


## PROCESS PEER REVIEW

During this project you have worked together as a group. During this collaboration you may have noticed certain good or bad behaviour from yourself, your group members, or your group as a whole. This process peer review exists out of two parts: 1) Group dynamics with Lewis self-test and reflection, 2) constructive feedback about behaviour during group work.

Be sure to submit the process peer review on time as it will be discussed during the project meeting with you tutor.

### 1. GROUP DYNAMICS REALTED CULTURAL DIFFERENCES

Include below the *Lewis triangular diagram*, containing plot of all group members, you made during WKS:



Specify below where do you see potential synergies and frictions between group members related to the expectation of PRJ?

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

### 2. CONSTRUCTIVE FEEDBACK

Below you find a table that is intended for you to convey what your impression is related to the work contribution of each member towards the project. Please indicate in percentages how you perceived the work division was per item.

Item worked on	Mohammad	Lars	Esther	Soleil
Process (making agenda's, minutes, being chairperson, etc.)	22.5%	32.5%	35%	10%
Project plan	20%	20%	40%	20%
URS	25%	25%	35%	15%

<i>Testplan &amp; Testreport</i>	55%	15%	15%	15%
<i>UML Class Diagram</i>	40%	20%	20%	20%
<i>C# application</i>	37.5%	32.5%	20%	10%
<i>Web application</i>	0%	0%	0%	100%

Lastly, below you can find a table that is intended for you to include constructive feedback for your team members. Be sure to at least include one constructive feedback per member and this be about thing to keep and/or to improve at.

	<b>Situation/behaviour</b>	<b>Effect/impact</b>	<b>Need/Way forward</b>
Lars	Always on time. Really efficient(like really).	Codes are messy not suitable for group project. Really impatient. Takes other peoples' work if their work is more interesting. Not interested to do boring work.	Should be patient. Should also contribute on boring work.
Esther	Always on time, good at taking notes. Takes very long to make a piece of the code.	The others that need the code shes working on have to wait and get behind on schedule.	Spend more time coding and ask for help when needed.
Soleil	Keeps to her self, doesn't work as much as the rest of the group would like her to.	The rest of the group is berdened with more work. Comunication is dificult.	Be more involved with the project.

Below you are expected to assign a grade between 0 and 10 per group member (including yourself) on how well the person contributed to the project, and how proactive this person was.

	Mohammad	Lars	Esther	Soleil
<b>Contribution to project</b>	8	8	7	6
<b>Proactive attitude</b>	7	7	6	5