2025

SPARTA

STANDARDIZED PRINTED ARMS RESILIENCE TESTING AND ASSESSMENT

Contents

VERSION 4

SPARTA Project Charter 5

- 1. Overview 5
- 2. Purpose 6
- 3. Scope 7
  - 3.1 Component Focus 7
  - 3.2 Material Focus 8
  - 3.3 Manufacturing Focus 10
  - 3.4 Testing Conditions 11
- 4. Testing Methodology 14
  - 4.1 General Testing Principles 15
  - 4.2 Dimensional Analysis Setup 17
- Practical Notes 19
- Dimensional Analysis Checklist 21
- Dimensional Analysis Tracking Template 22

4.3 Mechanical Testing Setup 23

- **Purpose** 23
- **Equipment Requirements** 23
- Setup and Execution Guidelines 23
  - 1. Use Consistently Weighted Loads 23
  - 2. Apply Forces Gradually 23
  - 3. Document Maximum Load Sustained 24
  - 4. Record Load Application Method and Orientation 24
  - 5. Safety Considerations 24
  - 6. Calibration and Environmental Notes 25
- Practical Execution Notes 25
- SPARTA Mechanical Testing Checklist 26
- SPARTA Mechanical Test Result Tracking Template 28

4.4 Drop and Impact Testing Setup 29

- **Purpose** 29
- Equipment Requirements 29
- Setup and Execution Guidelines 29

1. Standard Drop Height 29
2. Surface Type Documentation 29
3. Orientation-Specific Drops 29
4. Controlled Drop Execution 30
5. Damage Assessment and Documentation 30
6. Cumulative Damage Tracking 31
Practical Execution Notes 31
SPARTA Drop and Impact Testing Checklist (Section 4.4) 32
SPARTA Drop and Impact Test Result Tracking Template 34
4.5 Environmental Testing Setup 35
Purpose 35
Equipment Requirements 35
Setup and Execution Guidelines 35
1. UV Exposure Testing 35
2. Heat Soak Testing 36
3. Cold Cycle Testing 36
4. Humidity Exposure Testing 36
Damage Assessment and Documentation 36
Practical Execution Notes 37
SPARTA Environmental Testing Checklist 38
SPARTA Environmental Test Result Tracking Template 40
4.6 Live-Fire Testing Setup 41
Purpose 41
Equipment Requirements 41
Setup and Execution Guidelines 41
1. Assembly Inspection Before Testing 41
2. Initial Proof-Fire (Optional but Recommended) 42
3. Standard Live-Fire Procedure 42
4. Round Count Minimums 42
5. Damage and Deformation Monitoring 42
6. Post-Test Final Inspection 43
7. Functional Assessment After Testing 43
Practical Execution Notes 43

SPARTA Live-Fire Testing Checklist 45
SPARTA Live-Fire Test Result Tracking Template 47
5. Intended Users 48
6. Data Reporting and Transparency 49
Purpose 49
Reporting Requirements 49
Transparency Standards 50
Publishing and Sharing 50
7. Future Expansion and Versioning 52
Purpose 52
Expansion Areas 52
Versioning Approach 52
Update Process 53
Version Labeling 53
8. Acknowledgements and Community Collaboration 54
8.1 Acknowledgements 54
8.2 Community Collaboration 54
8.3 Submission Structure and Repository Organization 54
8.4 File Naming Conventions 56
8.5 Consolidation Rules 56
8.6 Submission Standards 56
9. Legal and Safety Disclaimers 58
9.1 General Disclaimer 58
9.2 Safety Disclaimer 58
9.3 No Guarantee of Fitness for Use 59
9.4 Intellectual Property Disclaimer 59
10. Core Principles 60
Core Principles 60
11. Conclusion and Call for Collaboration 61
Conclusion 61
Call for Collaboration 61

VERSION

NAME	DATE	VERSION
Redacted Industries LLC	04/2025	1.0

SPARTA Project Charter

Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment

1. Overview

The **Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment (SPARTA)** project is an open, structured framework designed to provide **consistent**, **transparent**, and **real-world applicable testing standards** for **3D-printed firearm components** produced using **filament-based additive manufacturing (FDM)** technologies.

As 3D-printed firearms and components become increasingly viable through advancements in **materials**, **printer capabilities**, and **design methodologies**, the need for **credible, reproducible, and standardized testing methods** has grown significantly.
Unlike traditional firearms, where metallurgy and engineering standards are well established, printed firearms occupy a dynamic space where performance depends heavily on **material selection**, **print parameters**, **post-processing methods**, and **design integrity**.

SPARTA exists to meet this emerging need by offering a **community-driven, collaboratively maintained** framework for:

- Validating the **mechanical resilience**, **dimensional stability**, and **live-fire survivability** of printed firearm components.
- Providing **hobbyists, engineers, testers, and manufacturers** with **realistic**, **repeatable**, and **accessible** testing methodologies.
- Building a **transparent, evolving knowledge base** focused on **filament-based firearm component performance** under real-world stresses.

Unlike rigid regulatory frameworks, **SPARTA is intentionally designed to be open, iterative, and inclusive** — reflecting the spirit of the **3D2A community** and empowering individuals to **evaluate**, **improve**, and **innovate responsibly**.

The SPARTA framework draws inspiration from established testing and validation models in **cybersecurity (e.g., OWASP)**, **additive manufacturing standards**, and **traditional mechanical engineering practices**, but is purpose-built to address the **unique challenges and opportunities** presented by **printed firearms**.

Through **standardized testing procedures**, **transparent documentation**, and **open collaboration**, SPARTA seeks to **raise the level of professionalism, reliability, and safety** in the field of 3D-printed firearms — while **respecting the freedoms** and **innovative spirit** that define the **3D2A movement**.

2. Purpose

The **Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment (SPARTA)** project exists to provide a **professional, standardized methodology** for the **testing, evaluation, and documentation** of 3D-printed structural firearm components produced via filament-based additive manufacturing.

SPARTA's core objectives are to:

- **Establish a comprehensive, structured approach** to testing 3D-printed firearm parts, ensuring evaluations are **consistent**, **credible**, and **reproducible** across the community.
- **Promote open collaboration** by welcoming contributions from builders, testers, material scientists, engineers, researchers, and innovators within the broader **3D2A movement**.
- **Enable realistic and accessible testing methods** that can be performed using **prosumer- or hobbyist-grade equipment**, without requiring costly or industrial-only hardware.
- **Collect, verify, and transparently document** material and part performance under **real-world operational stresses**, including:
    - **Mechanical load testing** (flexural, tensile, and compression performance)
    - **Impact resistance evaluation** (drop, shock, and blunt force testing)
    - **Dimensional stability assessments** (accuracy and tolerance retention)
    - **Live-fire firearm operation validation** (cycling performance, material fatigue under firing stresses)
    - **Environmental exposure durability** (resistance to heat, cold, UV, and humidity)

SPARTA aims to **improve the safety, reliability, and long-term viability** of 3D-printed firearms by making **structured, openly available testing standards** accessible to builders, researchers, and developers across the 3D2A ecosystem.

