

ON CERTAIN GROUPS OF UNITARY OPERATORS

1. LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

1. In this chapter, it is mainly about locally compact abelian groups, on which we will most often make no restrictive assumptions, but some of our results are irrelevant unless G is isomorphic to its dual. All subsequent applications relating to one of the following cases:

- (a) G is a vector space X of finite dimension k over a locally compact non discrete
- (b) G has the form $X_A = X_K \otimes A_k$, where A_k is the ring of k -adèles, which can be either a body of algebraic numbers, or a body of algebraic functions of dimension 1 over a field, and X is a finite dimensional vector space on it.

We refer to these cases saying that G is “type in the local case” in case (a), “type adèlique” in case (b); if G is a local or adèlique, it is isomorphic to its dual. Locally compact groups will often be noted additively.

T means the multiplicative group of complex numbers such as $t\bar{t} = 1$, a character of G is a morphism of G to T . If G and H are locally compact abelian groups, a bicharacter of $G \times H$ is the continuous function f of $G \times H$ to T such that, for all $y \in H$, $x \rightarrow f(x, y)$ is a character of G , for all $x \in G$, $y \rightarrow f(x, y)$ is a character of H .

The continuous function f of G to T will be called a second degree character of G if the function

$$(x, y) \rightarrow \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)f(y)}$$

is a bicharacter of $G \times G$, or, which is the same, if f satisfies the relationship

$$f(x+y+z)f(x)f(y)f(z) = f(x+y)f(y+z)f(z+x)$$

whenever x, y, z in G .

We always denote G^* the dual of G (written additively too), and we write (x, x^*) , for $x \in G$, $x^* \in G^*$, as the value on x corresponding to the character x^* of G . We always identify G with the double-dual $(G^*)^*$ of G such that

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle$$

(it can be made this identification such that $\langle x, x^* \rangle = -x^*x$ as well, and it would be even more convenient in some respects, but this shock habits received too) If $x \rightarrow x\alpha$ is a morphism from G to H , its dual α^* is the morphism from H^* to G^* such that

$$\langle x\alpha, y^* \rangle = \langle x, y^*\alpha^* \rangle$$

whenever $x \in G, y^* \in H^*$.

All bicharacter of $G \times H$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$f(x, y) = \langle x, y\alpha \rangle = \langle y, x\alpha^* \rangle$$

where α is a morphism from H to G^* , $\alpha^*: G \rightarrow H^*$ is the dual of α . If $G = H$, it is both necessary and sufficient that f is symmetric in x, y , then we have $\alpha = \alpha^*$. We say that the morphism α from G to G^* is *symmetric*.

If f is a character of second degree of G , we have

$$(1) \quad \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)f(y)} = \langle x, y\rho \rangle,$$

or $\rho = \rho(f)$ is a morphism, obviously symmetric, from G to G^* ; In expression 1, we say that f and ρ are associated to each other. If we denote $X_2(G)$ the multiplication group of characters of second degree on G , function $f \rightarrow \rho(f)$ is a homomorphism from $X_2(G)$ to the additive group of symmetric morphisms from G to G^* ; the kernel of this homomorphism is the multiplicative group $X_1(G)$ of character on G . We can say more in the case that $x \rightarrow 2x$ is an automorphism of G (which occurs for example if G is local or on a body adelic k characteristics other than 2); then, we denote $x \rightarrow 2^{-1}x$ the inverse of the automorphism $x \rightarrow 2x$ of G . In this case, if ρ is a symmetric morphism from G to G^* , it is associated with the second degree character $f_\rho(x) = \langle x, 2^{-1}x\rho \rangle$; if we denote $X_2^0(G)$ the subgroup of $X_2(G)$ formed by f_ρ , then $X_2(G) = X_2^0(G) \times X_1(G)$, and $X_2^0(G)$ is isomorphic to the additive group of symmetric morphisms from G to G^* .

We say that the character of second degree f is non *degenerate* if the symmetric morphism associated with f is an isomorphism from G to G^* ; the existence of such character is necessary (but not sufficient) for G isomorphic to G^* .

2. Section 2

Given a Haar measure dx on G , a Fourier transform \mathfrak{T} is that we associate with each function Φ on G , a function $\Phi^* = \mathfrak{T}(\Phi)$ on G^* defined as

$$\Phi^*(x^*) = \int \Phi(x) \cdot \langle x, x^* \rangle \cdot dx$$

whenever this integral, or a suitable extension of it, makes sense. Then there is a Haar measure dx^* on G^* , called the *dual* of dx , such that the inverse transformation \mathfrak{T}^{-1} of \mathfrak{T} is given by the formula

$$\Phi(x) = \int \Phi^*(x^*) \cdot \langle x, -x^* \rangle \cdot dx^*;$$

For this measure, we have the Plancherel formula

$$\int |\Phi(x)|^2 dx = \int |\Phi^*(x^*)|^2 dx^*$$

It is clear that, for every $c > 0$, the Haar measure on G^* , dual of $c \cdot dx$, is $c^{-1}dx^*$. This remark can be expressed as follows,

Lemma 1. *Let G, H be two locally compact abelian groups, with Haar measure dx, dy ; let G^*, H^* be their duals, equipped with Haar measure dx^*, dy^* respectively. Then, if α is an isomorphism of G on H , α^* is an isomorphism of H^* on G^* , and one has $|\alpha^*| = |\alpha|$.*

Recall that, if G and H are locally compact groups (commutative or not) with Haar measures, the module of an isomorphism α of G on H is the number $|\alpha| = d(x\alpha)/dx$ defined by the formula

$$\int F(y)dy = |\alpha| \cdot \int F(x\alpha)dx$$

where $F \in L^1(H)$; if $G = H$, it is generally understood that we take $dx = dy$, and then $|\alpha|$ is independent of the choice of dx . To prove the lemma, let $m = |\alpha|$; by transport of structure, α transforms dx into a Haar measure $d'y$ on H , and as soon as we see that $d'y = m^{-1}dy$; it follows that α^* transforms the dual measure of $d'y$, which is, as noted above, $m \cdot dy^*$ into dx^* ; thus α^* transforms dy^* into $m^{-1}dx^*$, which shows the lemma.

3. Let $x \rightarrow z\sigma$ is an automorphism of $G \times G^*$; if we set $z = (x, x^*)$, we can also written in a matrix form:

$$(x, x^*) \rightarrow (x, x^*) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

which means, of course:

$$(x, x^*) \rightarrow (x\alpha + x^*\gamma, x\beta + x^*\delta)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are morphisms from G to G , from G to G^* , from G^* to G and G^* to G^* respectively. Note that the dual σ^* of the $G \times G^*$ automorphism σ is the automorphism defined by these formulas:

$$\sigma^* \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^* & \gamma^* \\ \beta^* & \delta^* \end{pmatrix}$$

on $G^* \times G$. Let η be the isomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ from $G \times G^*$ to $G^* \times G$, or, it's the same, the isomorphism $(x, x^*) \rightarrow (-x^*, x)$ (we refer them as the same automorphism for any groups.) The formula

$$(2) \quad \sigma^I = \eta \sigma^* \eta^I = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^* & -\beta^* \\ -\gamma^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

defines an automorphism of $G \times G^*$, and Lemma 1 of section 2 shows that $|\sigma^I| = |\sigma|$. Note that $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^I$ is an anti-automorphism involution of the group of automorphisms of $G \times G^*$. For the convenience of writing, we will denote F the bicharacter of $(G \times G^*) \times (G \times G^*)$ defined by

$$(3) \quad F(z_1, z_2) = \langle x_1, x_2^* \rangle \quad (z_1 = (x_1, x_1^*), z_2 = (x_2, x_2^*))$$

An automorphism σ of $G \times G^*$ is called *symplectic* if it lead invariant of the bicharacter $F(z_1, z_2)F(z_2, z_1)^{-1}$, i.e. if you have

$$\frac{F(z_1\sigma, z_2\sigma)}{F(z_2\sigma, z_1\sigma)} = \frac{F(z_1, z_2)}{F(z_2, z_1)}.$$

whenever z_1, z_2 in $G \times G^*$; we write $\text{Sp}(G)$ as the group formed by these automorphisms. For σ to be symplectic, it is both necessary and sufficiently (as shown by a calculation immediately) that $\sigma\sigma^I = 1$, σ^I is defined by (2); $|\sigma^I| = |\sigma|$, it follows that the module

of any symplectic automorphism is 1. The relationship $\sigma\sigma^I = 1$ gives $\alpha\beta^* = \beta\alpha^*$ and $\gamma\delta^* = \delta\gamma^*$ in particular, which means that $\alpha\beta^*$ and $\gamma\delta^*$ are symmetric morphisms from G to G^* and from G^* to G respectively; through the relationship $\sigma^I\sigma = 1$, we see that $\beta^*\delta$ and $\gamma^*\alpha$ in the same way.

4. For any element $w = (u, u^*)$ of $G \times G^*$, we pick an operator $U(w)$, which, for any function Φ on G , gives a function $\Phi' = U(w)\Phi$ such that

$$\Phi'(x) = (U(w)\Phi)(x) = \Phi(x + u) \cdot \langle x, u^* \rangle$$

For short, we write $U(w)\Phi(x)$ instead of $(U(w)\Phi)(x)$. Applied to functions $\Phi \in L^2(G)$, the $U(w)$ is obviously the unitary operator, and it was, for any w_1, w_2 in $G \times G^*$:

$$U(w_1)U(w_2) = F(w_1, w_2) \cdot U(w_1 + w_2)$$

wherein F is the function defined above by (3). It concludes that the operators $t \cdot U(w)$, for $w \in G \times G^*$ and $t \in T$, form a group, whose composition law is given by

$$(4) \quad (w_1, t_1) \cdot (w_2, t_2) = (w_1 + w_2, F(w_1, w_2)t_1t_2)$$

In other words, the formula (4) defines a group law on the set $G \times G^* \times T$; and if we denote by $A(G)$ the group thus defined (which, with the obvious topology on $G \times G^* \times T$, is a locally compact group), the mapping $(w, t) \rightarrow t \cdot U(w)$ defines a unitary representation $A(G)$. We denote by $\mathbf{A}(G)$ the group formed by the operators $t \cdot U(w)$; if we choose the topology induced by the strong topology in the group of automorphisms of $L^2(G)$ (cf. later in Section 35), it is easy to verify that $(w, t) \rightarrow t \cdot U(w)$ is even an isomorphism of topological groups.

The center of the group $A(G)$ is obviously formed by the elements $(0, t)$; it is isomorphic to T , and denoted T for short. It is clear that $(w, t) \rightarrow w$ is a homomorphism of $A(G)$ on $G \times G^*$, with kernel T ; it allows to identify $A(G)/T$ with $G \times G^*$. 5. Let $B(G)$ be the automorphism group of $A(G)$. An automorphism s in $B(G)$ induce an automorphism of the center T of $A(G)$, which may not be $t \mapsto t$ or $t \mapsto t^{-1}$; and induced by passing to the quotient, an automorphism of $A(G)/T$, i.e. $G \times G^*$. We denote $B_0(G)$ the automorphism group of $A(G)$, which induce the identity map on the center T of $A(G)$. We consider $B_0(G)$ now, although the following results can partially cover to $B(G)$. Let s be an element of $B_0(G)$, which induced an automorphism σ of $G \times G^*$. it is immediately written s as

$$(5) \quad (w, t)s = (w\sigma, f(w)t),$$

where f is a continuous function from $G \times G^*$ to T . This formula defines an automorphism of $A(G)$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$(6) \quad \frac{f(w_1 + w_2)}{f(w_1)f(w_2)} = \frac{F(w_1\sigma, w_2\sigma)}{F(w_1, w_2)}$$

where w_1, w_2 are in $G \times G^*$. This shows in particular that f is a character in the second degree of $G \times G^*$. Moreover, the second is symmetric in w_1 and w_2 , we see that σ must be symplectic.

Write $s = (\sigma, f)$ where s is the automorphism of $A(G)$ defined by (5), f and σ satisfy the relation (6). The group law is given by

$$(\sigma, f) \cdot (\sigma', f') = (\sigma\sigma', f'')$$

for any $w \in G \times G^*$, f is defined by the formula

$$(7) \quad f''(w) = f(w)f'(w\sigma)$$

The map $s \rightarrow \sigma$ is a homomorphism from $B_0(G)$ to $\text{Sp}(G)$; its kernel is formed by the elements $(1, f)$, where f , by (6), is a character of $G \times G^*$ of the form

$$f(u, u^*) = \langle u, a^* \rangle \langle a, u^* \rangle$$

with $a \in G$, $a^* \in G^*$. But it immediately satisfies that $(1, f)$ is the inner automorphism of $A(G)$ determined by the element $(-a, a^*, 1)$. The kernel of $s \rightarrow \sigma$ formed by the inner automorphisms of $A(G)$, is isomorphic to $A(G)/T$, therefore, $G \times G^*$.

