

Home Work 3

4.5

$$H_0 : \mu = 8.25 \text{ cm}$$

(A)

$$H_A : \mu \neq 8.25 \text{ cm}$$

Given: $\sigma = 0.002 \text{ cm}$, Sample Size $n = 15$,

Sample mean $\bar{x} = 8.2535 \text{ cm}$, Significance level $\alpha = 0.05$

Z-test statistic:

$$Z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

$$Z_0 = \frac{8.2535 - 8.25}{0.002 / \sqrt{15}} = \frac{0.0035}{0.000516398}$$

$$Z_0 = 6.77772$$

$$Z_0 \approx 6.78$$

→ Determine the critical value and make a decision
For a two sided test at $\alpha = 0.05$, The critical values are $Z_{\alpha/2} \Rightarrow Z_{0.025} = 1.96$.

Since $|Z_0| = 6.78 > Z_{0.025} = 1.96$, Reject H_0 .

* We will reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the mean inside bearing diameter isn't 8.25 cm.

(II) The probability of not detecting a shift when one has occurred is the type II error (β).

Given: $\mu_0 = 8.25$, $\mu_1 = 8.25$, $\sigma = 0.002$

$$\mu_1 = \mu_0 + \delta \Rightarrow 8.255 - 8.25 \Rightarrow \delta = 0.005$$

$$\text{Upper bound} = \mu_0 + Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 8.25 + 1.96 \left(\frac{0.002}{\sqrt{15}} \right)$$

$$= 8.25175$$

$$\text{Lower bound} = \mu_0 - Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 8.25 - 1.96 \left(\frac{0.002}{\sqrt{15}} \right)$$

$$= 8.24825$$

$$\beta = \Phi\left(Z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{8\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(-Z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{8\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(Z_{0.025} - \frac{0.005\sqrt{15}}{0.002}\right) - \Phi\left(Z_{0.025} + \frac{0.005\sqrt{15}}{0.002}\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(1.96 - \frac{0.019364917}{0.002}\right) - \Phi\left(-1.96 - \frac{0.019364917}{0.002}\right)$$

$$= \Phi(-7.7224585) - \Phi(-11.6424585)$$

$$\beta \approx 0$$

* The probability of not detecting this shift (8.25 to 8.255 cm) is 0. The test will almost surely detect such a large shift.

~~4.6~~ $H_0: M = 25h$

~~(1)~~ $H_A: M > 25h$

Sample data: 25.5, 26.1, 26.8, 23.2, 24.2, 25.0, 27.8,
27.3, 25.7

$$\bar{x} \approx 26.0h, S \approx 1.621, \alpha = 0.05$$

t-test statistic:

$$t_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - M_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

Estimate S, since σ is unknown.

$$t_0 = \frac{26.0 - 25}{1.62/\sqrt{10}} \approx 1.95$$

reject H_0 ; $t_0 > t_{\alpha, n-1} \Rightarrow t_0 > t_{(0.05, 9)}$

$t_0 \approx 1.95 > t_{(0.05, 9)} \approx 1.83$, Reject H_0

* We will reject the null hypothesis and conclude with 95% confidence that the mean battery life exceeds 25h.

(b) The manufacturer claims that standard deviation (σ) is less than 1.5 hours (Known)

$$H_0: \sigma = 1.5 \text{ h}$$

$$H_A: \sigma < 1.5 \text{ h}$$

Given: $n=10$, $s \approx 1.62 \text{ h}$, $\alpha = 0.05$

$$\chi^2_0 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma_0^2}$$

$$\chi^2_0 = \frac{(10-1) \cdot (1.62)^2}{(1.5)^2} = \frac{9(2.6244)}{2.25} \approx 10.56$$

reject H_0 : $\chi^2_0 < \chi^2_{(1-\alpha, n-1)}$ $\Rightarrow \chi^2_0 \approx 10.56 > \chi^2_{(0.95, 9)} \approx 3.33$

Since $\chi^2_0 > \chi^2_{(0.95, 9)}$, fail to reject H_0 .

* At the 0.05 significance level, there is insufficient evidence to support the manufacturer's claim that the standard deviation is less than 1.5 hours.

(the sample standard deviation ≈ 1.62 is slightly higher than the claimed value)

4.12 Given: $n=500$, nonconforming $X=65$, sample proportion: $\hat{P} = \frac{65}{500} = 0.13$, hypothesized proportion: $P_0 = 0.08$, $\alpha = 0.05$

* Estimate process fraction nonconforming: $\hat{P} = 0.13 = 13\%$

$$95\% \text{ CL} : \hat{P} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{P}(1-\hat{P})}{n}} = 0.13 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.13(0.87)}{500}}$$

$$= 0.13 \pm 1.96(0.0150) \Rightarrow 95\% \text{ CL} = (0.10, 0.16)$$

$$H_0: P = 0.08$$

$$H_A: P \neq 0.08$$

Verify the approximation Condition

$$NP = 500(0.08) = 40 > 10$$

$$P = 0.08 < 0.5 \quad \checkmark$$

So, we can approximate from binomial to normal.

$$Z_0 = \frac{\hat{P} - P_0}{\sqrt{P_0(1-P_0)/n}} = \frac{0.13 - 0.08}{\sqrt{0.08(0.92)/500}} = \frac{0.05}{0.0121} \approx 4.13$$

$$Z_0 \approx 4.13$$

→ Determine the Critical Value

$$Z_{\alpha/2} \Rightarrow Z_{0.05/2} \Rightarrow Z_{0.025} \approx 1.96$$

Since $|Z_0| \approx 4.13 > Z_{0.025} \approx 1.96$, reject H_0 .

* At the 5% level, there is strong evidence that the true fraction defective isn't 0.08. Based on the sample the process fraction nonconforming is estimated as 0.13, which is significantly higher than 0.08.