By promoting **transparent evaluation**, **open knowledge sharing**, and **continuous improvement**, SPARTA supports the responsible growth and innovation of 3D-printed firearms as a practical, resilient technology for personal freedom and individual empowerment.

3. Scope

The **Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment (SPARTA)** framework defines a **structured methodology** for evaluating the **performance, durability, and reliability** of **3D-printed firearm components** produced through **filament-based additive manufacturing (FDM/FFF)** processes.

The scope of SPARTA encompasses all critical aspects required to ensure that printed firearm parts are tested under **realistic conditions** and evaluated according to **consistent, transparent, and repeatable standards**.
It addresses the **component types**, **materials**, **manufacturing processes**, and **testing conditions** necessary to create a comprehensive, practical framework for assessing the operational viability of printed firearms and their structural parts.

The scope of SPARTA includes the following dimensions:

3.1 Component Focus

SPARTA applies specifically to structural components that are critical to the safe, functional operation of a firearm. These are parts whose integrity directly affects the mechanical performance, load-bearing capability, cycling reliability, or safety of the firearm during live operation.

Components within the scope of SPARTA include, but are not limited to:

- Frames
  The foundational structural body that houses the fire control components, connects the upper receiver (where applicable), and provides the primary grip interface for the user.
- Lower Receivers
  The firearm component that holds the trigger group, buffer system (where applicable), magazine well, and other critical fire control or cycling elements.
- Upper Receivers
  The component that houses the bolt carrier group (BCG), barrel, and other parts critical to cycling and chambering rounds (where upper/lower receiver designs are modular).
- Rails, Locking Blocks, and Other High-Stress Operational Components
  Supportive or connecting components that endure significant mechanical stress during firing, recoil cycling, locking/unlocking sequences, or loading/unloading operations.

Only parts that serve a load-bearing, mechanical alignment, or critical firing system role are considered within scope for SPARTA testing.
Components that exist purely for cosmetic, ergonomic, or auxiliary purposes (such as grip textures, magazine baseplates, non-load-bearing covers, or accessories) are excluded from the scope unless explicitly addressed in future versions of the framework.

This clear definition ensures that SPARTA focuses on evaluating the resilience and reliability of components essential to the functional and safe operation of printed firearms.

3.2 Material Focus

SPARTA focuses exclusively on **filament-based materials** commonly used in **FDM/FFF additive manufacturing** for the production of **functional, structural firearm components**.

The materials currently included within the scope of SPARTA are:

- **ASA (Acrylonitrile Styrene Acrylate)**
  UV-resistant, weather-tolerant polymer with good impact strength and dimensional stability; often used for frames and outdoor-use components.

- **ABS (Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene)**
  Traditional engineering-grade polymer offering good impact resistance and mechanical strength; widely used in early 3D-printed firearm designs.

- **PETG (Polyethylene Terephthalate Glycol-Modified)**
  Tough, chemically resistant material with moderate heat resistance; used for certain frame and lower designs where flexibility and impact toughness are desired.

- **PLA+ (Modified Polylactic Acid)**
  A community-adopted material offering high printability, improved toughness over standard PLA, and acceptable mechanical performance for many production-use parts.
  While PLA+ exhibits lower heat resistance compared to engineering-grade polymers, it remains widely used across the 3D2A ecosystem for frames, lowers, and experimental designs due to its accessibility and reliability in proper configurations.

- **PA6/PA12 Nylon Variants (Unfilled)**
  High-toughness materials offering excellent impact resistance, flexibility, and fatigue tolerance; ideal for high-cycling parts subjected to significant mechanical loads.

- **Carbon Fiber Reinforced Nylons** *(e.g., NylonX, PAHT-CF)*
  Enhanced stiffness and strength through the addition of chopped carbon fiber; widely considered among the best available filament options for structural firearm components due to their excellent layer adhesion, thermal stability, and mechanical resilience.

- **Polycarbonate (PC and PC Blends)**
  High-strength, high-temperature materials offering exceptional toughness and impact resistance; suitable for high-pressure or heavy-use applications when printed under properly controlled conditions.

- **Glass-Filled Nylons**
  Stiff, high-modulus composites that provide superior heat resistance and dimensional stability at the cost of reduced flexibility compared to carbon fiber composites.

Additional filament formulations, including **advanced composites**, **high-temperature blends**, and **specialized high-performance polymers**, may be evaluated and included in future SPARTA versions as new materials become available and community testing expands.

All materials in scope must be **specifically formulated for FDM/FFF printing**, and must be **printed under conditions appropriate to their mechanical and thermal performance capabilities** as documented in the SPARTA Print Settings standards.

3.3 Manufacturing Focus

SPARTA testing and evaluation standards are built exclusively around **filament extrusion-based additive manufacturing methods**, specifically:

- **Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM)** and **Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF)** processes.

Parts must be produced using **consumer-grade**, **prosumer-grade**, or **professional-grade** FDM printers, following **industry best practices** for:

- Print quality
- Dimensional accuracy
- Material handling and drying
- Proper print orientation relative to expected load paths

The following manufacturing methods and materials are **explicitly excluded** from the current SPARTA standard:

- **Stereolithography (SLA)**, **Digital Light Processing (DLP)**, and other **resin-based additive manufacturing technologies**.
  Resin-printed components are not included in SPARTA testing unless specifically scoped in future revisions.
- **Flexible filament materials** such as **TPU (Thermoplastic Polyurethane)** and **TPE (Thermoplastic Elastomer)**.
  Flexibles are excluded unless separately defined for testing under specific flexible or shock-absorbing use cases.

All parts submitted for SPARTA testing must be:

- Produced with **correct filament-specific print settings** (as defined in the SPARTA Print Standard Guidelines).
- Manufactured under **controlled conditions** appropriate to the material's mechanical and thermal properties.
- Free from major print defects such as significant layer delamination, gross dimensional inaccuracies, or visible mechanical weaknesses.

This ensures that performance evaluations reflect **material and design resilience**, not preventable manufacturing defects, thereby maintaining **fairness**, **accuracy**, and **repeatability** across community testing efforts.

3.4 Testing Conditions

SPARTA defines **testing conditions** designed to simulate the **real-world mechanical, environmental, and operational stresses** encountered by functional 3D-printed firearm components during actual use.