We can go one step further by explaining the second term of (6). Let σ be placed in matrix form as in Section 3. Let

$$f'(u, u^*) = f(u, u^*) \langle u^* \gamma, -u\beta \rangle$$

Easy calculation gives (6) in the form

$$f'(u_1 + u_2, u_1^* + u_2^*) = f'(u_1, u_1^*) f'(u_2, u_2^*) \langle u_1, u_2 \alpha \beta^* \rangle \langle u_1^* \gamma \delta^*, u_2^* \rangle.$$

Let $g(u) = f'(u, 0)$, $h(u^*) = f'(0, u^*)$; by $u_2 = 0$, $u_1^* = 0$ in the relation above, we see that $f'(u, u^*)$ is no other than $g(u)h(u^*)$, then g and h satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} g(u_1 + u_2) &= g(u_1)g(u_2) \langle u_1, u_2 \alpha \beta^* \rangle \\ h(u_1^* + u_2^*) &= h(u_1^*)g(u_2^*) \langle u_1^* \gamma \delta^*, u_2^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

in other words they are the characters of second degree of G and G^* , respectively associated with symmetric morphisms $\alpha\beta^*$, $\gamma\delta^*$ from G to G^* and G^* to G . Then:

$$f(u, u^*) = g(u)h(u^*) \langle u^* \gamma, u\beta \rangle.$$

It of course has more accurate results when $x \rightarrow 2x$ is an automorphism of G . In view of Subsection 1, above formulas show that, for all symplectic automorphism σ , it correspond to an element (σ, f) of $B_0(G)$, obtained by

$$g(u) = \langle u, 2^{-1}u\alpha\beta^* \rangle, h(u^*) = \langle 2^{-1}u^*\gamma\delta^*, u^* \rangle.$$

Furthermore, these formulas define a monomorphism from $\text{Sp}(G)$ to $B_0(G)$, and $B_0(G)$ is the semidirect product of the image of $\text{Sp}(G)$ by this map and the inner automorphisms of $A(G)$; consequently, $B_0(G)$ is isomorphic to a semidirect product of $\text{Sp}(G)$ and $G \times G^*$.

6. Returning to the general case, let $s(\sigma, f)$ be an element from $B_0(G)$, and let's write σ in the matrix form introduced in Section 3. Consider first the case wherein $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$; the symplectic condition, $\sigma\sigma^I = 1$, then gives $\delta = \alpha^{*-1}$; it follows that the second member in (6) has the value 1; it agrees with (6) when taking $f = 1$, and s differs from $(\sigma, 1)$ by only an inner automorphism. For any automorphism α of G , we let

$$d_0(\alpha) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{*-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right);$$

$\alpha \rightarrow d_0(\alpha)$ is then a monomorphism of the group of automorphisms of G into the group $B_0(G)$.

Now let $\alpha = 0$, $\delta = 0$; as σ is an automorphism of $G \times G^*$, it implies that β, γ are the isomorphisms of G on G^* and of G^* on G , respectively. Then $\sigma\sigma^I = 1$ gives $\beta = -\gamma^{*-1}$, and we verify immediately that (6) is satisfied when $f(u, u^*) = \langle u, -u^* \rangle$. If γ is an isomorphism of G^* on G , we let

$$d'_0(\gamma) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^{*-1} \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \langle u, -u^* \rangle \right).$$

Again let $\alpha = 1$, $\delta = 1$, $\gamma = 0$; $\sigma\sigma^I = 1$ reduces to $\beta = \beta^*$, and the formulas of Section 5 show that f is of the form $g(u)h(u^*)$, where h is a character of G^* and g a character of the second degree of G associated to β . Whenever f is a character of the second degree of G , and ρ is the symmetric morphism of G to G^* associated to f , this leads to

$$t_0(f) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, f \right);$$

$f \rightarrow t_0(f)$ is then a monomorphism of the group $X_2(G)$ of characters of the second degree of G into the group $B_0(G)$. Similarly, if f' is a character of the seconde degree of G^* , associated with symmetric morphism ρ' of G^* to G , we write

$$t'_0(f') = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho' & 1 \end{pmatrix}, f' \right),$$

which defines a monomorphism of $X_2(G)$ into $B_0(G)$.

If f is a character of the seconde degree of G , and α an automorphism of G , we write

$$f^\alpha(x) = f(x\alpha^{-1})$$

(however, as this notation would lead to confusion when $\alpha = -1$, we write $f^-(x) = f(-x)$); along with this notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(\alpha)^{-1}t_0(f)d_0(\alpha) &= t_0(f^\alpha), \\ d_0(\alpha)t'_0(f')d_0(\alpha)^{-1} &= t'_0(f'^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

If α is as above, and if γ is an isomorphism of G^* on G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d'_0(\gamma\alpha) &= d'_0(\gamma)d_0(\alpha), \\ d'_0(\alpha^{*-1}\gamma) &= d_0(\alpha)d'_0(\gamma); \end{aligned}$$

the first relation shows in particular that the set of elements of $B_0(G)$ of the form $d'_0(\gamma)$, if it is nonempty, is a right coset relative to the subgroup of $B_0(G)$ formed by the elements of the form $d_0(\alpha)$. More generally, we observe that, according to (6), if an element s of $B_0(G)$ is of the form $(\sigma, 1)$, the bi-character F must be invariant under σ ;

as G^* is the set of $z_1 \in G \times G^*$ such that $F(z_1, z_2) = 1$ for every z_2 , and that G is the set of $z_2 \in G \times G^*$ such that $F(z_1, z_2) = 1$ for every z_1 , it follows that σ is then of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, and therefore, as been seen above, that we have $s = d_0(\alpha)$; the formula (7) then shows that, for any two elements $s = (\sigma, f)$ and $s'' = (\sigma'', f'')$ of $B_0(G)$ belonging to the same right coset relative to the subgroup of elements of the form $d_0(\alpha)$, it is necessary and sufficient that $f = f''$.

7. Now agree, for $s = (\sigma, f)$ and $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, put $\gamma = \gamma(s)$; and denote $\Omega_0(G)$ all the $s \in B_0(G)$ such that $\gamma(s)$ is an isomorphism from G^* to G (this set can be empty). It has the following result:

Proposition 1. *These set $\Omega_0(G)$ of $s \in B_0(G)$ such that $\gamma(s)$ is an isomorphism from G^* to G is the set of elements of $B_0(G)$ of the forme*

$$(8) \quad s = t_0(f_1)d'_0(\gamma)t_0(f_2)$$

where γ is a isomorphism from G^* to G and f_1, f_2 are characters of second degree for G ; and any element of $\Omega_0(G)$ has unique decomposition in that form.

if s is given by (8), $\gamma(s) = \gamma$, then s is in $\Omega_0(G)$. Conversely, let $s = (\sigma, f) \in \Omega_0(G)$; if (8) holds, we must take $\gamma = \gamma(s)$. Then let $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$; easy calculation shows that (8) is satisfied, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$f_1(u) = f(u, -u\alpha\gamma^{-1}), \quad f_2(u) = f(0, u\gamma^{-1}).$$

These demonstrated by the proposition. Note that applying (8) the homomorphism $s \rightarrow \sigma$, by the relation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha\gamma^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^{*-1} \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma^{-1}\delta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

because of the symplectic of σ , $\alpha\gamma^{-1}$ and $\gamma^{-1}\delta$ are symmetric morphisms from G to G^* ; they are associated with f_1 and f_2 respectively.

This gives a important relationship by considering a second degree character f which is non degenerated of G , this means that the morphism ρ associated with f is an isomorphism from G to G^* ; then the function f' to G^* , defined by

$$f'(x^*) = f(-x^*\rho^{-1}),$$

is a character of second degree of G^* , with the associated symmetric morphism ρ^{-1} from G^* to G . By the proposition 1, applied to $t'_0(f')$, gives

$$t'(f') = t_0(f)d'_0(\rho^{-1})t_0(f^-)$$

where f^- is defined by $f^-(x) = f(-x)$ as mentioned above. A simple calculation gives the other:

$$t'_0(f') = d'_0(\rho^{-1})t_0(f^{-1})d'_0(-\rho^{-1}).$$

At the same time we have $d'_0(\rho^{-1})^2 = d_0(-1)$, we derived the following:

$$(9) \quad d'_0(-\rho^{-1})t_0(f)d'_0(\rho^{-1})t_0(f^-) = t_0(f^{-1})d'_0(-\rho^{-1}).$$

Taking into account the relationships obtained in subsection 6, we have (9) in a simpler form

$$(t_0(f)d'_0(-\rho_0^{-1}))^3 = e,$$

where e is the identity element of $B_0(G)$; in that form, it is well known in the theory classic modular group. But it is the relationship (9), as written above, we have to use later.

8.

The automorphisms of the group $\mathbf{A}(G)$, (which is isomorphic to $A(G)$, which was introduced in Section 4, are of course the same as those of $A(G)$. We now propose to show that any automorphism $s \in B_0(G)$ of $\mathbf{A}(G)$ is induced on $\mathbf{A}(G)$ by an inner automorphism of the group of all the unitary operators. This theorem is due to I.Segal[6] in the case where $x \rightarrow 2x$ is an automorphism of G , and we borrow his method of demonstration, which includes the introduction of an algebra of operators naturally associated to the group $\mathbf{A}(G)$. For this, we propose, in a sense which will be precise in a moment,

$$U(\varphi) = \int U(w)\varphi(w)dw,$$

where φ denotes a function on $G \times G^*$, and where $w = (u, u^*)$ and $dw = du \cdot du^*$ (measure which does not depend on the choice of the measure du on G). In other words, if Φ is a function on G , $U(\varphi)\Phi$ is the function defined by

$$(10) \quad U(\varphi)\Phi(x) = \int U(w)\Phi(x) \cdot \phi(w)dw = \int \Phi(x+u) \cdot \langle x, u^* \rangle \cdot \phi(u, u^*)dud u^*$$

where we assume provisionally, to fix the ideas, that φ and Φ are two continuous function with compact support. This can also be written as

$$(11) \quad U(\varphi)\Phi(x) = \int K(x, y)\Phi(y)dy$$

where K is given by

$$K(x, y) = \int \varphi(y-x, u^*) \cdot \langle x, u^* \rangle \cdot du^*$$

or, which is the same as

$$K(x, x+u) = \int \varphi(u, u^*) \cdot \langle x, u^* \rangle \cdot du^*$$

one obtains then $K(-x, -x+u)$ from $\varphi(u, u^*)$ while applying, for any value of u , the Fourier transform where $\varphi(u, u^*)$ considered as function of u^* . Under the conditions when the validity of Fourier inversion formula holds, we then have

$$\varphi(u, u^*) = \int K(x, x+u) \cdot \langle x, -u^* \rangle \cdot dx;$$

furthermore, in virtue of Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\int |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy = \int |\varphi(u, u^*)|^2 du du^*,$$

this shows that the correspondence between the functions φ on $G \times G^*$ and the functions K on $G \times G$, defined by the above formulas, is extended continuously to an isomorphism W of $L^2(G \times G^*)$ onto $L^2(G \times G)$.

