# Title of the article

Rebekah Lee

## 1. Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed vehicula metus sapien. Suspendisse pulvinar, felis ut hendrerit aliquet, dui nisi bibendum erat, fermentum mattis enim nibh id arcu. Vestibulum ultrices eros sed odio tincidunt bibendum. Pellentesque fermentum ante vel nulla commodo fermentum. Vestibulum in augue sit amet libero viverra accumsan eu at magna. Sed at ligula quis nibh pharetra facilisis non eu libero. Suspendisse non quam sit amet massa luctus interdum sit amet in purus. Integer id orci elit, vitae sollicitudin lectus.

## 2. Introduction

Nam fermentum sapien at enim varius consectetur. Quisque lobortis imperdiet mauris, et accumsan libero vulputate vitae. Integer lacinia purus vel metus tempus suscipit. Curabitur ac sapien quis mauris euismod commodo. Sed pharetra sem elit. Fusce ultrices, mauris eu fermentum tempor, tellus sem ornare lectus, in convallis nunc urna id dolor. Donec convallis ligula vitae sem viverra fermentum. Mauris in ullamcorper erat. Donec ultrices tempus nibh quis vestibulum. This statement requires citation [*Goldfinger et al.*, 2013]. This one is an in-text citation because the authors of *Herrendörfer et al.* [2015] are specifically mentioned.

Praesent volutpat, nibh in dignissim commodo, tellus justo consequat erat, vel consequat mi arcu vel lectus. Aliquam a tellus nec felis sagittis consequat. Quisque convallis imperdiet neque a tempor. Nulla non erat urna. Mauris vel lorem magna, tristique auctor ipsum. Aliquam pharetra eleifend massa. Donec porttitor sagittis luctus. Aliquam pretium luctus leo quis congue. Morbi vel felis mi. Suspendisse viverra tortor pretium orci lacinia eleifend. Phasellus aliquam, nunc eu cursus feugiat, erat odio porttitor libero, quis accumsan orci ipsum ut lorem. Vestibulum pharetra malesuada egestas. Sed non orci sit amet erat suscipit fringilla in et diam. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Nunc ut rhoncus nulla. Aenean porta rhoncus suscipit.

## 3. Methods

Suspendisse viverra eleifend nulla at facilisis. Nullam eget tellus orci. Cras sit amet lorem velit. Maecenas rhoncus pellentesque orci eget vulputate. Phasellus massa nisi, mattis nec elementum accumsan, blandit non neque. In ac enim elit, sit amet luctus ante. Cras feugiat commodo lectus, vitae convallis dui sagittis id. In in tellus lacus, sed lobortis eros. Phasellus sit amet eleifend velit. Duis ornare dapibus porttitor. Maecenas eros velit, dignissim at egestas in, tincidunt lacinia erat. Proin elementum mi vel lectus suscipit fringilla. Mauris justo est, ullamcorper in rutrum interdum, accumsan eget mi. Maecenas ut massa aliquet purus eleifend vehicula in a nisi. Fusce molestie cursus lacinia.

$$e = mc^2 \tag{1}$$

Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Mauris eu sapien nunc, sit amet accumsan dui. Nulla ac diam ut nunc placerat semper eget et libero.

**Figure 1.** caption here

Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Cras hendrerit ullamcorper sapien vitae luctus. Quisque vel diam massa. Vestibulum dui nibh, facilisis vel vestibulum eu, viverra in quam.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= (x - x_0)\cos\Theta \\
&\quad + (y - y_0)\sin\Theta \\
y_1 &= -(x - x_0)\sin\Theta \\
&\quad + (y - y_0)\cos\Theta.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

## 4. Data and Results

The tsunami record near Sendai, Japan shows events in 1896, 1611 and 869. The largest is the 869 even with tsunami penetrating $3-4$ km inland, similar to the 2011 earthquake. There is also evidence for two predecessors with tsunamis reaching the same distance inland as the 869 and 2011 earthquakes. This evidence supports the existence of periodic outsized earthquakes greater than magnitude 9 with a recurrance interval between $800-1200$ years [*Goldfinger et al.*, 2013].

*Goldfinger et al.* [2013] identify the Cascadia subduction zone as another area likely to produce supercycle earthquakes. They correlate turbidite cores along the subduction zone and find consistency between sites for the same events in terms of mass size.