Testing within the SPARTA framework must address the following categories:

3.4.1 Dimensional Analysis

Dimensional testing evaluates the **tolerance accuracy**, **print fidelity**, and **post-processing stability** of printed components, ensuring that parts conform to their intended specifications without significant shrinkage, warping, or deformation after cooling.

Key areas of dimensional analysis include:

- Tolerance verification against design specifications
- Measurement of shrinkage and warpage after printing
- Assessment of dimensional drift under environmental stresses

3.4.2 Mechanical Testing

Mechanical testing measures a component's ability to withstand static and dynamic mechanical loads representative of stresses encountered during firearm operation.

Required mechanical tests include:

- **Flexural Strength Testing**
    - Assessment of a part's ability to resist bending forces.
- **Tensile Strength Testing**
    - Measurement of resistance to pulling forces that could cause elongation or rupture.
- **Compression Load Testing**
    - Evaluation of a part’s capacity to withstand forces that compress or crush.

Tests may be conducted through accessible mechanical setups using hobbyist or prosumer-grade tools, provided that repeatability and consistent load application are maintained.

3.4.3 Drop and Impact Testing

Drop and impact testing assesses a part’s resistance to **blunt force trauma**, **accidental drops**, and **field handling stresses**.

Testing must simulate:

- Free-fall impacts onto hard surfaces (e.g., concrete or similar)
- Edge, corner, and flat-plane drop scenarios
- Analysis of resulting cosmetic and structural damage

Drop testing ensures that printed components retain functional integrity after handling stresses typical of real-world usage.

3.4.4 Environmental Aging
Environmental exposure testing evaluates a material's resilience when subjected to adverse environmental conditions over time.

Required environmental stress tests include:

- **UV Exposure**
    - Assessment of material degradation under prolonged ultraviolet light exposure.
- **High-Temperature Heat Soak**
    - Evaluation of dimensional and mechanical stability after extended exposure to elevated temperatures (e.g., inside a vehicle or direct sunlight conditions).
- **Cold Temperature Cycling**
    - Testing resilience to freezing temperatures and subsequent thermal cycling back to ambient conditions.
- **Humidity Exposure**
    - Assessment of moisture absorption and resulting dimensional or mechanical property changes.

Environmental aging tests help simulate real-world outdoor and storage conditions that printed firearms may encounter.

3.4.5 Live-Fire Operational Testing
Live-fire testing represents the most critical evaluation of a printed component's **real-world operational performance**.

Testing must include:

- **Cycling Reliability**
    - Ability to function reliably under repeated firing cycles without jams, failures, or material deformation.
- **Heat Buildup Management**
    - Evaluation of material performance under thermal stress generated by sustained firing sequences.
- **Material Fatigue and Failure Analysis**
    - Observation and recording of any cracks, warping, layer delamination, or other material failures resulting from live-fire stresses.

Live-fire testing is mandatory for any component intended for actual use in functioning firearms and must be conducted with appropriate safety precautions.

All SPARTA-defined tests are designed to be **repeatable**, **documentable**, and **accessible to hobbyist, prosumer, or professional practitioners**,
without requiring specialized laboratory-grade equipment.

This ensures that **community contributors** can participate meaningfully while maintaining a **credible, high-quality body of test data** for the advancement of printed firearm technology.

4. Testing Methodology

The SPARTA framework defines not only **what must be tested**, but also provides **guidance on how testing should be conducted** to ensure **consistency**, **repeatability**, and **accessibility** across all contributors and testers.

Testing under SPARTA must prioritize:

- **Realism**: Test setups should simulate real-world conditions faced by printed firearm components.
- **Repeatability**: Tests should be structured so that different testers, using similar tools and conditions, can achieve comparable results.
- **Accessibility**: Equipment and setups should be achievable with hobbyist, prosumer, or moderately priced professional tools, without requiring specialized industrial laboratory resources.

All testing activities must be **fully documented**, including tools used, environmental conditions, and test results, to ensure transparency and reproducibility across community efforts.

While minor variations in equipment or setup may occur, all testers are expected to adhere to the **spirit of standardization**, using consistent methods that enable meaningful comparison of results.

This ensures that SPARTA remains **practical**, **community-driven**, and **credible**, while maintaining **professional standards for data quality and documentation**.

4.1 General Testing Principles

All SPARTA testing must adhere to a defined set of **core principles** designed to ensure **consistency**, **accuracy**, **repeatability**, and **transparency** across all evaluations. These principles maintain the credibility of results, allow for meaningful comparisons between different tests, and support the broader goals of community-driven knowledge sharing.

Principle	Description
Controlled Conditions	Test environments (temperature, humidity, lighting) should be reasonably stable and recorded where possible.
Consistent Equipment	Tools used for measurements or tests must be consistent across evaluations (e.g., if using calipers, always use calipers for dimensional verification).
Standardized Procedures	Each test type (dimensional, mechanical, impact, environmental, live-fire) should follow structured, repeatable steps.
Document Everything	Record all equipment, settings, environmental factors, and procedures used for each test.
Accessible Tools	Tests should be executable with tools and setups reasonably available to serious hobbyists or prosumers.
Minimum Equipment Calibration	Measurement tools (e.g., calipers) should be checked against known standards where feasible to ensure measurement accuracy.

First, testing must be conducted under **controlled conditions** whenever feasible. Environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, and lighting should be reasonably stable, and any significant environmental conditions must be recorded as part of the test documentation. Maintaining controlled environments helps ensure that material performance results are attributable to material or design factors, not environmental anomalies.

Second, **consistent equipment** must be used across evaluations of the same material, component type, or test series. For example, if digital calipers are used for dimensional measurements in one set of tests, the same type of calipers (or a similarly calibrated equivalent) must be used in subsequent tests to avoid equipment-driven variability. Consistent use of measuring and testing tools is critical to maintaining repeatability across SPARTA data.

Third, all testing must follow **standardized procedures** for each test type. Whether conducting dimensional analysis, mechanical strength tests, drop and impact evaluations, environmental aging studies, or live-fire operational tests, the steps taken must be documented, consistent, and repeatable across tests. Where multiple methods exist to perform a test (e.g., DIY rigs versus commercially available machines), the method used must be clearly described, and care must be taken to maintain procedural consistency throughout the evaluation.

Fourth, **complete and thorough documentation** is mandatory for all testing. Testers must record all tools and equipment used (including brands and models where possible), environmental conditions, printer settings, part orientation, post-processing methods, detailed testing procedures, and any observed anomalies during the testing process. Complete documentation ensures that others can review, reproduce, and validate testing outcomes, reinforcing SPARTA's commitment to open and verifiable community testing.

Fifth, **accessible tools and setups** must be emphasized to keep SPARTA grounded in the reality of community-driven testing. While professional-grade equipment may be used when available, all required tests must be achievable using tools and methods that are reasonably accessible to serious hobbyists, prosumers, and small independent developers. Tests should not require access to specialized laboratory-exclusive devices or prohibitively expensive equipment.