When K is the function defined by $K(x, y) = P(x)Q(y)$, we write $K = P \otimes Q$; and, if P and Q are in $L^2(G)$, we write $(P, Q) = \int P(x)\overline{Q(x)}dx$; along with these notations, the formulas above are given in particular

$$(12) \quad W^{-1}(P \otimes \overline{Q})(w) = (P, U(w)Q)$$

9. Now let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are two functions on $G \times G^*$, temporarily assume that continues a compact support; after (10), we have

$$U(\phi_1)U(\phi_2) = U(\phi_3)$$

where ϕ_3 is given by the formula

$$(13) \quad \phi_3(w) = \int \phi_1(w - w_1)\phi_2(w_1)F(w - w_1, w_1)dw_1;$$

as before, F denot the function defined here by (3) subsection 3. If we let $K_i = W(\phi_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, (11) shows that K_3 is given by

$$(14) \quad K_3(x, y) = \int K_1(x, z)K_2(z, y)dz$$

we write $K_3 = K_1 \times K_2$. Moreover, the above formulas are extended by continuity to the space $L^2(G \times G^*)$, $L^2(G \times G)$. We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let $K \in L^2(G \times G)$; K is in the form $P \otimes Q$, with P and Q in $L^2(G)$, it is both necessary and sufficient that for any $K' \in L^2(G \times G)$, $KxK'xK$ and K differs by a scalar factor. Let $K = P \otimes Q$, $K' = P' \otimes Q'$, with P, Q, P', Q' in $L^2(G)$; for P and P' (resp. Q and Q') no different from another by a scalar factor, it is both necessary and sufficient that for any $K'' = P'' \otimes Q''$ with P'' and Q'' in $L^2(G)$, $K \times K''$ and $K' \times K''$ (resp. $K'' \times K$ and $K'' \times K'$) are different by a scalar factor.*

The second part is obvious, and it is evident that in the first part, the required condition is necessary; to see that it is sufficient, simply apply to the case $K' = P' \otimes Q'$. The only consequence of this lemma we need is the following:

Lemma 3. *Let $K \rightarrow K^s$ an an automorphism of Hilbert space $L^2(G \times G)$ with composition $(K_1, K_2) \rightarrow K_1 \times K_2$ defined by (14). So there is an automorphism t of $L^2(G)$ such that, for all P and Q in $L^2(G)$, $(P \otimes Q)^s = P^t \otimes Q^{\bar{t}}$, and \bar{t} is the imaginary conjugation of t , defined by $\overline{Q^{\bar{t}}} = (\overline{Q})^t$.*

Indeed, after Lemma 2, any element $(Q \otimes P)^s$ of $L^2(G \times G)$ is of the form $P' \otimes Q'$. Choose P_0 as $\|P_0\| = 1$; then s conserve the norm, we can put $(P_0 \otimes \overline{P_0})^s$ in the form $P'_0 \otimes Q'_0$ with $\|P'_0\| = \|Q'_0\| = 1$. The second part of lemma 2 shows, whenever P, Q in $L^2(G)$ $(P \otimes \overline{P_0})^s$ and $(P + 0 \otimes Q)^s$ are, respectively, has unique form $P' \otimes Q'_0$ and $P'_0 \otimes Q'$.

If describes $P' = P^t$, $Q' = Q^u$, it is clear that t, u are linear maps from $L^2(G)$ to $L^2(G)$ and $p_0^t = P_0'$, $\bar{P}_0^u = Q_0'$; s preserve the norm of $L^2(G \times G)$, it is the same as t and u in $L^2(G)$. As we have $P \otimes Q = (P \otimes \bar{P}_0) \times (P_0 \otimes Q)$, it follows that $(P \otimes Q)^s = c \cdot P^t \otimes Q^u$, and $c = (P_0', \bar{Q}_0')$; for $P = P_0$, $Q = Q_0$, it gives $c = 1$. As

$$(P \otimes Q) \times (P \otimes Q) = (P, \bar{Q})P \otimes Q,$$

we see that for $P' = P^t$, $Q' = Q^u$, $(P', \bar{Q}') = (P, \bar{Q})$; it follows that $u = \bar{t}$. Finally, since s^{-1} has the same properties as s , t and u are invertible and are therefore automorphism of $L^2(G)$.

10. Suppose that $s = (\sigma, f)$ is an automorphism of $A(G)$ belonging to $B_0(G)$; the center of the isomorphism between $A(G)$ and $\mathbf{A}(G)$, as defined in Section 4, operates on $\mathbf{A}(G)$ in the obvious manner. In particular, the transformation of $U(w)$ by s will be $U(w)^s = f(w) \cdot U(w\sigma)$. We thereof deduce immediately an automorphism of the algebras of the operators $U(\varphi)$ introduced in Section 8:

$$U(\varphi)^s = \int U(w\sigma) f(w) \varphi(w) dw,$$

then we can write $U(\varphi)^s = U(\varphi^s)$, where φ^s is given by

$$\varphi^s(w) = f(w\sigma^{-1}) \varphi(w\sigma^{-1}).$$

It follows that $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi^s$, which is evidently a unitary operator in $L^2(G \times G^*)$, leaves the composition law (13) invariant; it is easy, of course, to verify directly. Therefore, if we write, in these conditions, $K = W(\varphi)$ and $K^s = W(\varphi^s)$, in other words, if we define a mapping $K \rightarrow K^s$ of $L^2(G \times G)$ to $L^2(G \times G)$ by the formula

$$W^{-1}(K^s) = (W^{-1}(K))^s,$$

this mapping will satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 3. After this lemma, there is therefore an automorphism t of $L^2(G)$ such that it has, for any P, Q in $L^2(G)$, $(P \otimes Q)^s = P^t \otimes Q^{\bar{t}}$. Now we change the notations, writing $P \rightarrow s^{-1}P$ instead of $P \rightarrow P^t$; substitute Q by \bar{Q} , and apply (12); this gives:

$$(P, U(w)Q)^s = (s^{-1}P, U(w)s^{-1}Q).$$

By the definition of φ^s , the first member has the value

$$f(w\sigma^{-1}) \cdot (P, U(w\sigma^{-1})Q) = (P, f(w\sigma^{-1})^{-1}U(w\sigma^{-1})Q)$$

since (P, Q) is antilinear in Q and that f takes its values in T ; and the second member is equal to $(P, sU(w)s^{-1}Q)$ since s is unitary. As the relation obtained is valid for any P, Q , we thus have

$$f(w\sigma^{-1})^{-1}U(w\sigma^{-1}) = sU(w)s^{-1}$$

whence, replacing w by $w\sigma$:

$$(15) \quad s^{-1}U(w)s = f(w) \cdot U(w\sigma) = U(w)^s.$$

This amount to say that the inner automorphism determined by s in the unitary group induces the automorphism s on $\mathbf{A}(G)$. Reciprocally, given s , this relation determines an element near the centralizer of $\mathbf{A}(G)$. Yet, if a unitary operator commutes with all $U(w)$, it is so with all $U(\varphi)$, therefore with the operators of the form (11) for any $K \in L^2(G \times G)$. For $K = P \otimes \overline{Q}$, (11) defines the operator $\Phi \rightarrow (\Phi, Q) \cdot P$; if $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^t$ commute with this one, then we have

$$(\Phi, Q) \cdot P^t = (\Phi^t, Q) \cdot P$$

for any P, Q, Φ in $L^2(G)$; then $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^t$ is of the form $\Phi \rightarrow t \cdot \Phi$, where t is a scalar; if this operator is unitary, we have $t \in T$. We denote by \mathbf{T} the group formed by the operators of this form; it is the center of $\mathbf{A}(G)$, and it is also the center of the group of all the automorphisms of $L^2(G)$. We have then shown the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *The centralizer of $\mathbf{A}(G)$ in the group of the automorphisms of $L^2(G)$ is the center \mathbf{T} of these two groups; furthermore, if $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$ is the normalizer of $\mathbf{A}(G)$ in the same group, any automorphism of $\mathbf{A}(G)$ inducing the identity on \mathbf{T} is induced on $\mathbf{A}(G)$ by the inner automorphism determined by an element of $\mathbf{B}_0(G)$; and $\mathbb{B}_0(G)/T$ is isomorphic to $B_0(G)$, i.e. the group of automorphisms of $A(G)$ inducing identity on T .*

11. We know that the Fourier transform induces an automorphism on a certain space of continuous functions (say, quite wrongly, “indefinitely differentiable a rapid decay”); the space $S(G)$ has been introduced primarily for this reason, by L. Schwartz ([4], Chap. VII) in the case of \mathbb{R}^n and F. Bruhat [1] in the general case. We will see that the operators of $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$ have the same property.

Recall the definition of $S(G)$ for a locally compact abelian group G . First, consider a “elementary” group, i.e. of the form $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^p \times T^q \times F$, where F is a finite group. A polynomial function on G will be, by definition, a function that can be written as a polynomial relative to \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Z} coordinate in the product of G ; $S(G)$ is the set of all functions Φ , indefinitely differentiable on G ; such that $P \cdot D\Phi$ is bounded on G whenever differential operator invariant under translation D and the polynomial P ; topology of $S(G)$ is induced from all seminorms $\sup |P \cdot D\Phi|$. In the general case, we will introduce all couples (H, H') of subgroups of G with the following properties:

- (i) H is generated by a compact neighborhood of 0 in G (it is open and closed in G);
- (ii) H' is a compact subgroup of H and H/H' is isomorphic to a elementary group.

For such a couple, we corresponds a family $S(H, H')$ of continuous functions on G , which supported in H , and constant on cosets of H' , by restriction to H and passing to the quotient H/H' , it belongs to $S(H/H')$. Then $S(G)$ is the union of $S(H, H')$ and the topology is given by “inductive limit” of $S(H/H')$, ie a convex set X is a neighborhood of 0 in $S(G)$ if, for all couple (H, H') , the image of $X \cap S(H, H')$ in $S(H/H')$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $S(H/H')$. We intend to see that any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{B}_0(G)$ induce an automorphism of $S(G)$; We only have to show that \mathbf{s} induce a continuous map from $S(G)$ to itself, we will follow step by step of the proof of the Theorem 1. We will write again t instead of \mathbf{s}^{-1} , P^t instead of $\mathbf{s}^{-1}P$ for $P \in L^2(G)$, and we will prove for the operator $P \rightarrow P^t$. After the foregoing, if we are given $Q \neq 0$ in $S(G)$, the map

$P \rightarrow P^t$ is the following composition:

$$(a) P \rightarrow K = P \otimes Q; \quad (b) K \rightarrow \phi = W^{-1}(K); \quad (c) \phi \rightarrow \phi^s \\ (d) \phi^s \rightarrow K^s = W(\phi^s); \quad (e) K^s = P^t \otimes Q^{\bar{t}} \rightarrow P^t;$$

it is sufficient to show that maps from $S(G)$ to $S(G \times G)$, then to $S(G \times G^*)$, to $S(G \times G^*)$, to $S(G \times G)$ and to $S(G)$ are all continuous. For (a) is immediately; (e) is also immediately if function $K \in S(G \times G)$ is in the form $P \otimes Q$ in the sense of $L^2(G \times G)$ where P and Q are in $S(G)$, and then, for $Q \neq 0$ in $S(G)$, the map $P \rightarrow P \otimes Q$ is an isomorphism from $S(G)$ to a closed sub-space of $S(G \times G)$. *We have to prove that $E = \{ P \otimes Q \in S(G \times G) \}$ is closed and $i : P \rightarrow P \times P \otimes Q$ is isomorphism.*

By the definition of inductive limit, i is continuous iff $i|_{S(H, H')}$ is continuous. Suppose $Q \in S(\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}')$ then $i_{S(H, H')} : S(H, H') \rightarrow S(H \times \tilde{H}, H' \times \tilde{H}') \hookrightarrow S(G \times G)$ P_n converges in $S(H, H') \cong S(H/H')$

$$|p(x, y) D^{\alpha_1} D^{\alpha_2} P_n \otimes Q| \leq |(p(x)q(y) + C) D^{\alpha_1} P_n \otimes D^{\alpha_2} Q| \rightarrow 0$$

i continuous.

$$|p D^\alpha P| < |p(x) D^\alpha P \otimes Q|$$

For (b) and (d), it is intended to show that W determines an isomorphism from $S(G \times G^*)$ to $S(G \times G)$, but W is composed of the operator $F(x, y) \rightarrow F(y - x, -x)$, which obviously an automorphism of $S(G \times G)$, and the partial Fourier transform on the second factor of the product $G \times G^*$. It is therefore requires to verify that if A and B are locally compact abelian groups and if B^* is the dual of B , the partial Fourier transform

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow f'(a, b^*) = \int f(a, b) \langle b, b^* \rangle db$$

determines an isomorphism from $S(A \times B)$ to $S(A \times B^*)$. This is an easy generalization of the theorem similar to ordinary Fourier Transform. *Should be $F(x, y) \rightarrow F(y - x, x)$?*

$$W: \quad S(G \times G^*) \rightarrow S(G \times G) \rightarrow S(G \times G) \\ \phi \mapsto \int \phi(x, u^*) \langle u^*, y \rangle du^* \mapsto \int \phi(y - x, u^*) \langle x, u^* \rangle du^* = W(\phi)$$

$f \in S(H, K)$, H can be choosen bigger s.t. $H = H_1 \times H_2$, K can be choosen smaller s.t. $K = K_1 \times K_2$. Partial Fourier Transform maps f to $S(H_1 \times K_2^\perp, K_1 \times H_2^\perp)$.

