

#### 《高阶会员专属视频》 YEH'STRLK -第10期

模型预测控制(MPC) 要如何使用计算机实现? 带你导读MPC经典著作! **Liuping Wang** 



Advances in Industrial Contro

Model Predictive Control System Design and Implementation Using MATLAB®



#### 1.2.1 Single-input and Single-output System

For simplicity, we begin our study by assuming that the underlying plant is a single-input and single-output system, described by:

$$x_m(k+1) = A_m x_m(k) + B_m u(k), (1.1)$$

$$y(k) = C_m x_m(k), (1.2)$$

where u is the manipulated variable or input variable; y is the process output; and  $x_m$  is the state variable vector with assumed dimension  $n_1$ . Note that this plant model has u(k) as its input. Thus, we need to change the model to suit our design purpose in which an integrator is embedded.

• STEP 1: 
$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

• STEP 2: 
$$\frac{x(k+1)-x(k)}{\Delta T} = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$$

• STEP 3: 
$$x(k+1) - x(k) = \Delta T \cdot A \cdot x(k) + \Delta T \cdot B \cdot u(k)$$

• STEP 4: 
$$x(k+1) = (\Delta T \cdot A + 1) \cdot x(k) + \Delta T \cdot B \cdot u(k)$$

Note that a general formulation of a state-space model has a direct term from the input signal u(k) to the output y(k) as

$$y(k) = C_m x_m(k) + D_m u(k).$$

However, due to the principle of receding horizon control, where a current information of the plant is required for prediction and control, we have implicitly assumed that the input u(k) cannot affect the output y(k) at the same time. Thus,  $D_m = 0$  in the plant model.

Taking a difference operation on both sides of (1.1), we obtain that

$$x_m(k+1) - x_m(k) = A_m(x_m(k) - x_m(k-1)) + B_m(u(k) - u(k-1)).$$

Let us denote the difference of the state variable by

$$\Delta x_m(k+1) = x_m(k+1) - x_m(k); \quad \Delta x_m(k) = x_m(k) - x_m(k-1),$$

and the difference of the control variable by

$$\Delta u(k) = u(k) - u(k-1).$$

These are the increments of the variables  $x_m(k)$  and u(k). With this transformation, the difference of the state-space equation is:

$$\Delta x_m(k+1) = A_m \Delta x_m(k) + B_m \Delta u(k). \tag{1.3}$$

Note that the input to the state-space model is  $\Delta u(k)$ . The next step is to connect  $\Delta x_m(k)$  to the output y(k). To do so, a new state variable vector is chosen to be

$$x(k) = \left[ \Delta x_m(k)^T \ y(k) \right]^T,$$

where superscript T indicates matrix transpose. Note that

$$y(k+1) - y(k) = C_m(x_m(k+1) - x_m(k)) = C_m \Delta x_m(k+1)$$
  
=  $C_m A_m \Delta x_m(k) + C_m B_m \Delta u(k)$ . (1.4)

Putting together (1.3) with (1.4) leads to the following state-space model:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_m(k+1) \\ y(k+1) \end{bmatrix}}_{(x_m(k))} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A_m & o_m^T \\ C_m A_m & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{(x_m(k))} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_m(k) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix}}_{(x_m(k))} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} B_m \\ C_m B_m \end{bmatrix}}_{(x_m(k))} \Delta u(k)$$

$$y(k) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} o_m & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{(x_m(k))} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_m(k) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix}}_{(x_m(k))}, \qquad (1.5)$$

where  $o_m = [0 \ 0 \dots 0]$ . The triplet (A, B, C) is called the augmented model, which will be used in the design of predictive control.

Example 1.1. Consider a discrete-time model in the following form:

$$x_m(k+1) = A_m x_m(k) + B_m u(k)$$
  

$$y(k) = C_m x_m(k)$$
(1.6)

where the system matrices are

$$A_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; B_m = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; C_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the triplet matrices (A, B, C) in the augmented model (1.5) and calculate the eigenvalues of the system matrix, A, of the augmented model.

