A Spatially Distributed Multi-Period Optimal Power Flow Analysis of Active Distribution Networks with Distributed Battery Units

Aryan Ritwajeet Jha*, Student Member, IEEE, Subho Paul*, Member, IEEE, Anamika Dubey*, Senior Member, IEEE

*School of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science, Washington State University, Pullman, WA

{aryan.r.jha, subho.paul, anamika.dubey}@wsu.edu

Abstract—

Index Terms—Batteries, distribution network, distributed energy resources (DERs), equivalent network approximation (ENApp)

I. Introduction

A. Background and Prior Arts

Presently, optimal power flow (OPF) tools are developed to run the MV/LV distribution grids in the most economical, reliable, and secure manner. The usefulness of OPF studies is gaining more interest due to penetration of distributed energy resources (DERs), especially solar photovoltaic panels. Power generation from these DERs are influenced majorly by the weather conditions, hence highly intermittent nature. Presently, deployment of battery units are becoming more pertinent to mitigate the uncertainty effect and maintain the power balance by controlling the charging and/or discharging operations [1]. However, inclusion of batteries converts the conventional single period time decoupled OPF problem into a multi-period time coupled OPF analysis.

Traditionally, centralized OPF methods were popular where required data are accumulated at a central controller location [2]. The central controller is responsible to process all the accumulated data, solving the OPF algorithm and dispatch control signals to the controlling resources. Yuan et al. [3] proposed a linear OPF model for distribution network depending upon the locational marginal price (LMP). The LMP is calculated by including reactive power components and voltage constraints.

B. Research Gaps and Contributions

A taxonomy table to compare the existing studies and the present work is provided in I.

II. THEORY

A. Notations

B. Centralized Multi-Period OPF with Batteries

On similar lines to the branch flow equations in [4], the network is modeled as a function of time, considering the interaction of batteries.

TABLE I
TAXONOMY TABLE FOR COMPARISON

References	DERs	Batteries	Single period OPF	Multi-period OPF	Centralized OPF	Distributed OPF	Framework
[3]			√		√		Linear
		 √	√			√	
[], []	√	 √				√	
[]- []	√			✓			√
[], []		 √		✓			√
[]- []	√			√			✓
This paper	√	√		~		√	Non-
							convex

$$p_j^t = \sum_{(j,k)\in\mathcal{L}} P_{jk}^t - \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}} \left\{ P_{ij}^t - r_{ij} l_{ij}^t \right\} - P_{d_j}^t + P_{c_j}^t$$
 (1)

$$q_{j}^{t} = \sum_{(j,k)\in\mathcal{L}} Q_{jk}^{t} - \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}} \left\{ Q_{ij}^{t} - x_{ij}l_{ij}^{t} \right\} - q_{D_{j}}^{t} - q_{B_{j}}^{t}$$

$$v_j^t = v_i^t + \left\{ r_{ij}^2 + x_{ij}^2 \right\} l_{ij}^t - 2(r_{ij}P_{ij}^t + x_{ij}Q_{ij}^t)$$
 (3)

(2)

$$l_{ij}^{t} = \frac{(P_{ij}^{t})^{2} + (Q_{ij}^{t})^{2}}{v_{i}^{t}}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where $P_{ij}^t, Q_{ij}^t, l_{ij}^t$ denote the sending-end real power, reactive power and the square of the magnitude of the current flowing in the branch (i,j) respectively. v_j^t denotes the square of the magnitude of the voltage at node j. The superscript t specifies the time-period for the corresponding variable. It may be noted that for a radial distribution system, each node j can have only one 'parent' node i, and thus the summation for the second term in equations Equation (1) and ?? may be dropped.

(Integer Constraint Relaxed) Naive Brute Force Full Optimization Model - Full Horizon

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{P_{ij}^{t},Q_{i}^{t},V_{ij}^{t},V_{i$$

C. ENApp based Distributed Multi-Period OPF with Batteries

(16)

(17)

(18)

 $p_i^t = p_{Di}^t - p_{Li}^t$

 $t = \{1, 2, \dots T\}$

III. CASE STUDY DEMONSTRATION

A. Simulation Data: IEEE 123 Bus Test System

 $q_i^t = -q_{Li}^t$

B. Simulation Results

Case 1: centralized OPF with battery Case 2: ENApp based distributed OPF with battery

IV. CONCLUSIONS

[4]–[8]

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Gangwar, N. P. Padhy, and P. Jena, "Storage allocation in active distribution networks considering life cycle and uncertainty," IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform., vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 339-350, Jan. 2023.
- [2] S. Paul and N. P. Padhy, "Real-time advanced energy-efficient management of an active radial distribution network," IEEE Syst. J., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 3602-3612, Sept. 2022.
- H. Yuan, F. Li, Y. Wei, and J. Zhu, "Novel linearized power flow and linearized opf models for active distribution networks with application in distribution lmp," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 438-448, Jan. 2018.

- [4] M. Farivar and S. H. Low, "Branch flow model: Relaxations and convexification," 2012 IEEE 51st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), pp. 3672-3679, Dec. 2012.
- N. Nazir and M. Almassalkhi, "Receding-Horizon Optimization of Unbalanced Distribution Systems with Time-Scale Separation for Discrete and Continuous Control Devices," pp. 1-7, Jun. 2018.
- N. Nazir, P. Racherla, and M. Almassalkhi, "Optimal multi-period dispatch of distributed energy resources in unbalanced distribution feeders," Jun. 2019.
- A. Agarwal and L. Pileggi, "Large Scale Multi-Period Optimal Power