$$0 \rightarrow H_2^\perp \rightarrow K_2^\perp \rightarrow (H_2/K_2)^\perp \rightarrow 0$$

$$\int_G f(x, y) \langle y, \alpha^* \rangle dy = \int_H f(x, y) \langle y, \alpha^* \rangle dy \text{ is constant if } \alpha^* \in H_2^\perp. \int_G f(x, y) \langle y, \alpha^* \rangle dy = \\ \int_{H_2/K_2} \int_{K_2} f(x, y + k) \langle y + k, \alpha^* \rangle dk dy = \int_{H_2/K_2} f(x, y) \langle y, \alpha^* \rangle \int_{K_2} \langle k, \alpha^* \rangle dk dy = 0 \text{ if } \\ \alpha^* \notin K_2^\perp.$$

This transform can be consieder as Partial Fourier transform from $S(H_1/K_1 \times H_2/K_2)$ to $S(H_1/K_1 \times (H_2/K_2)^)$. Then is automorphism by elementary case.*

12. If we still consider a (c). Since an automorphism σ of a $G \times G^*$ obviously determine an automorphism of $S(G \times G^*)$, we (after replacing G to $G \times G^*$) reduces to prove that:

$$\phi^s = f(w\sigma^{-1})\phi(w\sigma^{-1})$$

Proposition 2. *Let f be a character of second degree of G . Then $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi f$ is an automorphism of $S(G)$.*

First, let $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^p \times T^q \times F$, with F a finite group; it is easy to see, all remains to show that any differential operator D is invariant by translation on G , there is a polynomial function P on G such that $|Df| < |P|$.

$$|pD^\alpha \Phi f| = \left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} p C_\beta D^{\alpha-\beta} \Phi D^{\alpha-\beta} f \right|$$

this gives $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi f$ continuous. This is not difficulty to varify the expressing f on the coset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times T^q$ in G by using the formula (1) in subsection 1, and noting that, for $\mathbb{R}^n \times T^q$, f is necessarily of the form $e^{iF(x)} \chi(x, y)$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in T^q$, F is a quadratic form on \mathbb{R}^n and χ is a character of $\mathbb{R}^n \times T^q$.

$$\frac{f((x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2))}{f((x_1, y_1))f((x_2, y_2))} = \langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle \rho$$

$\rho: G \rightarrow G^*$ is a morphism. $G^* = \mathbb{R}^{n*} \times \mathbb{T}^{q*} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^q$ So ρ maps into $\mathbb{R}^{n*} \times 0$ by connectness. The image of $0 \times \mathbb{T}^q$ is trivial by compactness and connectness. Then ρ only depends on x . 2 is auto. So $f(x, y) = \langle x, 2^{-1}x\rangle \chi(x, y)$ (by section 1, ker of $X_2(G) = X_1(G) \times X_2^0(G)$) Moving to the general case, let ρ be a symmetric morphism of from G to G^* associated to f , and give a subgroup H of G generated by a compact neighborhood of 0. For a subgroup H' of H satisfies the condition (ii) of the definition of $S(H, H')$, it is both necessary and sufficient, as we know (see [1], n^o 9, p. 60), that the group H'_* which is associated by duality in G^* (the “orthogonal” of H') is generated by a compact neighborhood of 0,

(1) (c.f. On the Schwartz-Bruhat Space and the Peley-Winer Theorem for Locally Compact Abelian Groups Scott Osborne.) K is “good” iff G/K is a Lie group.

K is “good” iff K^\perp is open and compactly generated (i.e. K^\perp is generated by a compact neighborhood of 0).

This gives H'_* comapct generated

(2) $0 \rightarrow H'_* \rightarrow H^* \rightarrow H'^* \rightarrow 0$ (c.f. A First Course in Harmonic Analysis 109 Ex 7.8) $H'_* \cong (H/H')^*$ H' compact, then H'^* discrete, So H'_* is open.

(3) (c.f. Scott Osborne) G is compactly generated iff G^* is a Lie group.

Proof. Let C be a compact subset of G , U a neighborhood of 1 in \mathbb{T} . Let $W(C, U) = \{x^* \in G^* \mid x^*(C) \subset U\}$ be a neighborhood of 0 of G^* . Let $\langle C \rangle$ is the group C generated, then $F^\perp \subset W(C, U)$. Suppose that U contains no nonidentity subgroups of \mathbb{T} (we always can choose such U). Let E be a subgroup in $W(C, U)$, clearly $\{\langle c, e \rangle \mid e \in E\}$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{T} for any fixed $c \in C$. Then $\langle c, e \rangle = 1$ for all $c \in C, e \in E$, $E \subset \langle C \rangle^\perp$. Thus G is compactly generated (for example by C) iff G^* has no small subgroups, i.e. iff G^* is a Lie group.

(In 1953, Hidehiko Yamabe obtained the final answer to Hilberts Fifth Problem: A connected locally compact group G is a projective limit of a sequence of Lie groups, and if G has “no small subgroups”, then it is a Lie group. “no small

subgroups" if there is a neighbourhood N of e containing no subgroup bigger than the trivial one.

The locally compact abelian group case was solved in 1934 by Lev Pontryagin.)

end. then the group $H'_* + H\rho$ has the same property, this shows that replacing H'_* with H' a smaller group satisfying (ii), we can ensure that we have $H\rho \subset H'_*$. *Note that if $K < G$, $K^{\perp\perp} = K$. Clearly $K \leq K^{\perp\perp}$, If $K \subsetneq K^{\perp\perp}$, $K^{\perp\perp}/K$ nontrivial, then exists nontrivial character α^* on $K^{\perp\perp}/K$. Extend it to G , call it α^* also. Then $\alpha^* \in K^\perp$ but not in $K^{\perp\perp\perp}$. It's clearly that $K^\perp = K^{\perp\perp\perp}$ (\perp is a Galois relation). This leads a contradiction.*

Now let $\tilde{H}'_* = H'_* + H\rho$. Then $\tilde{H}' = \tilde{H}'_*^\perp < H'^\perp = H'$.

By above H/\tilde{H}' is a Lie group. But compactly generated abelian Lie group is elementary! By (1) subsection 1, this gives $f(h + h') = f(h)f(h')$ for each $h \in H$, $h' \in H'$.

$$\frac{f(h + h')}{f(h)f(h')} = \langle h', h\rho \rangle = 1$$

In particular, f induces a character of H' , we can extend to a character of G of the form $\langle h', a^* \rangle$ with $a^* \in G^*$.

$$0 \rightarrow H' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/H' \rightarrow 0$$

induced

$$0 \rightarrow (G/H')^* \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow H'^* \rightarrow 0$$

So we can extend it. Replacing H'_* by the group generated by H'_* and a^* , we can make that a^* in H'_* ; as above since, f induces the constant 1 on H' and is constant in each coset of H' .

$$f(h + h') = f(h)f(h') \langle h', h\rho \rangle = f(h)$$

After what has been proved in the case G is a elementary group, it follows, by passing to the quotient, that $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi f$ determine an automorphism of $S(H, H')$. As is the case, for any H satisfying (i), provided that H' has been made small enough and satisfies (ii) it completed the prove. (given the definition of the topology of $S(G)$ by inductive limit).

13. The homomorphism $s \rightarrow s = (\sigma, f)$ from $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$ to $B_0(G)$ determined by (15) will be denote π_0 and is called the *canonical projection* from the first group to the second. In general (as shown below the example of the type of local groups), there is no section of $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$ over $B_0(G)$ is also a subgroup of $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$. But it is very useful to know that we can at least define sections over the subgroups and subsets of $B_0(G)$ have been introduced in n^0 6 and 7.

Let $\Phi \in L^2(G)$. For any automorphism α of G we set

$$\mathbf{d}_0(\alpha)\Phi(x) = |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(x\alpha).$$

$\mathbf{d}_0(\alpha)$ is unitary. $\int |\alpha| |\Phi(x\alpha)|^2 = \int |\Phi(x)|^2$ For any seconde degree characher f of G , we set

$$\mathbf{t}_0(f)\Phi(x) = \Phi(x)f(x).$$

For any isomorphism γ from G^* to G , we set

$$\mathbf{d}'_0(\gamma)\Phi(x) = |\gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Phi^*(-x\gamma^{*-1}),$$

Fourier transform is unitary. where, Φ^* denotes the Fourier transform of Φ . We can easily verify that $\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{d}'_0$ lifting of d_0, t_0, d'_0 defined in $n^\circ 6$ to $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$, i.e. $d_0 = \pi_0 \circ \mathbf{d}_0$, $t_0 = \pi_0 \circ \mathbf{t}_0$, $d'_0 = \pi_0 \circ \mathbf{d}'_0$. Let $(w, t) = ((u, u^*), t)$,

(1) $\mathbf{d}_0(\alpha^{-1})(w, t)\mathbf{d}_0(\alpha)$ maps $\Phi(x)$ to $|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi(x\alpha)$ to $t|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi((x+u)\alpha)\langle x, u^*\rangle$ to $t\Phi((x\alpha^{-1}+u)\alpha)\langle x\alpha^{-1}, u^*\rangle = t\Phi(x+u\alpha)\langle x, u^*\alpha^{*-1}\rangle$. But

$$((w, t)d_0(\alpha)) = ((u\alpha, u^*\alpha^{*-1}), 1)$$

(2) $\mathbf{t}_0(f^{-1})(w, t)\mathbf{t}_0(f)$ maps $\Phi(x) \mapsto \Phi(x)f(x) \mapsto t\Phi(x+u)f(x+u)\langle x, u^*\rangle \rightarrow t\Phi(x+u)f(x+u)f^{-1}(u)\langle x, u^*\rangle = \Phi(x+u)f(x)\langle x, u\rho+u^*\rangle$.

$$(w, t) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, f \right) = ((u, u\rho+u^*), f(u)t) \text{ act on } \Phi \text{ gives } t\Phi(x+u)f(u)\langle x, u\rho+u^*\rangle$$

(3) $\mathbf{d}_0(-\gamma^*)\mathbf{d}_0(\gamma) = \text{id}$ $\mathbf{d}_0(-\gamma^*)(w, t)\mathbf{d}_0(\gamma)$ maps $\Phi(x)$ to $|\gamma|^{-1/2}\Phi^*(-x\gamma^{*-1})$ to $|\gamma|^{-1/2}t\Phi^*(-(x+u)\gamma^{*-1})\langle x, u^*\rangle$ to

$$\begin{aligned} & |-\gamma^*|^{-1/2}|\gamma|^{-1/2}t \int \Phi^*(-(y+u)\gamma^{*-1})\langle y, u^*\rangle\langle y, x\gamma^{-1}\rangle dy \\ &= |\gamma|^{-1}t \int \Phi^*(-y\gamma^{*-1})\langle y-u, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle dy \\ &= |\gamma|^{-1}t \int \Phi^*(-y\gamma^{*-1})\langle y-u, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle dy \\ &= |\gamma|^{-1}t \langle -u, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle \int \Phi^*(-y\gamma^{*-1})\langle y, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle dy \\ &= t \langle -u, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle \int \Phi^*(y)\langle -y\gamma^*, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle dy \\ &= t \langle -u, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle \int \Phi^*(y)\langle -y, u^*\gamma+x\rangle dy \\ &= t \langle -u, u^*+x\gamma^{-1}\rangle \Phi(u^*\gamma+x) \end{aligned}$$

$$(w, t)d_0(\gamma) = ((u^*\gamma, -u\gamma^{*-1}), t\langle u, -u^*\rangle), \text{ maps } \Phi \text{ to } t\Phi(x+u^*\gamma)\langle u, -u^*\rangle\langle x, -u\gamma^{*-1}\rangle = t\Phi(x+u^*\gamma)\langle -u, u^*\rangle\langle -u, x\gamma^{-1}\rangle$$

Moreover, \mathbf{d}_0 and \mathbf{t}_0 are monomorphisms from $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$ to the group of the automorphisms of G , and the group $X_2(G)$ respectively; and when α, f, γ are like above, we have:

$$\mathbf{d}_0(\alpha)^{-1}\mathbf{t}_0(f)\mathbf{d}_0(\alpha) = \mathbf{t}_0(f^\alpha), \quad \mathbf{d}'_0(\gamma\alpha) = \mathbf{d}'_0(\gamma)\mathbf{d}_0(\alpha), \quad \mathbf{d}'_0(\alpha^{*-1}\gamma) = \mathbf{d}_0(\alpha)\mathbf{d}'_0(\gamma).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}'_0(\gamma\alpha)\Phi(x) &= |\gamma\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \Phi(y) \langle y, -x\gamma\alpha^{*-1} \rangle dy \\
&= |\gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \Phi(y) \langle y, -x\gamma^{*-1}\alpha^{*-1} \rangle dy \\
&= |\gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \Phi(y) \langle y\alpha^{-1}, -x\gamma^{*-1} \rangle dy \\
&= |\gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \Phi(y\alpha) \langle y, -x\gamma^{*-1} \rangle dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{d}'(\alpha^{*-1}\gamma) = |\gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\alpha^{*-1}|^{-1/2} \Phi^*(-x\alpha\gamma^{*-1})$$