**Solution.** From (1.5),  $n_1 = 2$  and  $o_m = [0 \ 0]$ . The augmented model for this plant is given by

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + B\Delta u(k)$$
  

$$y(k) = Cx(k),$$
(1.7)

where the augmented system matrices are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_m & o_m^T \\ C_m A_m & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; B = \begin{bmatrix} B_m \\ C_m B_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix};$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} o_m & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### 1.3.1 Prediction of State and Output Variables

Assuming that at the sampling instant  $k_i$ ,  $k_i > 0$ , the state variable vector  $x(k_i)$  is available through measurement, the state  $x(k_i)$  provides the current plant information. The more general situation where the state is not directly measured will be discussed later. The future control trajectory is denoted by

$$\Delta u(k_i), \Delta u(k_i+1), \ldots, \Delta u(k_i+N_c-1),$$

where  $N_c$  is called the control horizon dictating the number of parameters used to capture the future control trajectory. With given information  $x(k_i)$ , the future state variables are predicted for  $N_p$  number of samples, where  $N_p$  is called the prediction horizon.  $N_p$  is also the length of the optimization window. We denote the future state variables as

$$x(k_i + 1 \mid k_i), \ x(k_i + 2 \mid k_i), \ \ldots, \ x(k_i + m \mid k_i), \ \ldots, \ x(k_i + N_p \mid k_i),$$

where  $x(k_i+m \mid k_i)$  is the predicted state variable at  $k_i+m$  with given current plant information  $x(k_i)$ . The control horizon  $N_c$  is chosen to be less than (or equal to) the prediction horizon  $N_p$ .

Based on the state-space model (A, B, C), the future state variables are calculated sequentially using the set of future control parameters:

$$x(k_{i} + 1 \mid k_{i}) = Ax(k_{i}) + B\Delta u(k_{i})$$

$$x(k_{i} + 2 \mid k_{i}) = Ax(k_{i} + 1 \mid k_{i}) + B\Delta u(k_{i} + 1)$$

$$= A^{2}x(k_{i}) + AB\Delta u(k_{i}) + B\Delta u(k_{i} + 1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x(k_{i} + N_{p} \mid k_{i}) = A^{N_{p}}x(k_{i}) + A^{N_{p}-1}B\Delta u(k_{i}) + A^{N_{p}-2}B\Delta u(k_{i} + 1)$$

$$+ \dots + A^{N_{p}-N_{c}}B\Delta u(k_{i} + N_{c} - 1).$$

From the predicted state variables, the predicted output variables are, by substitution

$$y(k_{i} + 1 \mid k_{i}) = CAx(k_{i}) + CB\Delta u(k_{i})$$

$$y(k_{i} + 2 \mid k_{i}) = CA^{2}x(k_{i}) + CAB\Delta u(k_{i}) + CB\Delta u(k_{i} + 1)$$

$$y(k_{i} + 3 \mid k_{i}) = CA^{3}x(k_{i}) + CA^{2}B\Delta u(k_{i}) + CAB\Delta u(k_{i} + 1)$$

$$+ CB\Delta u(k_{i} + 2)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y(k_{i} + N_{p} \mid k_{i}) = CA^{N_{p}}x(k_{i}) + CA^{N_{p}-1}B\Delta u(k_{i}) + CA^{N_{p}-2}B\Delta u(k_{i} + 1)$$

$$+ \dots + CA^{N_{p}-N_{c}}B\Delta u(k_{i} + N_{c} - 1).$$

$$(1.11)$$

Note that all predicted variables are formulated in terms of current state variable information  $x(k_i)$  and the future control movement  $\Delta u(k_i+j)$ , where  $j=0,1,\ldots N_c-1$ .

