

Some solutions to problems in Manifolds, Sheaves and Cohomology

1 Chapter 3

3.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have the function $x \mapsto \|x\| \in \mathcal{F}(B(0, k))$ where $B(0, k)$ is the open ball of radius k centered at 0. If \mathcal{F} were a sheaf, then we could find $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f|_{B(0, k)} : x \mapsto \|x\|$ for all k . Such a function cannot be bounded, so \mathcal{F} is not a sheaf.

The inclusions $i_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}}(U)$ make up a morphism of sheaves $i : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then i_x is injective, and it is surjective because \mathbb{R}^n is locally compact so a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally bounded. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}}$ is a sheaf, we get that it is the sheafification of \mathcal{F} .

3.2. For $U \subseteq V \subseteq X$ open, if $f : V \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is measurable, then $f|_U$ also is (because U is open and we use the Borel σ -algebra of X). It follows that $\mathcal{M}_X(U)$ is a presheaf of functions on X . Now assume X is Lindelöf. Let $U = \bigcup_i U_i$ be an open covering of an open set U and $s_i \in \mathcal{M}_X(U_i)$ be compatible on intersections. Then, the function s obtained by gluing together all the s_i s is measurable, because there exists a countable subcover $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{i_n}$ and

$$s^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_{i_n}^{-1}(A)$$

is a Borel subset of X by properties of σ -algebras. This shows \mathcal{M}_X is a sheaf on X .

3.3.

1. For $U \subseteq V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, if $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable, then $f|_U$ is also Lebesgue integrable. Since a restriction of a function f such that $|f| = 0$ satisfies again $|f| = 0$, restriction morphisms pass to the quotient and $U \mapsto L^1(U)$ is a presheaf of \mathbb{R} -vector spaces. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the constant function with value 1 on $B(0, k)$ is Lebesgue integrable. However, the constant function with value 1 is not Lebesgue integrable on \mathbb{R}^n , which shows $U \mapsto L^1(U)$ is not a sheaf.
2. For $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, we have an inclusion map $i_U : L^1(U) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)$. This inclusion map gives a morphism of presheaves $i : L^1 \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$. It is injective on stalks. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(U, f) \in (L^1_{\text{loc}})_x$. Since \mathbb{R}^n is locally compact, there exists a compact set $K \subseteq U$ and an open set $V \ni x$ contained in K . Then, $[(U, f)] = i([V, f|_V])$. This makes sense since $f|_V$ is Lebesgue integrable because f is Lebesgue integrable on $K \supseteq V$. This shows L^1_{loc} is the sheafification of L^1 .

3.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a subpresheaf of \mathcal{F} . Assume it is a sheaf. Let $U \subseteq X$ be open, $U = \bigcup_i U_i$ be an open covering of U , and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} \in \mathcal{G}(U_i)$ for all i . Set $s_i = s|_{U_i} \in \mathcal{G}(U_i)$ for all i . Then, $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ because s_i and s_j are restrictions of s . Since \mathcal{G} is a sheaf, there exists $s' \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ such that $s_i = s'|_{U_i}$. Since \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, the uniqueness condition gives that $s = s'$, so $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$. Conversely, assume that for every open set $U \subseteq X$, open covering $U = \bigcup_i U_i$ and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ with $s|_{U_i} \in \mathcal{G}(U_i)$, we have $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$. Let $U = \bigcup_i U_i$ be an open covering of an open set, and $s_i \in \mathcal{G}(U_i)$ be such that $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ on all i, j . Since \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, there exists a unique element $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s_i = s|_{U_i}$ for all i . Then, by assumption, $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$. Uniqueness comes from the uniqueness of s . Therefore, \mathcal{G} is a sheaf.

3.5.

1. It is clear that Ω is a presheaf. Let $U \subseteq X$ be open, $U = \bigcup_i U_i$ be an open covering and $V_i \subset U_i$ be open sets in X , such that $V_i \cap U_i \cap U_j = V_j \cap U_i \cap U_j$ for all i, j . Then, $\bigcup_i V_i$ is an open set in X contained in U , and

$$\left(\bigcup_i V_i \right) \cap U_j = \bigcup_i (V_i \cap U_j) = \bigcup_i (V_i \cap U_i \cap U_j) = \bigcup_i (V_j \cap U_i \cap U_j) = (V_j \cap U_j) \cap \left(\bigcup_i U_i \right) = V_j$$

Moreover, if $W \subseteq U$ is another open set satisfying $W \cap U_j = V_j$ for all j , then $\bigcup_j V_j \subseteq W$ and any $x \in W$ is contained in one U_j and therefore in one V_j , so $W = \bigcup_j V_j$. Hence Ω is a sheaf.

2. We first check that \mathcal{G}_Φ is a subpresheaf of \mathcal{F} . Let $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ be open sets and $s \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi(U)$. Then, $\Phi_V(s|_V) = \Phi_U(s) \cap V = U \cap V = V$, so \mathcal{G}_Φ is a subpresheaf of \mathcal{F} . Now, let $U \subseteq X$ be an open set, $U = \bigcup_i U_i$ be an open covering and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ be such that $s|_{U_i} \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi(U_i)$. Then, $(\Phi_U(s)) \cap U_i = \Phi_{U_i}(s|_{U_i}) = U_i$ since s_i is in $\mathcal{G}_\Phi(U_i)$. Therefore,

$$\Phi_U(s) \cap U = \Phi_U(s) \cap \left(\bigcup_i U_i \right) = \bigcup_i \Phi_U(s) \cap U_i = \bigcup_i U_i = U$$

So $\Phi_U(s) \supseteq U$. Since $\Phi_U(s) \in \Omega(U)$, we have $\Phi_U(s) \subseteq U$, so $\Phi_U(s) = U$ and $s \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi(U)$. The preceding problem allows us to conclude that \mathcal{G}_Φ is a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} .

