

Project Review Board #2

Capstone Group: _____

Advisor: _____

1. Project Motivation: Are the motivation, constraints, and evaluation metrics appropriately summarized?

Score:	0 Missing or very poor	2 Poor	3 Acceptable	4 Good	5 Excellent
--------	---------------------------	-----------	-----------------	-----------	----------------

2. Design Description: Is the design fully described?

Score:	0 Not described or no final design picked	2 Not described or no final design picked	3 Design somewhat clear	4 Design sufficiently described	5 Design clearly and thoroughly described
--------	--	--	----------------------------	------------------------------------	--

3. Evaluation: Was the design evaluated using appropriate engineering analysis?

*Computer Science: Has the team tested early version to validate design choices and gather feedback? Were codes reviewed by peers to catch flaws?

Score:	0 No evaluations present	2 Poor evaluation, lacking engineering	3 Design somewhat evaluated	4 Sufficient evaluations of design	5 Appropriate and thorough evaluations of design
--------	-----------------------------	---	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---

4. Evaluation: Are the design decisions to date convincingly presented?

Score:	0 No justification given	2 Decisions poorly justified	3 Decisions somewhat justified	4 Decisions sufficiently justified	5 Decisions thoroughly justified
--------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

5. Budget*: Have cost-saving measures been considered?

*Computer Science: Has the team leveraged existing code, modules, or libraries to avoid redundant work?

Score:	0 Not addressed	2 Minimally or poorly addressed	3 Some measures considered	4 Cost-saving measures sufficiently considered	5 Cost-saving measures thoroughly considered
--------	--------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---	---

6. Budget*: Is the group ready to commit resources to the project?

*Computer Science: Has the team demonstrated sufficient progress to greenlight the remainder of the project?

Score:	0 Not addressed or very little progress	2 Not ready to commit resources	3 Ready to commit some resources	4 Ready to commit most resources	5 Completely ready to commit resources
--------	--	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---

7. Schedule*: Does the detailed full-year project schedule include project-specific milestones and not course due dates?

*Computer Science: Are design sprints planned? Are specific product goals & backlog presented? (i.e. Initiation + planning & estimating phases)

Score:	0 No schedule provided	2 Poor schedule and/or presentation	3 Mediocre presentation, perhaps unrealistic	4 Sufficient presentation	5 Thorough schedule
--------	---------------------------	--	---	------------------------------	------------------------

8. Citations: Were appropriate citations/references given?

Score:	0 None	2 Poorly done	3 Some missing citations	4 Sufficient	5 Thorough
--------	-----------	------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------

9. Questions: Were questions answered well?

Score:	0 No answers, or hostile/defensive attitude	2 Poorly	3 Neutral	4 Sufficiently, demonstrating good understanding	5 Thorough, clear and concise
--------	--	-------------	--------------	---	----------------------------------

10. Was the presentation well-organized? (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions.)

Score:	0 Not at all	2 Poor	3 Intermittently observable	4 Clearly and consistently observable	5 Clearly and consistently observable; skillfully makes the content cohesive
--------	-----------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--	---

11. Were the delivery techniques effective? (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness make the presentation _____.)

Score:	0 Incomprehensible; speakers appear uninterested or detract from presentation	2 Somewhat understandable; speakers appear uncomfortable	3 Understandable; speakers appear tentative	4 Interesting; speakers appear comfortable	5 Captivating; speakers are polished and confident
--------	--	---	--	---	---

Printed name of evaluator: _____

Please write your comments on the back of this sheet

Project Review Board #2

Comments: