

SVD

May 3, 2018

Abstract

1 Eigenvalue Decomposition

Suppose there is $m \times m$ full rank symmetric matrix A, it has m different eigenvalue, and the eigenvalue is λ_i , and the corresponding unit eigenvector is x_i , then

$$\begin{aligned} Ax_1 &= \lambda_1 x_1 \\ Ax_2 &= \lambda_2 x_2 \\ &\dots \\ Ax_m &= \lambda_m x_m \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

then :

$$AU = U\Lambda \tag{2}$$

$$U = [x_1 \quad x_2 \cdots x_m] \tag{3}$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Since the symmetric matrix eigenvectors are orthogonal to each other, U is a orthogonal matrix, and the inverse matrix of the orthogonal matrix is equal to its transpose.

$$A = U\Lambda U^{-1} = U\Lambda U^T \tag{5}$$

2 Singular Value Decomposition

Suppose there is $m \times n$ matrix A, the aim is to find a group of orthogonal basis in n-dimensional space which is also orthogonal after A-transforms. If the orthogonal basis v is the eigenvector of the matrix $A^T A$, then the elements in v are orthogonal to each other because the matrix $A^T A$ is symmetric matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}
v_i^T A^T A v_j &= v_i^T \lambda_j v_j \\
&= \lambda_j v_i^T v_j \\
&= \lambda_j v_i v_j = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Then normalize the orthogonal basis after mapping:

Tips: $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = [ac + bd] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned}
A v_i \cdot A v_i &= (A v_i)^T A v_i \\
&= v_i^T A^T A v_i \\
&= \lambda_i v_i \cdot v_i = \lambda_i
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

\Rightarrow

$$|A v_i|^2 = \lambda_i \geq 0 \tag{8}$$

So the unit vector is:

$$u_i = \frac{A v_i}{|A v_i|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} A v_i \tag{9}$$

\Rightarrow

$$A v_i = \sigma_i u_i \tag{10}$$

σ_i is singular value, and $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}, 0 \leq i \leq k, k = \text{Rank}(A)$

Now expand the orthogonal vector $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ to $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ as a group of orthogonal vector in m-dimensional space. Meanwhile, expand $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ to $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ as a group of orthogonal vector and $\{v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \dots, v_n\}$ in $Ax = 0$ solution space, when $i > k, \sigma_i = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&A[v_1 v_2 \dots v_k | v_{k+1} \dots v_n] \\
&= [u_1 u_2 \dots u_k | u_{k+1} \dots u_m] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \sigma_k & \\ & 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$A = [u_1 \dots u_k] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ v_k^T \\ v_{k+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ v_n^T \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

Use the multiplication of matrix:

$$A = [u_1 \dots u_k] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ v_k^T \end{bmatrix} + [u_{k+1} \dots u_m] [0] \begin{bmatrix} v_{k+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ v_n^T \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}$$

Then matrix A can be decomposed as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ v_k^T \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 u_1 & \cdots & \sigma_k u_k \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ v_k^T \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Then $A = XY$ is the full rank decomposition of matrix A.

3 SVD in Recommender System

The data matrix of *user* and *item* is huge. And there are many blanks in this matrix, so it is also an extremely sparse matrix. We defined this scoring matrix as $R_{U \times I}$

Table 1: user/item matrix

user/item	1	2	3	4	...
1	5	4	4.5	?	...
2	?	4.5	?	4.5	...
3	4.5	?	4.4	4	...
...

The scoring matrix $R_{U \times I}$ can be decomposed as two matrices P and Q :

$$R_{U \times I} = P_{U \times k} Q_{k \times I} \quad (17)$$

U is the number of users, I is the number of items, k is the rank of matrix R . Assuming that the known score is r_{ui} , the error between the true value and the predicted value is:

$$e_{ui} = r_{ui} - \hat{r}_{ui} \quad (18)$$

The total error squared sum is:

$$SSE = \sum_{u,i} e_{ui}^2 = \sum_{u,i} \left(r_{ui} - \sum_{k=1}^K p_{uk} q_{ki} \right)^2 \quad (19)$$

3.1 Basic SVD with Gradient Descent

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{uk}} SSE &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{uk}} \sum_{u,i} (e_{ui})^2 \\
&= 2e_{ui} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{uk}} e_{ui} \\
&= 2e_{ui} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{uk}} (r_{ui} - \sum_{k=1}^K p_{uk} q_{ki}) \\
&= 2e_{ui} q_{ki}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Explanation for the equation:

there is no summary symbol in the second step because only the equation which has u has the derivative result, other equations' derivative results are zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{uk} &:= p_{uk} - \eta(-e_{ui} q_{ki}) = p_{uk} + \eta e_{ui} q_{ki} \\
q_{ki} &:= q_{ki} - \eta(-e_{ui} p_{uk}) = q_{ki} + \eta e_{ui} p_{uk}
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

There are two different ways to update the two arguments:

- **Batch Gradient Descent Algorithm**

Update p, q after calculating all the predictions of known scores

- **Random Gradient Descent Algorithm**

Update p, q after calculating one e_{ui}

We choose random gradient descent algorithm because we have to minimize the equation SSE , so we have to search in the direction of negative gradient, this may cause the gradient descent stop in the local optimal solution if we use bath gradient descent algorithm.