Finally, **minimum equipment calibration practices** should be observed wherever possible. Measurement devices such as calipers, micrometers, and scales should be verified against known standards (e.g., calibration blocks, certified weights, precision rulers) at reasonable intervals to ensure measurement accuracy. If formal calibration is not possible, testers must record the tool specifications and document any known or observed deviations, maintaining transparency regarding measurement fidelity.

By adhering to these general testing principles, SPARTA ensures that all testing activities produce **credible**, **repeatable**, and **community-accessible results**, fostering trust, innovation, and continuous improvement across the 3D2A ecosystem.

4.2 Dimensional Analysis Setup

Purpose

The purpose of dimensional analysis is to **validate the accuracy and consistency** of printed firearm components compared to their original design specifications.
This ensures that critical features — such as frame width, pin hole placements, and magwell dimensions — meet intended tolerances for **proper firearm assembly**, **function**, and **safety**.

Even small dimensional inaccuracies can lead to operational issues such as misfeeds, improper pin retention, or structural failures under stress. Identifying these discrepancies early ensures reliable, safe parts.

Equipment Requirements

To perform dimensional analysis, testers should use:

- **Digital or mechanical calipers** with **±0.01 mm resolution** preferred.

Note: Affordable calipers with 0.01 mm resolution are widely available online and are sufficient for accurate measurements.

- **Micrometers** (optional) for measuring fine or tight-tolerance features (such as pin holes, barrel lugs, and trigger pockets).

Note: Micrometers offer more precise measurements than calipers but are not required unless evaluating very small or critical precision features.

- **Steel rulers or precision scales** for measuring larger dimensions such as overall frame length or rail distances.

Tip: A quality steel ruler with fine markings can measure larger dimensions when calipers cannot span the full distance.

Setup and Measurement Guidelines

To conduct dimensional analysis:

1. Select Critical Dimensions to Measure

Identify at least **three important features** of each part to measure.
Examples include:

- Magwell interior width
- Pin hole diameters (trigger, hammer, safety selector)
- Frame rail width
- Buffer tower thickness (for AR lowers)
- Slide rail slot dimensions (for handgun frames)

Tip: Focus on parts that align moving assemblies, retain pins, or control critical tolerances for operation.

2. Perform Measurements Carefully

Use calipers or micrometers to take precise measurements across the selected features:

- **Apply gentle pressure** — squeezing too hard with calipers can compress soft plastics and produce inaccurate readings.
- **Measure consistently** — always measure across the same reference points (e.g., center-to-center on pin holes, true flat planes on rails).

3. Record Both the Design Specification and Measured Values

Document for each measurement:

- The intended ("design") measurement from the CAD file, blueprint, or verified reference part.
- The actual measurement taken from the printed part.

Example table format:

Feature	**Design Size (mm)**	**Measured Size (mm)**	**Deviation (%)**
Magwell Width	13.00 mm	13.12 mm	+0.92%

4. Evaluate Deviations

Note any deviation greater than **±1%** of the intended design size as a **potential dimensional failure**.

- Parts exceeding ±1% variation may cause operational problems or fail subsequent mechanical or live-fire testing.
- Minor deviations under 1% are generally acceptable for FDM-printed firearm components, especially on non-critical cosmetic surfaces.

5. Measure at Ambient Room Temperature

All measurements must be taken at **room temperature** (68–78°F / 20–26°C).

- FDM-printed parts can expand or contract with temperature.
- Avoid measuring immediately after printing or after environmental exposure until part reaches ambient conditions.

Additional Dimensional Checks

In addition to verifying individual critical dimensions, testers should also check:

Surface Flatness and Warping

Check that critical flat surfaces — such as frame rails, locking block seats, or buffer tower faces — are not warped.

Tip: Place the part on a known flat surface (e.g., glass plate, machinist plate). Shine a light from behind: visible gaps indicate warping.
Minor warps may be tolerable; document any significant deviations.

Hole Alignment

For components with multiple critical holes (e.g., AR-15 trigger and hammer pin holes), ensure:

- Holes are positioned correctly relative to one another.
- A straight rod, dowel pin, or appropriately sized drill bit can pass through aligned holes smoothly.

Tip: Misalignment can cause trigger group malfunctions even if hole diameters measure correctly.

Out-of-Plane Distortion ("Twist")

Ensure that parts designed to be flat across two or more planes are not twisted or torqued:

- Lay the part lightly on a flat surface.
- Gently press corners — rocking or lifting at opposite corners may indicate distortion.
- Document any twisting observed that could affect part function.

Post-Processing Dimensional Changes

If a printed part undergoes **annealing** or **thermal post-processing** (common with nylon-based or carbon fiber filaments):

- All dimensional measurements must be taken **after post-processing** is complete.
- Document the post-processing method (time, temperature, cooling method) as part of the testing notes.

Tip: Annealing can cause shrinkage or slight warping — dimensional analysis must reflect the final usable state of the part.

Practical Notes

- 🔹 **If no CAD file is available:**
  Use a known-good printed part or verified OEM factory part for baseline dimensions.
- 🔹 **Measuring Round Features (like pin holes):**
  Measure in two perpendicular directions (horizontal and vertical) and average the results if necessary.
- 🔹 **Printer Tuning Matters:**
  Consistent oversizing across multiple measurements may suggest an extrusion multiplier (flow rate) calibration issue.
- 🔹 **Focus on Functional Dimensions:**
  Perfect cosmetic measurements are less important. Prioritize alignment, fitment, and strength-critical features.

Dimensional analysis ensures that printed firearm components meet the critical tolerances needed for safe, reliable, and effective operation.

By carefully selecting measurement points, using appropriate tools, recording deviations, and checking for warping, hole alignment, and twist, testers can build a comprehensive profile of part quality.
All data should be documented clearly and shared where possible to strengthen the growing body of community knowledge and drive continuous improvement in printed firearm technology.

Dimensional Analysis Checklist

Step	Description	Completed? (✓)
1. Critical Features Selected	Selected at least 3+ key dimensions (e.g., magwell width, pin hole diameters, rail thickness).	
2. Tools Prepared	Calipers (±0.01mm), micrometer (optional), steel ruler ready and checked for accuracy.	
3. Ambient Temperature Verified	Testing performed between 68–78°F (20–26°C).	
4. Consistent Measurement Technique Used	Gentle caliper pressure, consistent measuring points across all tests.	
5. Design Specifications Obtained	CAD file dimensions or verified reference dimensions available.	
6. Actual Measurements Taken and Recorded	Measurements entered into tracking table (see below).	
7. Deviation Calculated	Deviation percentage calculated for each feature.	
8. Deviations Evaluated	Any feature exceeding ±1% deviation flagged for review/failure.	
9. Flatness / Warping Checked	Critical flat surfaces inspected for warping (document findings).	
10. Hole Alignment Checked	Multiple-hole alignment verified with pin/rod (document findings).	
11. Out-of-Plane Distortion (Twist) Checked	Rocking/twisting checked on flat surface (document findings).	
12. Post-Processing Documented	If annealing/post-process applied, dimensions taken after completion.	
13. Full Documentation Completed	Tools, settings, environment, anomalies all recorded.	

