Evaluation Component	Very Weak	Weak	Average	Good	Very Good
Project Documentation via Pivotal Tracker (Role: Developer) 66 pts.	Earned 1 point (approximately 2 hours of development work)[1]. Story estimations are incorrect. Inappropriate documentation of Tasks and Activities. Inconsistent documentation of story progress.	Earned 3 points (approximately 6 hours of development work). Story estimations are incorrect. Sparse documentation of Tasks and Activities. Inconsistent documentation of story progress.	Earned 6 points (approximately 12 hours of development work). Story estimations are generally correct. Appropriately documented Tasks and Activities. Inconsistent documentation of story progress.	Earned 9-10 points (approximately 20 hours of development work). Story estimations are mostly correct. Appropriately documented Tasks and Activities. Consistent documentation of story progress.	Earned more than 12 points (approximately 24 hours of development work). Story **estimations are correct**. Appropriately documented Tasks and Activities. Consistent documentation of story progress.
Project Documentation via Pivotal Tracker (Role: SM and Co-PO) 66 pts.	Very poor understanding of Scrum and Pivotal Tracker. *Backlog*[2] poorly managed. Other aspects of Pivotal Tracker are poorly managed (e.g., Epics, Chores, Releases, etc.)	Poor understanding of Scrum and Pivotal Tracker. Backlog poorly managed. Some misguided attempts at prioritization, Epics, and Releases.	Basic understanding of Scrum and Pivotal Tracker. Backlog relatively well managed. Some misguided attempts at prioritization, Epics, and Releases.	Intermediate understanding of Scrum and Pivotal Tracker. Backlog well managed. Prioritizations, Epics, and Releases are generally correct.	**Advanced** understanding of Scrum and Pivotal Tracker. **Facilitate Daily Scrum and Sprint Planning Meeting** (invites Dr. Lac to attend these meetings). **Backlog well managed**. Prioritizations, Epics, and Releases are **correct** and **up-to-date** in a **timely** manner.

---

[1] Includes unit testing.

[2] "*Backlog*" in this document refers to Pivotal Tracker's Icebox, Current, and Backlog panel.

Use of Version Control System (Role: Developer)[3] 17 pts.	A version control system was not used.	A version control system was used, but simply as storage tool.	Version control system used with mostly linear history graph.	Version control system used with non-linear history graph.	**Efficient and effective** use of version control system to facilitate **team development**.
Unit Testing (Role: Developer)[4] 17 pts.	No unit testing done. Code and unit testing breaks all of the following: KISS, SRP, and DRY.	Minimal unit testing and largely incorrect. Code and unit testing breaks two of the following: KISS, SRP, and DRY.	Majority of code unit tested and generally correct. However, code and unit testing breaks one of the following: KISS, SRP, and DRY.	All code unit tested and correct.[5] Code and unit testing adopts all of the following: KISS, SRP, and DRY. Manual integration testing.	All code unit tested and correct. Code and unit testing adopts all of the following: KISS, SRP, and DRY. Test doubles and external libraries used to test code behavior and readability. Automated, continuous integration testing performed using external tools and libraries.

Your mark: _____ /100 pts * 30% = _____ %

[3,4] The 17 pts. for SMs is calculated based on the average of the Developers.

[5] This statement generally excludes testing accessors.