The proposition 1 of *n*^o 7 allows us raise $B_0(G)$ for all the element of the set $\Omega_0(G)$ defined in this proposition. Indeed, according to this, any $s \in \Omega_0(G)$ has a unique form as (8); s is given by (8), we set

$$\mathbf{r}_0(s) = \mathbf{t}_0(f_1)\mathbf{d}'_0(\gamma)\mathbf{t}_0(f_2).$$

An easy calculation shows this formula; by writing, as usual, $s = (\sigma, f)$, $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, we obtains

$$\mathbf{r}_0(s)\Phi(x) = |\gamma|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \Phi(x\alpha + x^*\gamma)f(x, x^*)dx^*.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_0(s) \text{ maps } \Phi(x) \text{ to } \Phi(x)f_2(x) \text{ to } |\gamma|^{-1/2} \int \Phi(y)f_2(y) \langle y, -x\gamma^{*-1} \rangle dy \text{ to } |\gamma|^{-1/2} f_1(x) \int \Phi(y)f_2(y) \langle y, -x\gamma^{*-1} \rangle dy \\
= |\gamma|^{1/2} f_1(x) \int \Phi(y^*\gamma)f_2(y^*\gamma) \langle y^*\gamma, -x \rangle dy \\
= |\gamma|^{1/2} f_1(x) \int \Phi(y^*\gamma + x\alpha)f_2(y^*\gamma + x\alpha) \langle y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1}, -x \rangle dy
\end{aligned}$$

By *n*^o 5,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(u, u^*) &= g(u)h(u^*) \langle u^*\gamma, u\beta \rangle \\
g(u_1 + u_2) &= g(u_1)g(u_2) \langle u_1, u_2\alpha\beta^* \rangle \\
h(u_1^* + u_2^*) &= h(u_1^*)h(u_2^*) \langle u_1^*\gamma\delta^*, u^* \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_2(y^*\gamma + x\alpha) \langle -x, y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1} \rangle f_1(x) \\
&= f(0, y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1}) f(x, -x\alpha\gamma^{-1}) \langle -x, y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1} \rangle \\
&= g(0) h(y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1}) g(x) h(-x\alpha\gamma^{-1}) \langle -x\alpha, x\beta \rangle \langle -x, y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1} \rangle \\
&= g(x) h(y^*) \langle -x\alpha\delta^*, y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1} \rangle^{-1} \langle -x\alpha, x\beta \rangle \langle -x, y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1} \rangle \\
&= g(x) h(y^*) \langle x\alpha\delta^* - x, y^* + x\alpha\gamma^{-1} \rangle \langle -x\alpha, x\beta \rangle \\
&= g(x) h(y^*) \langle x(\alpha\delta^*\gamma^{*-1} - \gamma^{*-1}), y^*\gamma + x\alpha \rangle \langle -x\alpha, x\beta \rangle \\
&= g(x) h(y^*) \langle x(\alpha\gamma - 1\delta - \gamma^{*-1}), y^*\gamma + x\alpha \rangle \langle -x\alpha, x\beta \rangle \\
&= g(x) h(y^*) \langle x\beta, y^*\gamma + x\alpha \rangle \langle -x\alpha, x\beta \rangle \\
&= g(x) h(y^*) \langle x\beta, y^*\gamma \rangle \\
&= f(x, y^*)
\end{aligned}$$

The conditions of this formula valid are obviously same as those of the formula of definition transformation of Fourier, who was useful has to clarify \mathbf{d}'_0 ; for example, it is valid almost everywhere if $\Phi \in L^2(G) \cap L^1(G)$; it is valid for all x if $\Phi \in S(G)$, the two members then defining a same function of $S(G)$.

14. One obtains the important result on the “splitting” of $\mathbf{B}_0(G)$, the kernel of the mapping in Section 13, the relation between the elements of $B_0(G)$; that is what we are going to do for the relation (9) of Section 7. As in Section 7, we considered then a nondegenerate character of the second degree f of G , associated with the symmetric isomorphism ρ of G on G^* . For a moment, denote by \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{s}' respectively the operators which give the first and the second member of (9) while replacing \mathbf{d}'_0 , \mathbf{t}_0 with d'_0 , t_0 . In addition, with Φ being assumed to be continuous with compact support at this moment, let $\Phi_1 = \Phi \star f$, where the notation denotes naturally the usual product of composition

$$\Phi_1(x) = \int \Phi(u) f(x - u) du;$$

then an easy calculation shows that $\mathbf{s}\Phi$, $\mathbf{s}'\Phi$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}\Phi(x) &= |\rho| \Phi_1^*(x\rho), \\
\mathbf{s}'\Phi(x) &= |\rho|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi^*(x\rho) \cdot f(x)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The operators introduced here are all unitary, so it follows that the operator $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi \star f$ is continuous with respect to $L^2(G)$. Moreover, the relation (9), which if written now as $\pi_0(\mathbf{s}) = \pi_0(\mathbf{s}')$, implies that \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{s}' can differ only by a scalar factor with absolute value 1. We write $\mathbf{s} = \gamma(f)\mathbf{s}'$, which gives, while substitute $x^*\rho^{-1}$ with x :

$$\mathfrak{I}(\Phi \star f) = \gamma(f) |\rho|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{I}(\Phi) \cdot g,$$

(17) where g is the character of the second degree of G^* , associated with $-\rho^{-1}$, and defined by

$$g(x^*) = (x^*\rho^{-1})^{-1}.$$

In accordance with the usual conventions in the theory of Fourier transform, we express (17) by saying that $\gamma(f)|\rho|^{-\frac{1}{2}}g$ is the Fourier transform of f . We have thus shown the following:

Theorem 2. *Let f be a nondegenerate character of the seconde degree of G , associated with the symmetric isomorphism ρ of G onto G^* . Then f admits a Fourier transform $\mathfrak{I}(f)$, defined by the formula*

$$\mathfrak{I}(f)(x^*) = \gamma(f)|\rho|^{-\frac{1}{2}}f(x^*\rho^{-1})^{-1},$$

where $\gamma(f)$ is a scalar factor with absolute value 1.

Let us repeat that this assertion must be understood in the following sense: the mapping $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi \star f$ extends by the continuity with $L^2(G)$, and, for any $\Phi \in L^2(G)$, one has $\mathfrak{I}(\Phi \star f) = \Phi^* \cdot \mathfrak{I}(f)$. Using transport of structure by means of the isomorphisms ρ of G onto G^* , one concludes from it that then one has also $\mathfrak{I}(\Phi f) = \Phi^* \star \mathfrak{I}(f)$. By means of the Proposition 2 in Section 12, one sees moreover that, for $\Phi \in \mathbf{S}(G)$, both members of the last relation are continuous functions, thus that equality holds, not only in the sense of $L^2(G^*)$, but also for every point, then, by transport of structure, that it is the same for the preceding relation. We state this result explicitly in the form of corollary:

Corollary 1. *The hypothesis and notations being those of theorem 2, in addition let $\Phi \in \mathbf{S}(G)$.*

17.

$$(16) \quad \Theta(z + \zeta) = \Theta(z)F(\zeta, z)^{-1} \quad (z \in G \times G^*, \zeta \in \Gamma \times \Gamma_*)$$

18. We will define $H(G, F)$ the Hilbert space of solutions Θ of (16), locally intgerable on $G \times G^*$ and such as $\|\Theta\|_Q < +\infty$, this space being provided with the norm $\|\Theta\|_Q$.

19. We now define $B_0(G, \Gamma)$ be the subgroup of $B_0(G)$ forms by elements $s = (\sigma, f)$ in $B_0(G)$ such that f take value 1 on $\Gamma \times \Gamma_*$ and σ induce a automorphism of $\Gamma \times \Gamma_*$. For all $s = (\sigma, f)$ of $B_0(G, \Gamma)$, we define an operator $\mathbf{r}_\Gamma(s)$ of $H(G, \Gamma)$ by the formula

$$(17) \quad \mathbf{r}_\Gamma(s)\Theta(z) = \Theta(z\sigma)f(z),$$

we checks that immediately it transforms any solution Θ of (16) to a solution of the same equation. It is then obvious that \mathbf{r}_Γ is a unitary representation of $B_0(G, \Gamma)$.

Theorem 3. *For all function $\Phi \in S(G)$ and all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{B}_0(G, \Gamma)$, we have*

$$\int_{\Gamma} \Phi(\xi)d\xi = \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{s}\Phi(\xi)d\xi.$$

Corollary 2. *For all function $\Phi \in S(G)$, the formula*

$$F(\mathbf{s}) = \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{s}\Phi(\xi)d\xi \quad (\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{B}_0(G))$$

define on the group $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$ is an function invariant under the left translation of $\mathbb{B}_0(G, \Gamma)$

20.

Theorem 4. *If f is a charctor of seconde degree of G , taking value 1 on a closed subgroup Γ of G ; let G^* be the dual of G , Γ_* be the subgroup of G^* correspond to Γ , and suppose that symmetric homomorphism σ from G to G^* associate to f is an isomorphism from (G, Γ) to (G^*, Γ_*) . Then $\gamma(f) = 1$.*

21. First, let us take Φ be the characteristic function ϕ_Γ of Γ ; then $Z(\phi_\Gamma)$ is the characteristic function of $\Gamma \times \Gamma_*$; by (17), it is invariant by $B_0(G, \Gamma)$; it is then same, by definition, of $\Phi = \phi_\Gamma$.

If Γ' is an open compact subgroup of G , contain Γ . Then, with the notations of $n^\circ 11$, the set $S(\Gamma', \Gamma)$ is contained by linear combinations of function $\phi_\Gamma(x - a)$ for $a \in \Gamma'$ with constant coefficients; it is a vector space, on the field of complexes of dimension equal to the index (necessary finit) from Γ to Γ' . For $s = (\sigma, f)$, as above, an element of $B_0(G, \Gamma)$. The, for ally function of $S(\Gamma', \Gamma)$ is invariant by $r_\Gamma(s)$, it is necessary and sufficient, by the procedure, that f take value 1 on $\Gamma' \times \Gamma_*$, then $\Gamma' \cdot (\alpha - 1) \subset \Gamma$, and then $\Gamma' \cdot \beta \subset \Gamma_*$. it is same to say that f must take value 1 on $\Gamma' \times \Gamma_*$, and σ induce an automorphism of $\Gamma' \times \Gamma$, and that σ determined, by passing to the quotient, the identical automorphism on $\Gamma' \times \Gamma / \Gamma \times \Gamma_*$.

2. APPLICATION WITH QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY

23. To be consistent as much as possible with our algebraic notations in Chapter I, we assume, that X is a vector space (always finite dimension) on a field k , denotes its dual by X^* , and let $[x, x^*]$, for $x \in X, x^* \in X^*$, the value on x of the linear form x^* of X ; we will identify X with its bidual $(X^*)^*$ by formula

$$[x, x^*] = [x^*, x];$$

and, when α is a linear map (called “ morphism”) from a space X to a space Y , we will denote α^* as its transport, it is a linear map from Y^* to X^* , for $X \in X, y^* \in Y^*$, defined by the formula

$$[x\alpha, y^*] = [x, y^*\alpha^*].$$

Any bilinear form on $X \times Y$ can be written as $[X, y\alpha]$, where α is a morphism form Y to X^* ; For $X = Y$, we said α is symmetric if $[x, y\alpha]$ is symmetric in x and y , therefore if $\alpha = \alpha^*$.

If f is a quadratic form on X , we have, whenever $x \in X, y \in X$:

$$f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y) = [x, y\rho],$$

where ρ is a symmetric morphism form X to X^* ; we say f and ρ are associated with each other; f is called non-degenerate if ρ is an isomorphism from X to X^* , and additive if $\rho = 0$. There is no additive quadratic form other that 0, if k has characteristic 2. If k is not characteristic 2, all symmetric morphism ρ form X to X^* is associated with a unique quadratic form f , as we know that which is given by $f(x) = [x, 2^{-1}x\rho]$. In all cases, $2f(x) = [x, x\rho]$. We let $Q(X)$ be the vector space of quadratic forms on X , and $Q_a(X)$ be the subspace of $Q(X)$ consisting the additive forms.