We have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}(X)}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega) &\rightarrow \{\text{subsheaves of } \mathcal{F}\} \\ \Phi &\mapsto \mathcal{G}_\Phi \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{G} be a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} . For $U \subseteq X$ open, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_U^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{F}(U) &\rightarrow \Omega(U) \\ s &\mapsto \bigcup_{\substack{V \subseteq U \text{ open} \\ s|_V \in \mathcal{G}(V)}} V \end{aligned}$$

Let us check that $\Phi^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega$. Let $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ be open sets, and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. Then,

$$\Phi_V^{\mathcal{G}}(s|_V) = \bigcup_{\substack{W \subseteq V \text{ open} \\ s|_W \in \mathcal{G}(W)}} W$$

and

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{G}}(s) \cap V = V \cap \bigcup_{\substack{W \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ open} \\ s|_W \in \mathcal{G}(W)}} W = \bigcup_{\substack{W \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ open} \\ s|_W \in \mathcal{G}(W)}} (V \cap W) = \bigcup_{\substack{W \subseteq V \text{ open} \\ s|_W \in \mathcal{G}(W)}} W$$

So $\Phi^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega$.

Now, we check that $\Phi \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ and $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \Phi^{\mathcal{G}}$ are inverse bijections of each other. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega$ be a morphism of sheaves. Then, for any open set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq X$:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{G}_{\Phi}}(s) = \bigcup_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ open} \\ s|_V \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}(V)}} V = \bigcup_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ open} \\ \Phi_V(s|_V) = V}} V = \bigcup_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ open} \\ \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(s) \cap V = V}} V = \bigcup_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ open} \\ V \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(s)}} V = \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(s)$$

And the other way around,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\Phi^{\mathcal{G}}}(\mathcal{U}) &= \{s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) \mid \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{G}}(s) = \mathcal{U}\} \\ &= \left\{ s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) \mid \bigcup_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ open} \\ s|_V \in \mathcal{G}(V)}} V = \mathcal{U} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If $s \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$, then $s \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi^{\mathcal{G}}}(\mathcal{U})$ (take $V = \mathcal{U}$). If $s \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi^{\mathcal{G}}}(\mathcal{U})$, since $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{s|_V \in \mathcal{G}(V)} V$ is an

open covering of \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{G} is a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} , and $s|_V \in \mathcal{G}(V)$ for all V as in the union, we have $s \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$. By double inclusion, $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi^{\mathcal{G}}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$.

Functoriality is the only thing that remains to be checked. Let \mathcal{H} be another sheaf on X and $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a morphism of sheaves. We have an induced map $(- \circ \alpha) : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega)$. We need to get a map $\{\text{subsheaves of } \mathcal{H}\} \rightarrow \{\text{subsheaves of } \mathcal{F}\}$ from α . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{subsheaves of } \mathcal{H}\} &\rightarrow \{\text{subsheaves of } \mathcal{F}\} \\ \mathcal{G} &\mapsto (\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{U} \mapsto \alpha_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}))) \end{aligned}$$

We check that this map is well-defined. Let \mathcal{G} be a subsheaf of \mathcal{H} . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}} & \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{V}}} & \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{V}) \end{array}$$

so if $s \in \alpha_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}))$, then $\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}(s) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$, so $(\alpha_{\mathcal{V}}(s|_{\mathcal{V}})) = (\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}(s))|_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V})$, so $s|_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V})$. Hence $\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ is a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} . Now let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq X$ be an open set, $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_i \mathcal{U}_i$ and $s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U})$ with $s|_{\mathcal{U}_i} \in (\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G})(\mathcal{U}_i)$ for all i . Then, $(\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}(s))|_{\mathcal{U}_i} = \alpha_{\mathcal{U}_i}(s|_{\mathcal{U}_i}) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}_i)$ and since \mathcal{G} is a sheaf, $\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}(s) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$, so $s \in (\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G})(\mathcal{U})$, which shows $\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ is a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} by the preceding problem.

Functoriality means that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sh}(X)}(\mathcal{H}, \Omega) & \longrightarrow & \{\text{subsheaves of } \mathcal{H}\} \\
\downarrow -\circ \alpha & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} \mapsto \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sh}(X)}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega) & \longrightarrow & \{\text{subsheaves of } \mathcal{F}\}
\end{array}$$

If $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Omega$ is a morphism of sheaves, then we need to check that $\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G}_\Phi = \mathcal{G}_{\Phi \circ \alpha}$. For $\mathcal{U} \subseteq X$ open,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{G}_\Phi(\mathcal{U}) &= \{s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) \mid \alpha_{\mathcal{U}}(s) \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi(\mathcal{U})\} \\
&= \{s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) \mid \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}(s)) = \mathcal{U}\} \\
&= \{s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) \mid (\Phi \circ \alpha)_{\mathcal{U}}(s) = \mathcal{U}\} \\
&= \mathcal{G}_{\Phi \circ \alpha}(\mathcal{U})
\end{aligned}$$

and this concludes the proof.

3.6.

1. The category **Set** is isomorphic to the category $\mathrm{Sh}(\{x\})$. Using this identification one obtains directly that $(i_x)_*$ is a functor $\mathrm{Sh}(\{x\}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(X)$. If E is set, so a sheaf on $\{x\}$, and \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of X , we have a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sh}(\{x\})}(i_x^{-1}\mathcal{F}, E) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sh}(X)}(\mathcal{F}, (i_x)_*(E))$$

TODO

- 2.