Define vectors

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y(k_i + 1 \mid k_i) \ y(k_i + 2 \mid k_i) \ y(k_i + 3 \mid k_i) \dots y(k_i + N_p \mid k_i) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$\Delta U = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u(k_i) \ \Delta u(k_i + 1) \ \Delta u(k_i + 2) \dots \Delta u(k_i + N_c - 1) \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

where in the single-input and single-output case, the dimension of Y is  $N_p$  and the dimension of  $\Delta U$  is  $N_c$ . We collect (1.10) and (1.11) together in a compact matrix form as

$$Y = Fx(k_i) + \Phi \Delta U, \tag{1.12}$$

where in the single-input and single-output case, the dimension of Y is  $N_p$  and the dimension of  $\Delta U$  is  $N_c$ . We collect (1.10) and (1.11) together in a compact matrix form as

$$Y = Fx(k_i) + \Phi \Delta U, \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} CA \\ CA^2 \\ CA^3 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{N_p} \end{bmatrix}; \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} CB & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ CAB & CB & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ CA^2B & CAB & CB & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{N_p-1}B & CA^{N_p-2}B & CA^{N_p-3}B & \dots & CA^{N_p-N_c}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### 1.3.2 Optimization

For a given set-point signal  $r(k_i)$  at sample time  $k_i$ , within a prediction horizon the objective of the predictive control system is to bring the predicted output as close as possible to the set-point signal, where we assume that the set-point signal remains constant in the optimization window. This objective is then translated into a design to find the 'best' control parameter vector  $\Delta U$  such that an error function between the set-point and the predicted output is minimized.

Assuming that the data vector that contains the set-point information is

$$R_s^T = \overbrace{ \left[ \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1 \ \right] }^{N_p} r(k_i),$$

we define the cost function J that reflects the control objective as

$$J = (R_s - Y)^T (R_s - Y) + \Delta U^T \bar{R} \Delta U, \qquad (1.13)$$

where the first term is linked to the objective of minimizing the errors between the predicted output and the set-point signal while the second term reflects the consideration given to the size of  $\Delta U$  when the objective function J is made to be as small as possible.  $\bar{R}$  is a diagonal matrix in the form that  $\bar{R} = r_w I_{N_c \times N_c}$   $(r_w \ge 0)$  where  $r_w$  is used as a tuning parameter for the desired closed-loop performance. For the case that  $r_w = 0$ , the cost function (1.13) is interpreted as the situation where we would not want to pay any attention to how large the  $\Delta U$  might be and our goal would be solely to make the error  $(R_s - Y)^T (R_s - Y)$  as small as possible. For the case of large  $r_w$ , the cost function (1.13) is interpreted as the situation where we would carefully consider how large the  $\Delta U$  might be and cautiously reduce the error  $(R_s-Y)^T(R_s-Y)$ .

To find the optimal  $\Delta U$  that will minimize J, by using (1.12), J is expressed as

$$J = (R_s - Fx(k_i))^T (R_s - Fx(k_i)) - 2\Delta U^T \Phi^T (R_s - Fx(k_i)) + \Delta U^T (\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R}) \Delta U.$$
(1.14)

From the first derivative of the cost function J:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \Delta U} = -2\Phi^T (R_s - Fx(k_i)) + 2(\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})\Delta U, \qquad (1.15)$$

the necessary condition of the minimum J is obtained as

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \Delta U} = 0,$$

from which we find the optimal solution for the control signal as

$$\Delta U = (\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1} \Phi^T (R_s - Fx(k_i)), \tag{1.16}$$

with the assumption that  $(\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1}$  exists. The matrix  $(\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1}$  is called the Hessian matrix in the optimization literature. Note that  $R_s$  is a data vector that contains the set-point information expressed as

$$R_s = \overbrace{[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]^T}^{N_p} r(k_i) = \bar{R}_s r(k_i),$$

where

$$ar{R}_s = \overbrace{\left[1\ 1\ 1\ \dots\ 1
ight]^T}^{N_p}$$
 .

The optimal solution of the control signal is linked to the set-point signal  $r(k_i)$  and the state variable  $x(k_i)$  via the following equation:

$$\Delta U = (\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1} \Phi^T (\bar{R}_s r(k_i) - F x(k_i)). \tag{1.17}$$