24. Firstly let k be a *local field*; by which we mean a locally compact non-discrete commutative (topological) field; it is then, either isomorphic to \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C} , or with a

discrete valuation¹; and, in the latter case, it is a finite extension, either of a field \mathbf{Q}_p (p -adic completion of the field \mathbf{Q} of rationals) if it is of characteristic 0, or of the field of formal series with one parameter over the prime field \mathbf{F}_p if it is of characteristic p . If k has discrete valuation, one denote by \mathfrak{o} the ring of integers of k and π a prime element of \mathfrak{o} , i.e. a generator of the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{o} ; one denotes by q the number of elements of the finite field $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$. One chooses once and for all a character χ of the additive group of k , where we assume only that it is nontrivial (i.e. that it is not of constant value 1); it is possible, as one knows, to choose χ in a canonical way, but that is irrelevant to us. One knows that $\chi(xy)$ is then a bicharacter of $k \times k$ which puts k self-dual in the sense of the theory of locally compact abelian groups. More generally, suppose X is a vector space (of finite dimension, as always) over k ; suppose X^* is the dual; X and X^* being provided with the obvious topology, one is then able to identify X^* with the dual of X in the sense of the theory of locally compact abelian groups so as to have, for any $x \in X$ and any $x^* \in X^*$:

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle = \chi([x, x^*]).$$

This identification (which depends on the choice of χ) will be made from now on once and for all, so that one will not have to distinguish between the algebraic dual and the dual in the sense of Chapter I.

If f is a quadratic form on the space X over k , $\chi \circ f$ is a character of the second degree on X in the sense of Chapter I, Section 1; the morphism of X into X^* associated with $\chi \circ f$ is the same one which is associated to f ; in particular, whenever $\chi \circ f$ is nondegenerate, it is necessary and sufficient that f is so, and, when this is the case, one can apply to $\chi \circ f$ the theorem 2 of Chapter I, Section 14, which defines a number $\gamma(\chi \circ f)$ of absolute value 1. For short, one writes $\gamma(f)$ instead of $\gamma(\chi \circ f)$, but it should not be forgotten that the symbol $\gamma(f)$ depends on the choice of χ . On the other hand, as $|\gamma(f)| = 1$, it does not depend on the choice of Haar measure which occurs in its definition, since, when the measures are changed, it only modifies the formulas in theorem 2 of Chapter I, Section 14, and its corollaries, by a positive real factor; this remark allows even, in the calculation of $\gamma(f)$ by means of these corollaries, to abandon the convention, followed in Chapter I, to always take, in the dual G^* of a group G , the dual measure of the one we choose in G .

25. The remark above shows in particular that, if f and X are as above and if $f' = f \circ \alpha$, where α is an isomorphism from a space X' to X , we have $\gamma(f') = \gamma(f)$; in other words, *gamma* has same value on the two “equivalent” forms f, f' . In addition, since $\chi \circ (-f)$ is the imaginary conjugation of $\chi \circ f$, we have $\gamma(-f) = \gamma(f)^{-1}$. If -1 is a square in k (in particular if k has characteristic 2), $-f$ is equivalent to f ; In this case, we have $\gamma(f) = \pm 1$ for all f .

Proposition 3. *The map $f \rightarrow \gamma(f)$ determin a character of the Witt group of local field k .*

As one knows, a non-degenerate form f corresponds to the element 0 of the Witt group (we will say that it is *trivial*) if it is equivalent to the form $\sum_1^n x_i x_{n+i}$ on k^{2n} for

¹which is function $v : k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $v(x \cdot y) = v(x) + v(y)$; $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$; and $v(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \infty$

some n ; that is also means that f is equivalent to the form $[x, x^*]$ on $X \times X^*$ for a certain choice of X . But then the corollary of Theorem 5 of Chapter I, $n^\circ 20$, shows that $\gamma(f) = 1$. In addition, f_1, f_2 are non-degenerate forms on spaces X_1, X_2 ; that means the form f given by $f(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2)$ on the direct sum of X_1 and X_2 ; it is non-degenerate, obviously, according to the definition of γ in theorem 2 of Chapter I, $n^\circ 14$, $\gamma(f) = \gamma(f_1)\gamma(f_2)$. That proves the proposition.

29. Suppose that k is a algebraic number field or a function field of 1 dimension on a finite field. We will denote by k_v the completion of k , by \mathfrak{o}_v be the integer ring of k_v for each k_v has discrete valuation, and A_k be the adele ring of k . Let X_k be a vector spaces (finite dimension) of k ; we set $X_A = X_k \otimes A_k$, and for any v , $X_v = X_k \otimes k_v$. If X° is a basis of X_k for k , we denote X_v° , when k_v has discrete valuation, the set of points of X_v whose coordinate respect to base X° in \mathfrak{o}_v ; is a lattice in X_v . We denote S be the set of all finite complement of k , containing all which are isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Under these conditons, X_A is the union (and same, as a topological space, inductive limit) of product

$$(18) \quad X_S^\circ = \prod_{v \in S} X_v \times \prod_{v \notin S} X_v^\circ.$$

Every compact set of X_A is contained in a set of form $\prod C_v$, where C_v is for all v , a compact set of X_v and for almost all v (it is to say that all except finite number of v), is equal to X_v° . We conclude from this any subgroup of X_A , generate by a compact neighborhood of 0, contained in a subgroup of form $H = \prod H_v$, where H_v is equal to X_v when k_v is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , a lattice in X_v when k_v has discrete valuation, and is X_v for almost all v . Suppose H is choose as this, then any compact subgroup H' of H such that H/H' is a elementary group(cf. Chapter I, $n^\circ 11$) contain a similar subgroup of form $H' = \prod H'_v$, where H'_v is $\{0\}$ when k_v is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , is a lattic of X_v containd in H_v for k_v has discrete valuation, is X_v° for almost all v . We easily deduce form the sturcture of $S(X_A)$; $S(X_A)$ contain, in any case, all the function of the form $(x_v) \rightarrow \prod \Phi_v(x_v)$, where $\Phi_v \in S(X_v)$ for all v , and for almost all v , Φ_v is the characteristic function of X_v° ; for $k = \mathbb{Q}$, or for k of characteristic $p \neq 0$, $S(X_A)$ is the set of all finite linear combination, has constant coefficients, of function obtained that (for the corresponding assertion when k is an algebraic field of numbers other that \mathbb{Q} , see further, with $n^\circ 39$).

3. THE METAPLECTIC GROUP(LOCAL AND ADELIE CASES)

31. As in $n^\circ 23$, Let X be a vector space (finit dimension, as usual) on a field k ;keeping the notations introduced in $n^\circ 23$, we will consider automorphisms $z \rightarrow z\sigma$ on $X \times X^*$, as in Chapter I (cf $n^\circ 3$), in matrix form

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

As in $n^\circ 3$, we set

$$\sigma^I = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^* & -\beta^* \\ -\gamma^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

For $(X \times X^*) \times (X \times X^*)$, we consider the bilinear form

$$B(z_1, z_2) = [x_1, x_2^*] \quad (z_1 = (x_1, x_1^*), z_2 = (x_2, x_2^*)),$$

and we call an automorphism σ of $X \times X^*$ is symplectic if it leaves the bilinear $B(z_l, z_2) - B(z_2, z_l)$ form invariant; for it is true, it is necessary and sufficient that $\sigma\sigma^I = l$. These automorphisms form a group called the symplectic group of X and which one denote $Sp(X)$.

On $X \times X^* \times k$, we put a group structure by the following law (analog (4) of Chapter I):

$$(19) \quad (z_1, t_1)(z_2, t_2) = (z_1 + z_2, B(z_1, z_2) + t_1 + t_2);$$

$\mathfrak{A}(x)$ denote the group defined above. For an automorphism σ of $X \times X^*$ and a quadratic form f on $X \times X^*$; so that the formula

$$(20) \quad (z, t) \rightarrow (z\sigma, f(z) + t) \quad (z \in X \times X^*, t \in k)$$

define a automorphism of $\mathfrak{A}(X)$, it is necessary and sufficient that σ and f satisfy the relation

$$(21) \quad f(z_1 + z_2) - f(z_1) - f(z_2) = B(z_1\sigma, z_2\sigma) - B(z_1, z_2),$$

analog (6) of Chapter I. Then, we will indicate the automorphism of $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ defined by (20); the group forms by these automorphisms called the pseudosymplectic group of X and will be denote $Ps(X)$. The group law in $Ps(X)$ is given by

$$(\sigma, f) \cdot (\sigma', f') = (\sigma\sigma', f''),$$

where f'' is the quadratic form defined by

$$f''(z) = f(z) + f'(z\sigma).$$

If (21) is satisfied, the second member must be symmetrical in z_1 and z_2 ; so it is necessary and sufficient that σ is symplectic; thus $(\sigma, f) \rightarrow \sigma$ is a homomorphism from $Ps(X)$ to $Sp(X)$. If k is not characteristic 2, (21) corresponded all $\sigma \in Sp(X)$ a unique quadratic form f on $X \times X^*$; consequently, in this case, $(\sigma, f) \rightarrow \sigma$ is a isomorphism from $Ps(X)$ to $Sp(X)$, means identify these groups with the other. On the contrary, when k is of characteristic 2, by taking $z_1 = z_2$ in (21), that the nondegenerate quadratic form $B(z, z)$ of $X \times X^*$ invariant under σ ; consequently, $(\sigma, f) \rightarrow \sigma$ is a homomorphism from $Ps(X)$ into the orthogonal group $O(B)$ of this form on $X \times X^*$; it is easy to checke that it is surjective; its kernel is formd by the elements $(1, f) \in Ps(X)$, according to (21), for all the additive quadratic forms f on $X \times X^*$.

Now we starts from a vector space X_k on k , and that we denotes X the extension of X_k with a "universal domain" on k , we can apply that precedes, if X_k has k and X with the universal domain. The groups $Sp(X)$, $\mathfrak{A}(X)$, $Ps(X)$ are then algebraic groups defined on k , and $Sp(X_k)$, $\mathfrak{A}(X_k)$, $Ps(X_k)$ are no other than the groups $Sp(X)_k$, $\mathfrak{A}(X)_k$, $Ps(X)_k$ formed by the elements of $Sp(X)$, $\mathfrak{A}(X)$, $Ps(X)$ which are rational on k . Note $Ps(X)$ is of dimension $m(2m + 1)$ if $m = \dim(X)$, for any characteristic of k ; We can say (in the language of the scheme may precisely) that $Ps(X)$ in caractdristic 2 is a is a degeneration of the symplectic group with caractdristic 0. It is well-known that the symplectic group, in any characteristic, is connected, simply connected and

semisimple; it is the same of $Ps(X)$ when k is not characteristic 2, but it is no more true in characteristic 2.

32. One has, for the group $P_s(X)$, entirely analogous results as those of Section 5, 6 and 7 of Chapter I for the group $B_0(G)$; we will summarize them quickly. One defines a monomorphism to $P_s(X)$ from the group $Aut(X)$ of the automorphisms of X by setting

$$d(\alpha) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{*-1} \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right).$$

One defines a mapping to $P_s(X)$ from the set $Is(X^*, X)$ of the isomorphisms from X^* to X by setting

$$d'(\gamma) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^{*-1} \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [x, -x^*] \right).$$

One defines a monomorphism to $P_s(X)$ from the additive group $Q(X)$ of the quadratic forms on X by setting

$$t(f) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, f \right),$$

where ρ is the symmetric morphism from X to X^* associated with the quadratic form f on X . Similarly, if f' is a quadratic form on X^* , associated with morphism ρ' from X^* to X , one defines

$$t'(f') = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho' & 1 \end{pmatrix}, f' \right).$$

Among the elements of $P_s(X)$ thus defined, one has the relations analogous to those in Sections 6-7, and notably

$$\begin{aligned} d(\alpha)^{-1}t(f)d(\alpha) &= t(f^\alpha), \\ d(\alpha)t'(f')d(\alpha)^{-1} &= t'(f'^{\alpha*}). \end{aligned}$$

where f^α is defined by $f^\alpha(x) = f(x\alpha^{-1})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d'(\gamma\alpha) &= d'(\gamma)d(\alpha), \\ d'(\alpha^{*-1}\gamma) &= d(\alpha)d'(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in Aut(X)$, $\gamma \in Is(X^*, X)$.

