

## Outline for EE 521 Final Paper and Presentation

Steps	Activity	Due Date:
<b>Presentations</b>	<b>10-12 min presentation with Q&amp;A</b>	<b>Nov 29, Dec 1, 6 &amp; 8</b>
<b>Presentations must be given from WSUTC, WSUV or WSUP Classroom</b>	<b>Evaluations must be done from either WSUV, WSUP or WSUTC Classroom</b>	<b>Evaluation guide for paper &amp; reviews will be posted next week</b>
<b>Paper</b>	<b>4-5 pages – Summary &amp; how topic relates to class</b>	<b>Dec 9</b>

### Paper - Submit via Canvas by December 9, 11:59 pm

- What is your topic of choice? Provide a short overview of the topic.
- Why did you pick this topic? Why did it interest you?
- Provide a short summary of each of the five papers you read and what were their major points and contributions.
- Provide a comparison/contrast of the five papers and their techniques. How were they similar? How were they different?
- Discuss how this topic and these papers related to the activities we are doing in the class?
- Discuss two or three things you learned from reading these papers.
- Provide a short summary to wrap up the paper

In addition to the paper (3-5 pages), you should also include references for each of the papers as well as any other resources you used. Use the IEEE Format for citations.

### Powerpoint – Submit via Canvas by Dec 8, 11:59 pm

#### Suggested Outline for PPT

- Title slide
- Topic of Choice and short explanation on topic
- What Interested You in the Topic
- Summary of five papers (probably 1 slide per paper with key points)
- Comparison/contrast (couple slides to discuss how the papers fit together for the topic)
- Connect the Papers to the Class (1-2 slides on how these topics related to one or more topics in the class)
- Discuss what were some key takeaways you learned by reading the papers and reviewing the topic more in depth
- Summary Slide

In addition to paper and presentation, all students are expected to attend ALL other presentations and provide an evaluation on the peers as shown below. Students need to attend all presentations in the WSU Pullman, WSU Tri-Cities or WSU Vancouver classroom.

## Final Presentation Peer Review

## Rubric

Speaker				
Topic	0-10			
<b>Technical Content</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Feedback (positive or improvements)</b>	<b>For Technical Session</b>	
* Summary of Topic			10	Outstanding; Clear, Concise and Understandable
* Explanation of Interest in Topic			7-8-9	Good to Very Good - Provided most of the information needed
* Comparison and Contrast of papers and methods			5-6	Okay - Provided some of information needed; Left off key concepts
* Discussion on how it relates to class and new information learned			2-3-4	Not a good explanation; hard to follow
* Use of Tables, Graphs and Figures to Show Information			0-1	Did not cover topic or area
<b>General Presentation</b>	0-10		<b>General Presentation</b>	
* PPT content - Easy to Read & Follow, Understandable				10 - Outstanding 8-9 Very Good 6-7 Good 5 or < Below Average
* Overall Rating of Presentation				10 - Outstanding 8-9 Very Good 6-7 Good 5 or < Below Average
TOTAL (out of 70)				

Final Presentations	
29-Nov	
2:55-3:10 pm	Logistics & Evals
3:10-3:25 pm	Hassan Yazdani
3:25-3:40 pm	Ninad Gaikwad
3:40-3:55 pm	Noah Allison
3:55-4:10 pm	Mauricio Silveira
1-Dec	
2:55-3:10 pm	Asif Iftekhar Omi
3:10-3:25 pm	Md Mehedi Hasan Tanim
3:25-3:40 pm	Ben McCornack
3:40-3:55 pm	Md. Samiul Islam Sagar
3:55-4:10 pm	Extra Time if talks go over
6-Dec	
2:55-3:10 pm	Leonardo Stringini
3:10-3:25 pm	Ke Wang
3:25-3:40 pm	Augusto Zanin Bertolotti
3:40-3:55 pm	Jacob Hastings
3:55-4:10 pm	Extra Time if talks go over
8-Dec	
2:55-3:10 pm	Aryan Ritwajeet Jha
3:10-3:25 pm	Sajjad Uddin Mahmud
3:25-3:40 pm	Saeed Salimi Amiri
3:40-3:55 pm	Sumanjali Pannala
3:55-4:10 pm	Extra Time if talks go over

### Final Report Rubric

90% Technical Content

- Summary of Topic and why you choose it (10%)
- Summary of Each Paper (35%)
- Compare and Contrast of Papers(30%)
- Relationship to Class and What you Learned (15%)

10% Grammar, Spelling and Flow