Dimensional Analysis Tracking Template

Feature Measured	Design Size (mm)	Actual Size (mm)	Deviation (%)	Pass/Fail (±1%)	Notes (e.g., warp, twist, alignment)
Magwell Width	13.00 mm	13.12 mm	+0.92%	Pass	-
Trigger Pin Hole Diameter	5.00 mm	5.10 mm	+2.00%	Fail	Oversize pin hole
Frame Rail Width	7.50 mm	7.47 mm	-0.40%	Pass	-
Buffer Tower Flatness	N/A	Slight light gap	N/A	Pass (minor)	Minor warp observed

4.3 Mechanical Testing Setup

Purpose

The purpose of mechanical testing is to assess a printed component's resistance to real-world mechanical forces under tension (pulling forces), flexure (bending forces), and compression (crushing forces).

These tests simulate the types of mechanical stresses a firearm part would encounter during assembly, handling, operation, and recoil.

Understanding how a printed component behaves under mechanical load is critical for predicting its long-term resilience and functional reliability.

Equipment Requirements

Mechanical tests must be conducted using accessible setups that simulate professional testing conditions:

- **DIY Tensile Testing Rigs**
  Using pulley systems, hanging weights, or turnbuckles to apply gradual tension forces to test coupons or structural features.

- **Lever Arm Flexural Test Setups**
  Three-point bending setups are preferred where achievable — where the part is supported at two points and loaded in the center to test bending strength.

- **Static Compression Rigs**
  Using weighted plates, hydraulic presses, or mechanical screw presses to apply controlled compression forces onto the part.

Tip: Lever arms can be built from steel rods, aluminum bars, or hardwood beams. Gym weights and basic pulleys can serve as force sources in many cases.

Setup and Execution Guidelines

To conduct mechanical testing under SPARTA standards:

1. Use Consistently Weighted Loads

- Use known, consistent weights — measured with a precision scale if possible.
- If using pulley or lever systems, account for any mechanical advantage or friction losses.
- Gradually increase load during testing in small, consistent increments.

Tip: Pre-weigh all weights used in tests to ensure reliable force measurement.

2. Apply Forces Gradually

- All forces must be applied smoothly and steadily.
- Avoid shock loading (sudden drops or jerks) unless performing specific impact testing (covered in Section 4.4).
- Allow the material to distribute stress normally during the loading process.

- Gradual force application should achieve full load over approximately 5 to 10 seconds.

3. Document Maximum Load Sustained

- Record the maximum load the part sustained before any of the following conditions:
  - Visible deformation
  - Yielding (permanent bending, stretching)
  - Cracking
  - Catastrophic failure (complete fracture)
- If deformation occurs without immediate failure, document both the load at deformation and the load at ultimate failure separately.
- Record the failure mode observed, if applicable:
  - Brittle fracture
  - Ductile stretching
  - Layer delamination
  - Shear tearing
  - Crack propagation

Tip: Video recording of mechanical tests can be used to capture precise failure events if available.

4. Record Load Application Method and Orientation

- Document the method of force application (e.g., hanging weights, screw press, hydraulic ram).
- Document the specific points of force attachment, support points, and exact orientation of the part (vertical, horizontal, suspended).

Note: Orientation and attachment points can significantly affect load distribution and results, especially for anisotropic FDM parts where layer adhesion plays a critical role.

5. Safety Considerations

- Eye protection (ANSI Z87.1+ rated) must be worn at all times during mechanical testing.
- Hand protection (cut-resistant gloves) is recommended when handling parts during or after testing.
- Whenever feasible, shield the test area with plywood sheets, polycarbonate blast panels, or other physical barriers to protect testers and bystanders from sudden fragment release during failure events.

Tip: Simple barrier boxes or blast curtains can be constructed from readily available materials.

6. Calibration and Environmental Notes

- Whenever possible, verify the calibration of measurement tools and load sources (e.g., scales, hydraulic gauges).
- Record ambient environmental conditions (temperature, humidity) if they deviate significantly from standard room temperature (68–78°F / 20–26°C).

Tip: Extremely hot, cold, or humid conditions can affect the mechanical properties of FDM materials and should be noted for data accuracy.

Practical Execution Notes

- 🔹 **Load Increments:**
  Record load increases in consistent steps (e.g., 5 lb, 10 lb) to better document gradual yielding behaviors.
- 🔹 **Coupon Testing:**
  Use standardized tensile bar prints (e.g., ASTM D638 Type IV) for material baseline strength comparisons without needing to destroy full structural parts.
- 🔹 **Full Part Testing:**
  Critical structural areas (e.g., AR lower buffer tower, handgun magwell sidewalls) should be directly tested on completed parts where possible to assess real-world operational durability.
- 🔹 **Force Application Rate:**
  Apply load over approximately 5–10 seconds when increasing forces to final yield levels to simulate realistic operational stresses.
- 🔹 **Test Repetition:**
  Where feasible, perform at least two to three repeated tests per material and print configuration to identify inconsistencies and improve result reliability.
  If only a single test is performed, this limitation must be clearly noted in the test documentation.

Mechanical testing ensures that printed firearm components demonstrate adequate strength and durability under realistic forces encountered during handling, assembly, and firing.
Gradual loading, complete documentation, consistent setups, safe execution, calibration verification, and repeated testing where feasible allow testers to produce credible, repeatable mechanical performance data without the need for specialized laboratory equipment.
This strengthens the resilience and reliability of printed firearms across the community, while supporting material, print, and design innovation under a structured, standardized framework.