For $s = (\sigma, f)$, $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, set $\gamma = \gamma(s)$; we denote by $\Omega(X)$ the set of the $s \in P_s(X)$ for which $\gamma(s)$ is invertible, that is, for which it is an isomorphism from X^* to X . While repeating over here, with obvious modifications, the proof of the proposition 1 of Chapter I, Section 7, one sees that any $s \in \Omega(X)$ is of one and only one form

$$(22) \quad s = t(f_1)d'(\gamma)t(f_2),$$

where f_1, f_2 are quadratic forms on X , and $\gamma \in Is(X^*, X)$; one has $\gamma = \gamma(s)$. More precisely, $\Omega(X)$ is k -open in $P_s(X)$ in the sense of Zariski topology, and 22 determines a k -isomorphism of algebraic varieties between $\Omega(X)$ and $Q(X) \times Is(X^*, X) \times Q(X)$; as $Is(X^*, X)$ is nonempty, $\Omega(X)$ is nonempty. The complement of $\Omega(X)$ in $P_s(X)$ is moreover defined by $\det \gamma(s) = 0$, the determinant being taken for an arbitrary choice of the bases in X^* and X ; it follows that this complement is collection of the varieties of

codimension 0 or 1 in $P_s(X)$; it is even a collection of the varieties of codimension 1 in $P_s(X)$ provided k is not of characteristic 2, since then $P_s(X)$ is isomorphic with $Sp(X)$ which is connected, and that $\Omega(X)$ is nonempty. If in contrary k is of characteristic 2, one sees that the orthogonal group $O(B)$ comprises two connected components

33.

36. In the case k is a discrete valuation field, we also can, instead using the lifting \mathbf{r}_0 , use the lifting \mathbf{r}_Γ which was defined in $n^\circ 19$ of Chapter I; we will choose a lattice L of X for Γ . In the notation of Chapter I, we replace G, G^*, Γ, Γ_* , by X, X^*, L, L_* , where L is a lattice in X and L_* is the lattice which corresponds to L by duality in X^* (say, all $x^* \in X^*$ such that $\chi([x, x^*]) = 1$ for all $x \in L$). Just as we have substituted, consider $P_s(X)$ and $B_0(X)$, we will substituted here, consider the group $B_0(X, L)$ which obtained by applying the definitions in $n^\circ 19$ Chapter I and subgroup $P_s(X, L)$ of $P_s(X)$ forms elements $s = (\sigma, f)$ in $P_s(X)$ such that $\chi \circ f$ constant 1 on $L \times L_*$ and that σ induces an automorphism of $L \times L_*$. We immediately verified that it is an open subgroup of $P_s(X)$, compact if k is not characteristic 2, and the homomorphism μ from $P_s(X)$ to $B_0(X)$ gives homomorphism $P_s(X, L)$ to $B_0(X, L)$.

With above notation, space $H(G, \Gamma)$ of Chapter I, $N^\circ 18$, becomes Hilbert space $H(X, L)$, and formulate (17) in $n^\circ 19$ defines a representation \mathbf{r}_L from $B_0(X, L)$ to the automorphism group of $H(X, L)$, then a representation $\mathbf{r}_L \circ \mu$ from $P_s(X, L)$ to this group; by transport of structure by isomorphism Z^{-1} from $H(X, L)$ to $L^2(X)$ defined in $n^\circ 18$ of the same chapter, From the representations of $B_0(X, L)$ and of $P_s(X, L)$, denote \mathbf{r}_Γ and $\mathbf{r}_L \circ \mu$ by abuse of notation, we give representation of automorphism group of $L^2(X)$. Moreover, one immediately deduces from (17) that representation of $P_s(X, L)$ in the group of the automorphisms of $H(X, L)$, the same, of $L^2(X)$, is continuous when this last group is provided with strong topology. Then let us denote \mathbf{r}'_L the representation

$$s \rightarrow (s, \mathbf{r}_L(\mu(s)))$$

from $P_s(X, L)$ to $Mp(X)$; from that \mathbf{r}'_L is an isomorphism from $P_s(X, L)$ to its image in $Mp(X)$, $(s, \tau) \rightarrow \tau \mathbf{r}'_L(s)$ is a isomorphism from $P_s(X, L) \times T$ to an open subgroup of $Mp(X)$. Moreover, immediately from the end of $n^\circ 21$ Chapter I, for all $\Phi \in S(X)$, the map $s \rightarrow \mathbf{r}'_L(s)\Phi$ from $P_s(X, L)$ to $S(X)$ is locally constant.

37. We proceed now to extend the preceding results to the adèle case. We again assume naturally here the hypothesis and the notations of Sections 29 and 30 of the Chapter II, which extends in an obvious manner with all the algebraic groups defined on the field of base k ; in particular, one writes $P_s(X)_k, P_s(X)_v$ for the groups formed by the elements of the algebraic group $P_s(X)$ which is rational respectively over k and over k_v , and one writes $P_s(X)_A$ for the adelic group attached with $P_s(X)$ in the usual manner. As in Section 29, we denote by X° a base of X , and by $(X^*)^\circ$ a base of X^* , of which one can assume, to fix the notions, that it is the dual base of X° . For any completion k_v of k with discrete valuation, one denotes by $P_s(X)_v^\circ$ the group formed by the elements (σ, f) of $P_s(X)_v$ such that σ induces on $X_v^\circ \times (X^*)_v^\circ$ an automorphism of this lattice, and that f induces on this same lattice a function with integer values (that is to say belonging to the ring \mathfrak{o}_v of the integers of k_v). Then $P_s(X)_A$ is the collection,

and same as the inductive limit, of the group

$$Ps(X)_S^\circ = \prod_{v \in S} Ps(X)_v \times \prod_{v \notin S} Ps(X)_v^\circ$$

when one takes for S , as of the convention, all the finite sets of the completions of k containing the set S_∞ which is isomorphic with \mathbb{R} or with \mathbb{C} .

Just as in the local case (cf. Section 33), one has a homomorphism $(w, t) \rightarrow (w, \chi(t))$ of $\mathfrak{A}(X)_A$ into the group $A(X_A)$ attached to locally compact group X_A in the sense of the Chapter I, Section 4. In the same way the formula

$$\mu_A((\sigma, f)) = (\sigma, \chi \circ f)$$

defines a homomorphism μ_A of $Ps(X)_A$ into the group $B_0(X_A)$ attached with X_A in the sense of the Chapter I, $n^\circ 5$; as in the local case, μ_A is injective when k is not of characteristic 2.

As in the local case, we then define the *metaplectic group* $Mp(X)_A$ as being the subgroup of $Ps(X)_A \times \mathbf{B}_0(X_A)$ formed by the elements (s, \mathbf{s}) of this product such that $\mu_A(s) = \pi_0(\mathbf{s})$; this is given the topology induced by the one of the ambient group when one gives with $\mathbf{B}_0(X_A)$ the strong topology and with $Ps(X)_A$ the usual adelic topology. We will denote from now on by π the projection of this group onto $Ps(X)_A$; it is surjective and has as kernel the group $\{e\} \times \mathbf{T}$, which we will write as, more simply, \mathbf{T} .

38. We now define a continuous lifting in $Mp(X)_A$ from an open set of $Ps(X)_A$, what, as in the local case, will make it possible to conclude that $Mp(X)_A$ is locally compact and has same locally homeomorphic of $Ps(X)_A \times T$, and that π is an open map from $Mp(X)_A$ to $Ps(X)_A$. We let $\Omega_v = \Omega(X)_v$ for all v . it is a nonempty open set of $Ps(X)_v$. Then let, for any finite set S of the completions of k , contain S_∞ :

$$\Omega_S = \prod_{v \in S} \Omega_v \times \prod_{v \notin S} Ps(X)_v^\circ;$$

it is an open set of $Ps(X)_S^\circ$, then of $Ps(X)_A$. For each Ω_v , according to $n^\circ 34$, a lefting from Ω_v to $Mp(X)_v$, will denote by \mathbf{r}_v ; in addition, according to $n^\circ 36$, for all v , k_v is discrete valuation, and for any lattice L in X_v , a lifting \mathbf{r}'_L from $Ps(X_v, L)$ to $Mp(X_v)$; moreover, according to $n^\circ 21$ of Chapter I, this map $Ps(X_v, L)$ to a subgroup of $Mp(X_v)$ which leaves the characteristic function of the network L invariant. For almost all v , $Ps(X)_v^\circ$ is a subgroup of $Ps(X_v, L)$ when $L = X_v^\circ$; We will denote this that S_0 (finite, and containing S_∞) of complete of k for which $v \notin S_0$, we can show \mathbf{r}'_v induce the lefting by \mathbf{r}'_L of $Ps(X)_v^\circ$ when $L = X_v^\circ$.

In addition, for all v , Φ_v is a function in $L^2(X_v)$, and assume that, for almost all v , Φ_v is the characteristic function of X_v° ; let Φ is a function on X_A , for $x = (x_v) \in X_A$, define by the formula

$$(23) \quad \Phi(x) = \prod_v \Phi_v(x_v).$$

The mesure on X_A is defined in in conformity with $n^\circ 30$ of Chapter II, Φ is in $L^2(X_A)$, and linear combinations of functions in this form are dense in $L^2(X_A)$. For any $S \supset S_0$,

and any $s = (s_v) \in \Omega_S$, we set

$$\mathbf{r}_S(s)\Phi(x) = \prod_{v \in S} \mathbf{r}_v(s_v)\Phi_v(x_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathbf{r}'_v(s_v)\Phi_v(x_v).$$

According to what we have above, almost all the factors of the second product are respectively equal to the characteristic functions of the lattice X_v° , so that the function defined has same form of Φ . It then results from $n^\circ 22$ of Chapter I that the map $\Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_S(s)\Phi$ defined above for the functions with form (23) extend to be an automorphisme of $L^2(X_A)$, and then define a continuous lifting \mathbf{r}_S from Ω_S to $Mp(X)_A$. From that we draw the above conclusions.

39. As in the local case, we now show that $(S, \Phi) \rightarrow S\Phi$ given a continuous map from $Mp(X_A) \times S(X_A)$ to $S(X_A)$; as in $n^\circ 35$, it is sufficient to show that $(s, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_S(s)\Phi$ is a continous map from $\Phi_S \times S(X_A)$ to $S(X_A)$.

By the definition in $n^\circ 11$ of Chapter I, and the remarks of $n^\circ 29$ of Chapter II, $S(X_A)$ are composed of finite linear combinations, constant coefficients, function of form

$$(24) \quad \Phi_\infty(x_\infty) \prod_{v \notin S_\infty} \Phi_v(x_v)$$

where Φ_∞ in the space $S(X_\infty)$ of the product $X_\infty = \prod X_v$ where $v \in S_\infty$ (so that X_∞ is a finite dimensional vector space on \mathbb{R}), and Φ_v belongs to $S(X_v)$ for all v , and, for almost all v , is the characteristic function of X_v° .

Let Φ be the function defined by (24), and let $s \in \Omega_S$. As above, \mathbf{r}'_v leave Φ_v invariant for almost all v . For all $v \in S - S_\infty$, $s_v \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_v(s_v)\Phi_v$ is a continous map, and locally constant, from $Ps(X)_v^\circ$ to $S(X_v)$. Fininally, from $n^\circ 22$ Chapter I, and $n^\circ 35$, apply to the product X_∞ , then s_v (resp, $\mathbf{r}_v(s_v)$) for $v \in S_\infty$ determine an element s_∞ of $Ps(X_\infty)$ (resp, an element $\mathbf{r}_\infty(s_\infty)$ of $Mp(X_\infty)$), their “tensor product”, so that $s_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_\infty(s_\infty)$ is a continuous map; it follows, by $n^\circ 35$, that $s_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_\infty(s_\infty)\Phi_\infty$ is a continous map from $S(X_\infty)$ to the product $\prod \Omega_v$ for $v \in S_\infty$. Combine these results, we conclude that $s \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_S(s)\Phi$ is a continous map from Ω_S to $S(X_A)$, then $S \rightarrow S\Phi$ is an continous map from $Mp(X)_A$ to $S(X_A)$, where $\Phi \in S(X_A)$.

