

Default Report

GTA Performance - ELECTRONICS I

July 6, 2020 1:45 PM MDT

Q1 - Class Information

Name of GTA

Supervising Professor


Course

Lab Section

Q2 - The Electrical Engineering Faculty has adopted the following list of abilities and knowledge expected of our MSEE and PhD graduates. These are referred to as “program outcomes.” In order to maintain our status as an electrical engineering graduate program, accredited by the Arkansas Department of Higher Education, we must demonstrate that our graduates do, in fact, have these abilities and knowledge upon graduation. In the spaces provided, please indicate how you feel the listed outcomes were addressed in this course and how the course helped you to acquire the ability or knowledge indicated in the outcome.

Poor

Fair



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Did GTA demonstrate knowledge of the subject matter?	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	7
2	Was GTA able to organize and communicate subject matter?	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	7
3	Did GTA demonstrate fairness in grading?	4.00	5.00	4.86	0.35	0.12	7


#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
4	Did GTA prepare for laboratories in knowledge of subject?	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	7
5	Did GTA prepare for laboratories in knowledge of equipment?	4.00	5.00	4.86	0.35	0.12	7
6	Did GTA remain in laboratory during assigned period?	3.00	5.00	4.71	0.70	0.49	7
7	Did GTA provide adequate instruction to protect equipment?	4.00	5.00	4.86	0.35	0.12	7
8	Did GTA provide control over laboratory equipment and supplies?	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	7
9	Did GTA secure laboratory properly at the end of class period?	4.00	5.00	4.86	0.35	0.12	7
10	Was GTA available at reasonable times to assist students?	4.00	5.00	4.86	0.35	0.12	7
11	Did GTA have a good attitude toward work assignment?	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	7
12	Overall ranking of this individual.	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	7

#	Field	Poor	Fair	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Total
1	Did GTA demonstrate knowledge of the subject matter?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7
2	Was GTA able to organize and communicate subject matter?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7
3	Did GTA demonstrate fairness in grading?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	7
4	Did GTA prepare for laboratories in knowledge of subject?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7
5	Did GTA prepare for laboratories in knowledge of equipment?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	7
6	Did GTA remain in laboratory during assigned period?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	85.71% 6	7
7	Did GTA provide adequate instruction to protect equipment?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	7
8	Did GTA provide control over laboratory equipment and supplies?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7
9	Did GTA secure laboratory properly at the end of class period?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	7
10	Was GTA available at reasonable times to assist students?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	7
11	Did GTA have a good attitude toward work assignment?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7

#	Field	Poor		Fair		Adequate		Good		Excellent		Total
12	Overall ranking of this individual.	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	7	7

Showing rows 1 - 12 of 12

Q3 - Please select Yes or no to these questions



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Was the person a good match for the assignment?	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	7
2	Should this student be considered for this same assignment again?	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	7

#	Field	Yes		No		Total
1	Was the person a good match for the assignment?	100.00%	7	0.00%	0	7
2	Should this student be considered for this same assignment again?	100.00%	7	0.00%	0	7

Showing rows 1 - 2 of 2

Q4 - Should this student be given a new assignment?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Should this student be given a new assignment?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	0.00% 0
2	No	0.00% 0
		0

Showing rows 1 - 3 of 3

Q5 - Comments

Comments

Q6 - Timing

#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Timing - First Click	1.01	36.35	10.15	12.90	166.43	7
2	Timing - Last Click	45.81	1042.48	261.46	337.01	113578.28	7
3	Timing - Page Submit	54.96	1044.68	267.62	334.63	111978.39	7
4	Timing - Click Count	18.00	39.00	24.29	6.45	41.63	7

Q7 - Browser Meta Info

Browser

#	Field	Choice Count
Chrome	Chrome	57.14% 4
Firefox	Firefox	14.29% 1
Safari	Safari	14.29% 1
Safari iPhone	Safari iPhone	14.29% 1
		7

Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5

Version

#	Field	Choice Count
13.0.5	13.0.5	28.57% 2
81.0.4044.122	81.0.4044.122	28.57% 2
81.0.4044.129	81.0.4044.129	28.57% 2
75.0	75.0	14.29% 1
		7

Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5

Operating System

#	Field	Choice Count
Windows NT 10.0	Windows NT 10.0	57.14% 4
iPhone	iPhone	14.29% 1
Macintosh	Macintosh	14.29% 1
Windows NT 6.1	Windows NT 6.1	14.29% 1
		7

Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5

Resolution

#	Field	Choice Count
1280x720	1280x720	14.29% 1
1366x768	1366x768	14.29% 1
1440x900	1440x900	14.29% 1
1536x864	1536x864	14.29% 1
1680x1050	1680x1050	14.29% 1
1920x1080	1920x1080	14.29% 1
414x736	414x736	14.29% 1
		7

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

SC0 - Score

#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7

End of Report