.7 cm and 6 cm** for the horizontal and vertical components, respectively. In the case of full-GNSS solutions, the same approach (PPP-RA) allowed an improvement of about 22% on both horizontal and vertical components (**1.3 cm and 4.6 cm**). Furthermore, we compared both GPS-only and full-GNSS solutions with another method, i.e. by using a short-term accuracy analysis. Using **5 minutes** sliding windows, that should better simulate the time span for detecting coseismic displacements, we can achieve **0.5 cm and 1 cm** for horizontal and vertical components, respectively, for GPS-only solutions, and **0.3 cm and 0.5 cm** for full-GNSS ones.

GPS-only vs full GNSS daily RMSE



Distribution of daily RMSE over the GPS-only dataset (25.09.23 - 04.12.23) and GNSS dataset (29.11.23 - 15.12.23) for the three components

Short-term accuracy analysis RMSE



Distribution of sub-daily windows RMSE over the GPS-only dataset (25.09.23 - 04.12.23) and GNSS dataset (29.11.23 -15.12.23) for the three components

RING ranking "rules"

North	East	Up	*points*
RMSE ≤ **2 cm**	RMSE ≤ **2 cm**	RMSE ≤ **5 cm**	*3*
2 cm < RMSE <= **5 cm**	**2 cm** < RMSE <= **5 cm**	**5 cm** < RMSE <= **7 cm**	*1*
RMSE ≥ **5 cm**	RMSE ≥ **5 cm**	RMSE ≥ **7 cm**	*0*

daily accuracies



short-term accuracies

Best: 79%	**Medium: 9%**	**Worst: 12%**	**Best: 88%**	**Medium: 5%**	**Worst: 7%**



Location of the Norcia mainshock (Mw 6.5) and distribution of HRGNSS stations.

Finally, for the 2016 Oct 30th Mw 6.6 Norcia earthquake, we will show comparisons between post processed high-rate solutions carried out by Gipsy-Oasis II solutions and those obtained by **RTPPP simulating real-time time series.**

The obtained accuracies will demonstrate the reliability of the RING infrastructure real-time **GNSS solutions** for early warning and rapid response applications.

RIFP station - 10Hz

NORCIA earthquake 2016-10-30 6:40:17.36 UTC



REFERENCES

Avallone, A., Selvaggi, G., D'Anastasio, E., D'Agostino, N., Pietrantonio, G., Riguzzi, F., ... & Zarrilli, L. (2010). The RING network: improvements to a GPS velocity field in the central Mediterranean. Annals of Geophysics.

Ge, M., Douša, J., Li, X., Ramatschi, M., Nischan, T., & Wickert, J. (2012). A novel real-time precise positioning service system: global precise point positioning with regional augmentation. Journal of global positioning systems, 11(1), 2-10.

Avallone, A., Latorre, D., Serpelloni, E., Cavaliere, A., Herrero, A., Cecere, G., ... & Selvaggi, G. (2016). Coseismic displacement waveforms for the 2016 August 24 Mw 6.0 Amatrice earthquake (central Italy) carried out from High-Rate GPS data. Annals of Geophysics.

Devoti, R., d'Agostino, N., Serpelloni, E., Pietrantonio, G., Riguzzi, F., Avallone, A., ... & Anzidei, M. (2017). A combined velocity field of the Mediterranean region. Annals of Geophysics.

INGV _ Sezione Irpinia _ Grottaminarda _ AV _ www.irp.ingv.it _ +39 0825 446481 _ pietro.miele@ingv.it _ antonio.avallone@ingv.it

For more details and info

SCAN ME