
Subject: Fwd: SAYEGH: 25PDRO01260
From: Nuha Sayegh <nuha@recovery-compass.org>
To: Eric Jones <eric@recovery-compass.org>
Date Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2025 7:26:05 PM GMT-07:00
Date Received: Saturday, October 18, 2025 7:26:18 PM GMT-07:00
Attachments: 2025 10 15 Ct's Minute Order.pdf

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

**Family Division
Pasadena Dept. - L**

25PDRO01260

Sayegh, Fahed

vs

Sayegh, Nuha

October 15, 2025

8:30 AM

Honorable Joel L. Lofton, Judge

A. Sepulveda, Judicial Assistant

Tosha Townsend (#9156), Court
Reporter

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Request - DV Prevention w/ Minor Child (Case Initiation) filed by
Petitioner on September 12, 2025

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

Fahed Sayegh, Petitioner
Nuha Sayegh, Respondent
Kirk A. Kolodji, Attorney for Respondent

The matter is called for hearing.

The Court finds case numbers 25PDRO01260 and 25PDRO01246 are related. All parties acknowledge and agree that the cases may be heard simultaneously.

The Court advises the parties of the consequences on the issuance of a permanent restraining order including the Family Code Section 3044 admonishment.

The parties are sworn and testify.

The Petitioner's witness, Samera Arkel, is sworn and testifies.

The Respondent's Exhibits B, F, H and I (1-4) are all marked for identification and admitted into evidence.

The Petitioner's Exhibits 1 - 3 are all marked for identification and admitted into evidence.

The Petitioner's Exhibit 4 is marked for identification only.

All sides rest.

After review of the moving papers and responsive papers, and testimony heard, the Court now rules as follows:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

**Family Division
Pasadena Dept. - L**

25PDRO01260

Sayegh, Fahed

vs

Sayegh, Nuha

October 15, 2025

8:30 AM

The Court finds the party requesting the order of protection did not sustain the applicable burden of proof and accordingly the request is denied. Any temporary restraining order earlier issued is hereby dissolved.

The case is ordered dismissed.

Subject: Fwd: SAYEGH: 25PDRO01260
From: Nuha Sayegh <nuha@recovery-compass.org>
To: Eric Jones <eric@er-design.org>
Date Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2025 6:35:55 PM GMT-07:00
Date Received: Sunday, October 26, 2025 6:36:07 PM GMT-07:00
Attachments: 2025 10 15 Ct's Minute Order.pdf

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

**Family Division
Pasadena Dept. - L**

25PDRO01260

Sayegh, Fahed

vs

Sayegh, Nuha

October 15, 2025

8:30 AM

Honorable Joel L. Lofton, Judge

A. Sepulveda, Judicial Assistant

Tosha Townsend (#9156), Court
Reporter

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Request - DV Prevention w/ Minor Child (Case Initiation) filed by
Petitioner on September 12, 2025

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

Fahed Sayegh, Petitioner
Nuha Sayegh, Respondent
Kirk A. Kolodji, Attorney for Respondent

The matter is called for hearing.

The Court finds case numbers 25PDRO01260 and 25PDRO01246 are related. All parties acknowledge and agree that the cases may be heard simultaneously.

The Court advises the parties of the consequences on the issuance of a permanent restraining order including the Family Code Section 3044 admonishment.

The parties are sworn and testify.

The Petitioner's witness, Samera Arkel, is sworn and testifies.

The Respondent's Exhibits B, F, H and I (1-4) are all marked for identification and admitted into evidence.

The Petitioner's Exhibits 1 - 3 are all marked for identification and admitted into evidence.

The Petitioner's Exhibit 4 is marked for identification only.

All sides rest.

After review of the moving papers and responsive papers, and testimony heard, the Court now rules as follows:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

**Family Division
Pasadena Dept. - L**

25PDRO01260

Sayegh, Fahed

vs

Sayegh, Nuha

October 15, 2025

8:30 AM

The Court finds the party requesting the order of protection did not sustain the applicable burden of proof and accordingly the request is denied. Any temporary restraining order earlier issued is hereby dissolved.

The case is ordered dismissed.