To prove the continuity of $(s, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_S(s)\Phi$, it is sufficient to verify that: K a compact set of Ω_S , and U a convex neighborhood of 0 of $S(X_A)$; U' is the set of $\Phi \in S(X_A)$ such that $\mathbf{r}_S(s)\Phi \in U$ for all $s \in K$ is a neighborhood of 0 of $S(X_A)$. Since U' is convex, by the definition of the topology of $S(X_A)$ as inductive limit of $S(H, H')$ (cf. $n^\circ 11$), we have to show $U' \cap S(H, H')$ is a neighborhood of 0 of $S(H, H')$ for all H and H' . However, for K , H and H' give, there is a finite set S' of complement of k having the following properties: (a) for all $s = (s_v) \in K$, and all $v \notin S'$, we have $s_v \in Ps(X)_v^\circ$; (b) for all function in $S(H, H')$ is linear combination of function in form (24) where Φ_v is the characteristic function of X_v° for all $v \notin S'$. Under these conditions, the assertion the assertion which it is a immediate consdquence of the properties of continuity proof in $n^\circ 35$ for the local case.

40. In this part, we apply the results of Chapter I, $n^\circ 16-19$, with $G = X_A$, $G^* = X_A^*$, $\Gamma = X_k$, $\Gamma_* = X_k^*$; it is immediate that the homomorphism μ_A form $Ps(X)_A$ to $B_0(X_A)$ defined in $n^\circ 37$, maps $Ps(X)_k$ into a subgroup of $B_0(X_A)$ which with the noation of $n^\circ 19$, written as $B_0(X_A, X_k)$. It is similar as the situations we studied

above, lifting r_Γ of $B_0(G, \Gamma)$ defined in $n^\circ 19$, define a lifting from $Ps(X)_k$ to $\mathbb{B}_0(G)$, and then a lefting from $Ps(X)_k$ to $Mp(X)_A$, we denote by \mathbf{r}_k . We now explain it; it is sufficient for expressing $\mathbf{r}_k(s)\Phi$ through Φ for $\Phi \in S(X)_A$, $s \in Ps(X)_k$. According to the definitoin in Chapter I, we can introduce the function

$$\Theta(x, x^*) = \sum_{\xi \in X_k} \Phi(x + \xi) \chi([\xi, x^*]) \quad (x \in X_A, x^* \in X_A^*)$$

here, as already noted in the more general case studied in Chapter I, $n^\circ 18$, the series is uniformly convergent on any compact set under the assumption $\Phi \in S(X_A)$. Then the formular (particular case of (??) of $n^\circ 18$):

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{X_A^*/X_k^*} \Theta(x, x^*) d\dot{x}^*$$

where $d\dot{x}^*$ is the mesure on the compact group X_A^*/X_k^* which gives measure 1 on the group. Let $s = (\sigma, f)$ be an element of $Ps(X)_k$, and as usual $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$. By $n^\circ 19$, the function $\Phi' = \mathbf{r}_k(s)\Phi$ is defined by the formular

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta'(z) &= \Theta(z\sigma) \chi(f(z)) \quad (z = (x, x^*) \in X_A \times X_A'), \\ \Phi'(x) &= \int \Theta'(x, x^*) d\dot{x}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi'(x) = \int \Theta(x\alpha + x^*\gamma, x\beta + x^*\delta) \chi(f(x, x^*)) d\dot{x}^*$$

integrating over X_A^*/X_k^* . Let N be the kernel of γ in X^* ; let $Y = X^*/N$. We can, in an obvious way, identify N_A/N_k with a closed subgroup of X_A^*/X_k^* and Y_A/Y_k with the quotient of X_A^*/X_k^* for N_A/N_k ; denote \bar{x}^* the image of \dot{x} in the quotient, we cam write

$$\Phi'(x) = \int \Psi(x, \bar{x}^*) d\bar{x}^*,$$

where Ψ is denote by the formular

$$\Psi(x, \bar{x}^*) = \int_{N_A/N_k} \sum_{\xi \in X_k} \Phi(x\alpha + x^*\gamma + \xi) \chi([\xi, x\beta + (x^* + n)\delta] + f(x, x^* + n)) d\dot{n};$$

here \dot{n} denote the image of $n \in N_A$ in N_A/N_k , and $d\dot{n}$ is the measure on N_A/N_k for which N_A/N_k has measure 1; the same, $d\bar{x}^*$ is the measure in Y_A/Y_k for which Y_A/Y_k has measure 1.

On the other hand, by (21):

$$f(x, x_1^* + x_2^*) = f(0, x_1^*) + f(x, x_2^*) + [x_1^*\gamma, x\beta + x_2^*\delta],$$

where, for $n \in N$:

$$f(x, x^* + n) = f(0, n) + f(x, x^*).$$

In particular, it follows that $f(0, n)$ is an additive form on N . As f is rational on k , we define a charactor φ on N_A/N_k by $\varphi(\dot{n}) = \chi(f(0, n))$ for $n \in N_A$, then extend φ be

an character of X_A^*/X_k^* . It is same to say that there is a character of X_A^* , taking value 1 on X_k^* , which coincides with $\chi(f(0, n))$ on N_A , then there exists $\xi_0 \in X_k$ such that

$$\chi(f(0, n)) = \chi([\xi_0, n])$$

for $n \in N_A$. Of course, if k is not characteristic 2, the additive form $f(0, n)$ on N deduce to 0, so we can take $\xi_0 = 0$.

The expression above for Φ can also be written as

$$\Psi(x, \bar{x}^*) = \int \sum_{\xi} \Phi(x\alpha + x^*\gamma + \xi) \chi([\xi, x\beta + x^*\delta] + f(x, x^*)) \chi([\xi\delta^* + \xi_0, n]) d(n);$$

or more simply,

$$\Phi(x, \bar{x}^*) = \sum_{\xi \in L} \Phi(x\alpha + x^*\gamma + \xi) \chi([\xi, x\beta + x^*\delta] + f(x, x^*)),$$

where the summation is take on the set L of $\xi \in X_k$ such that

$$[\xi\delta^* + \xi_0, n] = 0$$

for all $n \in N$, or $\xi\delta^* + \xi_0$ belongs to the subspace N_* of X orthogonal to the kernel N of γ in X^* ; N_* is no other than the image $X^*\gamma^*$ of X^* for γ^* . We can say that L is the set of all $\xi \in X_k$ for the equation

$$\xi\delta^* + \xi_0 = \xi^*\gamma^*$$

is an solution of $\xi^* \in X_k^*$; as we have

$$\sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^* & -\beta^* \\ -\gamma^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

that means $(\xi, \xi^*)\sigma^{-1}$ has form $(-\xi_0, \xi_1^*)$ with $\xi_1^* \in X_k^*$. Ultimately, L is the image of X_k^* of the map

$$\xi_1^* \rightarrow -\xi_0\alpha + \xi_1^*\gamma$$

from X_k^* to X_k . By (21), we can then write

$$\Phi(x, \bar{x}^*) = \sum \Phi((x - \xi_0)\alpha + (x^* + \xi_1^*)\gamma) \chi(f(x - \xi_0, x^* + \xi_1^*) - [\xi_0, x^*]),$$

oh the summation has values ξ^* taken in a complete system of representatives of X_k^* modulo N_k . Observe now that by the definition of ξ_0 , the function

$$\chi(f(x, x^*) - [\xi_0, x^*])$$

do not change if we replace x^* by $x^* + n$, with $n \in N_A$. We can define a function Ω on $X_A \times Y_A$ by

$$\Omega(x, y) = \Phi(x\alpha + x^*\gamma) \chi(f(x, x^*) - [\xi_0, x^*])$$

for $x \in X_A$, $x^* \in X_A^*$, and y is the image of X^* in $Y_A = X_A^*/N_A$. We has, with the same notation,

$$\Phi(x, \bar{x}^*) = \sum_{\eta \in Y_k} \Omega(x - \xi_0, y + \eta),$$

and consequently

$$\Phi'(x) = \int_{Y_A} \Omega(x - \xi_0, y) dy,$$

where dy is the Tamayawa measure on Y_A (Y_A/Y_k is measure 1). $x^* \rightarrow x^*\gamma$ determined, by passing to the quotient, an isomorphism of Y to the image $Z = X^*\gamma$ of X^* for γ , we finally obtain

$$\mathbf{r}_k(s)\Phi(x) = \int_{Z_A} \Phi((x - \xi_0)\alpha + z)\psi(x - \xi_0, z)dz,$$

where $Z = X^*\gamma$, dz is the Tamagawa mesure on Z_A , and ψ is the charactor of second degree of $X_A \times Z_A$ defined by

$$\phi(x, x^*\gamma) = \chi(f(x, x^*) - [\xi_0, x^*]) \quad (x \in X_A, x^* \in X_A^*).$$

4.

The application of theorem 3 of Chapter I, $n^\circ 19$, will give it now to us result which we kept mainly in mind in this memory. This theorem gives initially:

$$(25) \quad \sum_{\xi \in X_k} \Phi(\xi) = \sum_{\xi \in X_k} \mathbf{r}_k(s)\Phi(\xi),$$

which is valide when $s \in Ps(X)_k$ and $\Phi \in S(X_A)$; it is reduced to Poisson formula when $s = d'(\gamma)$, γ is an isomorphism form X_k^* to X_k . It is same as corollary of theorem 3, we now deduce that:

Theorem 5. *If X_k is an finite dimensional vector space on k ; and Φ is a function belongs to $S(X_A)$. Θ is the function on $Mp(X)_A$, defined, for all $S \in Mp(X)_A$, by the formular*

$$\Theta(S) = \sum_{\xi \in X_k} S\Phi(\xi).$$

Then Θ is a continous function on $Mp(X)_A$, invariant by the left translations determined by the elements of $Mp(X)_A$ of the form $\mathbf{r}_k(S)$, with $s \in Ps(X)_k$.

The invariance of Θ is obvious according to (25), or according to the corollary of theorem 4 in $n^\circ 19$; we only have to show its continuity, which we will deduce from the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. *If $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of real numbers with $a_n > 0$. Then there exists a function $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ such that , for any x :*

$$\varphi(x) \geq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (a_n |x|^{-n}).$$

Indeed, let $f(x) = \inf(a_n |x|^{-n})$; let g be a function infinit differentiable on \mathbb{R} , has value ≥ 0 , of support contained in $[-1, +1]$, and $\int g dx = 1$, and let $h = f * g$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x-1) &\geq h(x) \geq f(x+1) \text{ for } x \geq +1, \\ f(x-1) &\leq h(x) \leq f(x+1) \text{ for } x \leq -1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $D = d/dx$, we have $D^p f = f * D^p g$ when $p \geq 0$, we can conclude immediately that $|x^n D^p h|$ is bounded for all $n \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$, then $h \in S(\mathbb{R})$. Let $h_0 \in S(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h_0(x) \geq a_0$ for $-2 \leq x \leq +2$. We have, for all x :

$$f(x) \leq h(x-1) + h(x+1) + h_0(x),$$

this proves the lemma.

Lemma 5. *Suppose that G is a locally compact abelian group and C is a compact set of $S(G)$. Then there exists $\Phi_0 \in S(G)$ such that $|\Phi(x)| \leq \Phi_0(x)$ for all $\Phi \in C$ and $x \in G$.*

With the notations which were used to define $S(G)$ in $n^\circ 11$, we know (cf. Bruhat [1]) that all compact set of $S(G)$ is contained in a space $S(H, H')$; it is thus sufficient to prove the lemma for a elementary group $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^p \times T^q \times F$, where F is a finite group. Let $x \in G$; let $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p$ is its coordinate relative to the factors \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z} of G ; let

$$r(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^p y_j^2,$$

and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$a_n = \sup_{x \in G, \Phi \in C} |r(x)^n \Phi(x)|;$$

by the definition of $S(G)$, we have $a_n < +\infty$ for all n . For this sequence (a_n) , let φ be a function of $S(\mathbb{R})$ having the property stated in lemma 4. Then $\Phi_0 = \varphi \circ r$ has the property wanted.

Let us return to the proof of theorem 5. It is immediately from the definition that, for all $x_0 \in X_A$, $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi(x_0)$ is a continous map from $S(X_A)$ to \mathbb{C} . We see that, for each $\Phi \in S(X_A)$, $S \rightarrow S\Phi$ is a continous map from $Mp(X)_A$ to $S(X_A)$, it follows that each term of the series is a continous function of $S(X_A)$. Let C be a compact set of $Mp(X)_A$; if $\Phi \in S(X_A)$, the image of C for $S \rightarrow S\Phi$ is a compact set of $S(X_A)$, and then from lemma 5 that there exists $\Phi_0 \in S(X_A)$ such that $|S\Phi| \leq \Phi_0$ when $S \in C$. The series which defines $F(S)$ is therefore, for $S \in C$, dominated by the series $\sum \Phi_0(\xi)$. This one being convergent according to the procedure, that complete the proof.

The definition of modular functions by means of theta series is naturally a particular case of the mode of definition of automorphic functions contained in theorem 5.