SPARTA Mechanical Testing Checklist

Mechanical Testing Checklist

Step	Description	Completed? (✓)
1. Critical Test Areas Selected	Specific structural features identified for mechanical testing (e.g., magwell sidewalls, buffer tower, coupon samples).	
2. Tools and Rigs Prepared	Tensile, flexural, or compression setup constructed, verified for safety and consistency.	
3. Calibration Verified	Weights, scales, or hydraulic gauges checked for accuracy.	
4. Ambient Environment Verified	Ambient conditions checked (68–78°F preferred); extreme conditions noted.	
5. Controlled Load Application Planned	Plan for gradual load increase (5–10 second application) without shock loading.	
6. Initial Measurements Taken	Baseline condition of part documented (dimensions, visible flaws, etc.).	
7. Gradual Force Applied and Monitored	Load applied smoothly, recorded in consistent increments.	
8. Maximum Load at Deformation Recorded	Load where visible permanent deformation occurred documented.	
9. Maximum Load at Catastrophic Failure Recorded	Load at which complete part failure (fracture, delamination) occurred documented.	
10. Failure Mode Documented	Observed failure type recorded (brittle, ductile, layer delamination, etc.).	
11. Load Application Method and Orientation Documented	Method (weights, press, pulley) and orientation (vertical, horizontal, suspended) clearly recorded.	
12. Safety Measures Verified and Used	Safety glasses, gloves, and physical barriers used during all testing.	
13. Test Repetition Performed (if applicable)	If possible, 2–3 repetitions conducted for each part/material for consistency check.	

Step	Description	Completed? (✓)
14. Full Documentation Completed	All loads, failures, environmental conditions, equipment, and observations recorded clearly.	

SPARTA Mechanical Test Result Tracking Template

Mechanical Testing Result Tracker

Feature/Area Tested	Test Type (Tension/Flexure/Compression)	Max Load at Deformation (lbs/kg)	Max Load at Failure (lbs/kg)	Failure Mode	Orientation	Load Application Method	Notes
Buffer Tower	Compression	150 lbs	180 lbs	Brittle snap	Vertical	Screw press	Slight warp before failure
Magwell Sidewall	Flexural (3-Point Bend)	60 lbs	70 lbs	Layer delamination	Horizontal	Lever arm	Deformation began near mag release
ASTM D638 Coupon	Tension	90 lbs	95 lbs	Ductile stretching	Vertical	Hanging weights	Gradual elongation before snap

4.4 Drop and Impact Testing Setup

Purpose

The purpose of drop and impact testing is to simulate real-world handling incidents, blunt-force stresses, and accidental drops that printed firearm components may experience during regular use, assembly, field carry, or operational environments.
Drop and impact tests allow assessment of a component's ability to withstand sudden, high-stress events without catastrophic failure or critical functional degradation.

Equipment Requirements

Drop and impact tests must be conducted using accessible, repeatable setups:

- **Standardized Drop Surface**
  A consistent surface such as a poured concrete slab, thick steel plate, or durable masonry surface.

- **Measuring Tape or Fixed Height Marker**
  Used to ensure all drops occur from the intended, consistent height above the surface.

- **Simple Drop Fixtures or Manual Hand Drops**
  Parts may be dropped manually or via simple fixtures (e.g., handheld clamps, small frames) to ensure consistency.

Tip: Fixtures improve consistency, but manual drops are acceptable if drop height and orientation are properly controlled and documented.

Setup and Execution Guidelines

To conduct drop and impact testing under SPARTA standards:

1. Standard Drop Height

- All parts must be dropped from a height of at least **1.5 meters** (approximately **5 feet**) above the standardized drop surface.
- Drop height should be measured from the lowest point of the part to the impact surface.

Tip: Use a clearly marked measuring tape or marked pole to maintain consistent drop height across all tests.

2. Surface Type Documentation

- Drops must be performed onto a non-yielding, high-hardness surface such as concrete or thick steel.
- The surface material must be recorded for each test.
- If different surfaces are tested (e.g., concrete vs asphalt), each must be documented separately.

3. Orientation-Specific Drops

Each part must undergo drops on multiple orientations, simulating realistic fall scenarios:

- **Corner Drop:**
  Drop so that a leading corner or sharp feature contacts the surface first.
- **Edge Drop:**
  Drop so that a major part edge (e.g., magwell edge, rail edge) contacts the surface.
- **Flat Surface Drop:**
  Drop so that a broad face (e.g., frame sidewall, lower receiver top plate) contacts the surface flatly.

Tip: Use light chalk marks or reference diagrams to track intended impact faces and rotation control.

4. Controlled Drop Execution

- Drops should be as vertical and controlled as practical.
- Avoid intentionally adding spin, rotation, or push unless a specific rotational test is being conducted.
- If a fixture is used, ensure release is clean without added motion.

5. Damage Assessment and Documentation

For each drop:

- Record the following:
  - Drop height
  - Surface material
  - Orientation of the part (corner, edge, flat)
  - Exact point of first impact (if observed)
  - Description of any visible damage:
    - Surface scuffs or scratches
    - Cracks
    - Deformation
    - Fracture or delamination
- Assess and record whether the part:
  - Remains structurally sound with no operational degradation
  - Sustains cosmetic-only damage
  - Sustains minor structural damage but remains functional
  - Experiences critical failure (part unusable or unsafe)

Tip: Close-up photographs after each drop are recommended and should be referenced in the test documentation if available.

6. Cumulative Damage Tracking

- After each drop, record whether observed damage is:
  - New (result of the most recent drop), or
  - Cumulative (progressive worsening of previous damage)
- This allows tracking how structural integrity deteriorates across multiple impacts.

Practical Execution Notes

- 🔹 **Drop Repetition:**
  Perform at least three drops per orientation if possible to observe consistency of behavior.
  If only a single drop is performed, clearly document this limitation.

- 🔹 **Environmental Considerations:**
  Drops should be performed at ambient temperatures.
  If parts are frozen or heated for environmental exposure testing, record the temperature and conditions.

- 🔹 **Part Handling Between Drops:**
  After each drop, inspect and photograph the part before proceeding to the next orientation to accurately track cumulative damage progression.

- 🔹 **Functional Checks:**
  If feasible, perform basic post-drop function checks (e.g., pin fitment, magwell fit, upper/lower fit) to determine if operational capability is affected.

- 🔹 **Safety Equipment:**
  Eye protection (ANSI Z87.1+) and hand protection (cut-resistant gloves) should be worn during drop testing to protect against potential sharp edges, bouncing fragments, or sudden material failures.

Drop and impact testing under SPARTA standards ensures that printed firearm components can withstand the sudden stresses encountered during real-world handling, deployment, or accidental drops.
By controlling drop height, surface material, impact orientation, and documenting all damage, cumulative effects, and functional degradation carefully, testers provide credible, repeatable data on the blunt-force resilience of printed parts.
This supports the responsible advancement of safe, reliable 3D-printed firearm technologies within the broader 3D2A community.

