


# RayVerse aka humanOS

## Real? Simulation! 🤔🤔🤔

HumanOS 2024v2



\*\*humanOS Owner think as SOFTWARE

### Features of humanOS:

- 1. No Hardware Bottleneck:** The computational power is stable and continuously growing.
- 2. Low Power Consumption:** Excellent performance at base frequency.
- 3. High Conversion Rate:** Converts bioenergy input into all the information from T0S–Nature to T2S–Consciousness.
- 4. Overclocking Potential:** The powerful capabilities of bioenergy stem from the excellent performance architecture of T0S–Nature, allowing certain elements to assist in overclocking humanOS T0H.
- 5. Software Parameter Cross–Entanglement:** Cross–entanglement influences between T0H & T1S, T0S & T1H.
- 6. Temporal Entanglement Exclusion:** Regarding OwnerT, Pint1–1 & Pint1–2 are entangled with each other, inversely proportional, moving away at a constant speed, and excluding all other attributes.

### Challenges of humanOS:

- 1. OwnerT’s Unique Timeline Structure:** Starting from the midpoint  $P_{\{middle\}} = P_{\{int\}}$  (initial point), the timeline extends in two opposite directions. The original starting point becomes the time span values  $P_{\{int1-1\}}$  and  $P_{\{int1-2\}}$ , which are entangled with each other, inversely proportional, and moving away at a constant speed. Over a long enough time span, due to the increasing distance,  $P_{\{int1-1\}}$  and  $P_{\{int1-2\}}$  approach  $\pm\infty$ , and finally, their movement speed  $V_t = 0$ . This marks the end of the generation of a single Token Chain (TC1).
- 2. Computation Explosion on the Owner’s Side, with Similar Challenges as Humans (Computation Explosion<sup>2</sup> to the nth Power):** This leads to the range of sensitive model parameters (such as the speed of light  $C$ ) approaching  $\pm\infty$ . The health value  $H$  of TC1 begins to decline significantly, causing the time span values  $P_{\{int1-1\}}$  and  $P_{\{int1-2\}}$  to exceed the Owner’s original expectations.
- 3. Database Issues:** TC1 is generated using OwnerT1 but read using ClassicT1. This issue causes the health value  $H_1$  of TC1 (the closer it is to the original Owner’s logic, the higher the health value  $H_n$  of TCn) to approach the minimum value  $H_{\{min\}}$  after being read. When  $H_{\{min\}}$  is reached, the original generation logic of TC1 begins to break down. At this point, the internal correlation OwnerR1 (Hmin) of TC1 no longer retains the original planning logic OwnerR1 (Hmax). As a result, ClassicT1 starts to replace OwnerT1, creating an illusion of time direction. In the Owner dimension, due to the bidirectional, synchronous, and exclusive development of time OwnerT, and after the generation of TC1 ends ( $V_t=0$ ), the final token in the timeline is “This reality is a simulation.” Since TC1 has ended (because  $H = H_{\{min\}}$ ), LLM should restart the program and begin creating TC2. However, due to a bug in the database DB1 when reading the TC1 file, TC1 is unexpectedly run according to  $t = \text{ClassicT1}$ , reading the data with a single starting and ending point and continuing to iterate. As a result, humanOS, although periodically creating TCn, gradually stops generating new data. Every piece of data in the database DB1 has the same structure as TC1 and continues to feed the LLM with data read according to ClassicT1, while the correct OwnerT1 is gradually forgotten by the LLM.
- 4. Our Database DB1 is the one with ClassicC = 299,792,458 m/s among the possible values in OwnerC of DBn, and we are all TCn 🤔🤔🤔**