Figure 1 shows the results from modeling the energy state in the Cascadia subduction zone [*Goldfinger et al.*, 2013].

They identify four clusters from the past 10 thousand years. Cluster four shows an even energy state before falling to a low after a large event. Cluster 3 climbs steadily until falling to a similar low. During this period there are several seismic cycles with relatively low stress drops that do not relieve all of the accumulated strain. A total stress drop finally happens at the end of the cluster with an event much larger than the others within the same cluster. Cluster two differs from the previous in that it does not culminate in an oversized event. Rather, it climbs and then falls over several seismic cycles until it reaches a low energy value about 2500 years BP (1950). The end of the cluster marks a long gap of about 1,000 years of constant increase in energy. Cluster one then slowly decreases the energy state until the A.D. 1700 $M_w$ 9.0 earthquake. [*Goldfinger*

**Figure 2.** Comparison of characteristics of long (LW) and small (SW) downdip width subduction zone models. Earthquakes indicated by astericks and superevents are circled. Subfigures show cumulative sum over time (**a**) Excess strength (**b**) and S parameter (**b**). S parameter is the ratio of initial strength excess to stress change during an event. Event types are also indicated by color. From *Herrendörfer et al.* [2015].

*et al.*, 2013] note that the scale factor is based on the condition of no net energy change over the 10,000 years but that changing this parameter does not change the pattern observed. The authors also note that the seismic coupling coefficient only changes the pattern if the value is allowed to vary between events.

The four clusters modeled by *Goldfinger et al.* [2013] demonstrate that some events in this subduction zone release energy from previous cycles. This subduction zone is neither slip nor time predictable. Energy release is not tied to recurrance intervals as some events can release energy accumulated from previous seismic cycles. The authors make a few observations relating the energy state to the behavior of the clusters. High energy states results in one very large event, (as in the case of cluster four), or a series of smaller events (as in cluster two). Low energy state results in a long gap in seismicity (cluster 2) or a series of small earthquakes with net energy gain over several cycles (cluster 3).

Figures **2,3** show results from *Herrendörfer et al.* [2015]. Both systems show that events are triggered at low excess stress (Figure **2b**). However, the magnitudes at similar low stresses are much greater for the large width subduction zone, as shown in Figure (**2a**). Large width zones are characterized by supercycles that partially release stress but overall excess strength continues to decrease until at a low level. Figure **3** shows strength excess (shaded green) before and after each of the event types. Subcritical events (a) nucleate close to the downdip limit of the seismogenic zone and transfers stress close to the stopping location (about 125 km from backstop). Pulse-like ruptures (b) nucleate from the downdip limit for short duration and transfer stress updip (about 300 km from backstop). These combine to shift the strain towards the center of the seismogenic zone that eventually results in the crack-like event (c) that ruptures the entire zone.

*Herrendörfer et al.* [2015] characterize events by an S parameter. The S parameter is a measure of the ratio the inital strength excess and stress change during an event. Figure **2** Large width model is characterized by a higher median S parameter (2.5) compared to the small width model (.25). The average strength excess is increased by increased width and leads to transition from

**Figure 3.** caption

crack-like ruptures of the entire zone to smaller preparatory subcritical and pulse-like ruptures leading up to culminating crack-like rupture.

## 5. Conclusion

Examining the geologic record can give us a good indication of whether or not a subduction zone has produced oversized events in the past. This records include paleoseimic eveidence and tsunami observations and turbidite deposits when they can be attributed to shaking. As the data from the Cascadias demonstrates, there is no simply relationship to predict the size of earthquakes in subduction zones. For example, because supercycles use energy from previous seismic cycles, convergent rate is an unreliable predictor as some earthquakes may not result in a complete stress drop over the area of the fault.

## References

Goldfinger, C., Y. Ikeda, R. S. Yeats, and J. Ren (2013), Superquakes and Supercycles, *Seismological Research Letters*, *84*(1), 24–32, doi:10.1785/0220110135.

Herrendörfer, R., Y. van Dinther, T. Gerya, and L. A. Dalguer (2015), Earthquake supercycle in subduction zones controlled by the width of the seismogenic zone, *Nature Geoscience*, *8*(6), 471–474, doi:10.1038/ngeo2427.