SPARTA Drop and Impact Testing Checklist (Section 4.4)

Drop and Impact Testing Checklist

Step	Description	Completed? (✓)
1. Part Selected and Pre-Inspected	Part chosen and visually inspected for any pre-existing defects before testing.	
2. Drop Surface Prepared and Verified	Concrete slab, steel plate, or similar non-yielding surface selected and documented.	
3. Drop Height Measured and Marked	Drop height set to at least 1.5 meters (5 feet) using a measuring tape or fixed marker.	
4. Orientation Planned	Drop orientations (corner, edge, flat) selected and noted prior to testing.	
5. Safety Equipment Used	Eye protection and hand protection worn during all drop events.	
6. Controlled Drop Method Executed	Drops performed cleanly (vertical, no spin unless specified) using fixture or careful hand release.	
7. Drop Event Documented	For each drop, recorded: height, surface, orientation, first impact point, and immediate damage observed.	
8. Damage Assessed After Each Drop	Recorded if damage is new or cumulative. Documented damage type and severity.	
9. Functional Checks Performed (If Applicable)	Checked fitment or operational functionality after drop series, if possible.	
10. Cumulative Damage Tracked	Recorded progression of damage across multiple drops on the same part.	
11. Environmental Conditions Documented	Ambient temperature and any extreme environmental factors recorded if relevant.	
12. Test Repetition Conducted (If Applicable)	If feasible, three drops per orientation performed; single drop noted if only one conducted.	
13. Photographic Evidence Collected (Optional but Recommended)	Photographs taken after each drop to document damage progression visually.	

Step	Description	Completed? (✅)
14. Complete Documentation Compiled	All data, damage descriptions, photos (if available), and conditions recorded fully.	

SPARTA Drop and Impact Test Result Tracking Template

Drop and Impact Test Result Tracker

Drop #	Drop Orientation (Corner/Edge/Flat)	Surface Type	Drop Height (m)	First Impact Point	Damage Observed	Cumulative or New?	Operational Status	Notes
1	Corner	Concrete	1.5 m	Front rail corner	Small chip	New	Fully functional	No cracks visible
2	Edge	Concrete	1.5 m	Magwell side	Hairline crack	New	Minor functional concern	Crack near magwell
3	Flat	Concrete	1.5 m	Frame sidewall	Expanded existing crack	Cumulative	Still functional	Crack grew 5mm

4.5 Environmental Testing Setup

Purpose

The purpose of environmental testing is to assess how printed firearm components degrade, deform, or fail when subjected to common environmental stresses such as ultraviolet (UV) radiation, elevated temperatures, freezing temperatures, and high humidity.
These tests simulate real-world conditions that components may encounter during storage, transportation, deployment, or field use.

Environmental testing helps ensure that printed parts maintain mechanical strength, dimensional stability, and operational functionality after prolonged or extreme exposure events.

Equipment Requirements

Environmental tests should be conducted using accessible tools capable of replicating real-world stress conditions:

- **UV Light Boxes**
  Commercially available UV curing chambers, reptile UV lamps, or DIY UV enclosures for sustained exposure.

- **Heat Soak Environments**
  Heat boxes, environmental ovens, or interior spaces of parked vehicles capable of reaching elevated temperatures (e.g., 140°F / 60°C or higher).

- **Freezers for Cold Cycling**
  Household freezers capable of maintaining consistent freezing temperatures (0°F to -10°F / -18°C to -23°C) for cold-soak cycles.

- **Hygrometers and Humidifiers**
  Instruments to monitor and control humidity levels for high-humidity exposure testing.

Tip: Use data loggers or affordable temperature and humidity monitors to verify environmental consistency during long exposure periods.

Setup and Execution Guidelines

To conduct environmental testing under SPARTA standards:

1. UV Exposure Testing

- Expose parts to continuous UV light at close range for a minimum of **24 continuous hours**.

- Position parts 10–30 cm away from the light source depending on intensity.

- If using natural sunlight, record exposure time and weather conditions carefully.

- Rotate parts periodically to ensure even exposure if light sources are directional.

Tip: Using UVB and UVA combined sources more accurately simulates solar exposure effects.

2. Heat Soak Testing

- Place parts into an environment reaching a target temperature of approximately **140°F (60°C)** or higher.
- Maintain the target temperature for a continuous period of **at least 4 to 8 hours**.
- Monitor internal enclosure temperature periodically with a thermometer or data logger.
- Record temperature achieved and total exposure time.

Tip: Placing parts inside a parked vehicle under sunlight is a simple method for achieving practical heat soak conditions if a controlled heat chamber is not available.

3. Cold Cycle Testing

- Place parts in a freezer environment maintained at freezing temperatures (0°F / -18°C or lower) for at least **8 hours**.
- After the cold exposure period, immediately remove parts and allow them to thaw gradually back to ambient room temperature.
- Do not accelerate thawing with direct heat sources (hair dryers, heat guns) unless specifically testing for thermal shock response.

4. Humidity Exposure Testing

- Subject parts to high-humidity environments (80%+ relative humidity recommended) for a minimum of **24 continuous hours**.
- Hygrometers should be used to monitor relative humidity levels throughout the exposure.
- Parts can be placed inside plastic containers with wet sponges or inside humidified enclosures.

Tip: Seal containers with a small air gap for air exchange if using passive humidification methods.

Damage Assessment and Documentation

After each environmental exposure cycle:

- Visually inspect and document any of the following:
  - Surface discoloration
  - Warping
  - Cracking
  - Softening or embrittlement
  - Delamination between layers
  - Any visible mechanical deformation
- Specifically assess parts for signs of **embrittlement** (e.g., brittle snapping, loss of flexibility) after UV and heat exposure cycles.

- Record the following for each test cycle:
    - Exposure type (UV, heat, cold, humidity)
    - Exposure duration
    - Environmental conditions (measured temperature, humidity, UV intensity if available)
    - Observed changes compared to pre-exposure condition

Tip: Photographs before and after environmental cycles are strongly recommended to provide visual documentation of material condition changes.

Practical Execution Notes

- 🔹 **Sequential Exposure Testing:**
  Components may optionally be subjected to multiple environmental stress cycles (e.g., UV exposure followed by heat soak, then cold cycle) to simulate prolonged field abuse. Document each stage separately if performed.

- 🔹 **Control Parts:**
  Where possible, retain unexposed control parts printed with the same material and settings for side-by-side comparison after exposure testing.

- 🔹 **Functional Testing After Exposure:**
  Perform basic mechanical or fitment checks post-exposure to determine if operational performance has been degraded.

- 🔹 **Environmental Logger Use:**
  Affordable temperature and humidity loggers (USB or Bluetooth models) are encouraged to continuously verify environmental conditions during tests.

- 🔹 **Safety During Environmental Testing:**
  Eye protection should be worn when working near UV light sources.
  Avoid prolonged direct skin exposure to UV lamps.
  Use gloves or insulated tools when handling parts after heat soak testing to avoid burns.

Environmental testing under SPARTA standards ensures that printed firearm components maintain their strength, dimensional stability, and functionality after exposure to real-world environmental stresses. Through controlled UV exposure, heat soak, cold cycling, and humidity testing, builders can assess the long-term durability of different materials and prints under practical operating conditions.
Consistent documentation, condition tracking, cumulative damage observation, and safety practices help strengthen the community's understanding of material resilience and support the development of more robust printed firearm designs.

SPARTA Environmental Testing Checklist

Environmental Testing Checklist

Step	**Description**	**Completed? (✅)**
1. Part Selected and Pre-Inspected	Part selected and visually inspected for pre-existing defects or irregularities.	
2. Environmental Equipment Prepared	UV source, heat source, freezer, humidity system prepared and verified operational.	
3. Environmental Conditions Measured	Confirmed environmental parameters: UV intensity, temperature, humidity as applicable.	
4. UV Exposure Setup Completed	Part positioned at correct UV distance and exposed for at least 24 continuous hours.	
5. Heat Soak Setup Completed	Part exposed to sustained heat of approximately 140°F (60°C) for 4–8+ hours.	
6. Cold Cycle Setup Completed	Part frozen at 0°F / -18°C or lower for at least 8 hours, then thawed slowly to ambient.	
7. Humidity Exposure Setup Completed	Part placed in 80%+ RH humidity for at least 24 continuous hours.	
8. Sequential Exposure (Optional)	If performing sequential exposures (UV → heat → cold, etc.), stages documented separately.	
9. Safety Equipment Used	Eye protection used for UV exposure; gloves used for handling hot parts.	
10. Post-Exposure Damage Inspection Performed	After each exposure, inspected for: warping, cracking, discoloration, embrittlement, softening, delamination.	
11. Embrittlement Check Performed	Checked flexibility and integrity of part after UV and heat exposure stages.	
12. Functional Testing After Exposure (If Applicable)	Checked operational fitment or mechanical integrity after exposure cycles.	
13. Control Part Comparison (If Available)	Compared exposed part to unexposed control part if available.	

Step	Description	Completed? (✅)
14. Complete Documentation Compiled	Environmental conditions, exposure times, damage findings, photos (if available) fully recorded.	

SPARTA Environmental Test Result Tracking Template

Environmental Testing Result Tracker

Exposure Type (UV/Heat/Cold/Humidity)	Exposure Duration	Temperature (°F/°C) or RH (%)	Observed Damage	Embrittlement Observed?	Functional Check Result	Notes
UV	24 hours	N/A	Surface yellowing, minor cracks	No	Fully functional	Slight discoloration on magwell walls
Heat Soak	6 hours	145°F (63°C)	Slight warping	Yes	Magwell loose fit	Frame sidewall bowed inward
Cold Cycle	8 hours	-10°F (-23°C)	No visible damage	No	Fully functional	None
Humidity	24 hours	85% RH	Minor softening around pin holes	No	Minor fit issue	Part slightly more flexible

4.6 Live-Fire Testing Setup

Purpose

The purpose of live-fire testing is to validate the **operational performance, material resilience, and structural integrity** of printed firearm components under real-world firing conditions.
Live-fire evaluations are the ultimate verification of a part's functional reliability and survivability under the dynamic stresses of cycling, recoil, combustion gas pressure, heat buildup, and repeated mechanical shock.

Proper live-fire testing identifies whether a printed component maintains operational reliability, resists deformation or cracking, and withstands extended firing without critical failure.

Equipment Requirements

Live-fire tests should be conducted using accessible, professional-grade tools and safety equipment:

- **Fully Functioning Firearm Assemblies**
  Complete, fully functional firearms built using the printed component(s) under test.
- **Standard Factory Ammunition Loads**
  Use commercially available, standard-pressure ammunition appropriate to the firearm platform.
  Do not use reloaded, overpressure (+P, +P+) rounds unless explicitly part of a stress-test profile.
- **Chronograph (Optional)**
  For measuring muzzle velocity and identifying any significant performance deviations compared to known factory standards.
- **Safety Gear**
  - Eye protection (ANSI Z87.1+ impact-rated glasses)
  - Hand protection (cut-resistant, padded gloves recommended)
  - Remote firing rigs or remote pull-cord triggers are strongly encouraged for initial high-risk testing phases.
- **Firearm Maintenance Supplies**
  Cleaning kits and lubrication tools available for mid-test inspections if needed.

Tip: Remote firing setups can be as simple as heavy-duty clamps and remote mechanical triggers or can be more sophisticated (e.g., heavy steel enclosures and remote actuation mechanisms).

Setup and Execution Guidelines

To conduct live-fire testing under SPARTA standards:

1. Assembly Inspection Before Testing

- Confirm that the printed part is installed properly, securely, and free of visible defects before live-fire.
- Confirm critical interfaces (e.g., slide-to-frame fit, upper-to-lower fit) are aligned and functional.
- Check that all pins, lugs, and structural connections are tight and secure.

2. Initial Proof-Fire (Optional but Recommended)

- Conduct initial proof-fire(s) with remote trigger actuation if possible.
- Fire 5–10 rounds observing for immediate critical failures, deformation, or cracking before proceeding to full test cycles.

3. Standard Live-Fire Procedure

- Conduct live-fire sessions with appropriate safety practices in place.
- Fire in controlled strings (e.g., 5 to 10 rounds per string) while inspecting for damage, cracking, or deformation between strings.
- Record any failure types observed during testing, including:
    - Failure to feed (FTF)
    - Failure to eject (FTE)
    - Failure to fire (light strikes)
    - Material cracking, melting, or warping
    - Structural delamination
    - Complete mechanical failure (e.g., part separation, shattered components)

4. Round Count Minimums

SPARTA recommends the following minimum round counts for a baseline evaluation:

- **AR-15/AR-9 Lower Receivers:**
  300 rounds minimum, including at least two sustained rapid-fire strings (15–30 rounds).
- **Glock-Style Frames (9mm, .40 S&W, etc.):**
  200 rounds minimum, including mixed magazine loading (full loads and partials).
- **Other Pistol Frames:**
  150–200 rounds depending on platform.
- **Other Rifle/SMG Platforms:**
  250–300 rounds recommended for general durability testing.

Tip: Round counts can be extended beyond minimums for extended durability testing, endurance challenges, or stress cycling experiments.

5. Damage and Deformation Monitoring

After every 50–100 rounds fired (or after any observed malfunction):

- Inspect and document:
    - Surface heat deformation (softening, melting)
    - Fine cracks or stress lines forming near high-stress areas (buffer tower, locking blocks, rails)

    - Pin walking or loosening
    - Component loosening or mechanical slop
- Conduct physical flex tests (by hand) if safe to do so after cooling to ambient temperatures.

6. Post-Test Final Inspection

After completing the full firing cycle:

- Disassemble the firearm carefully.
- Visually inspect all printed components for:
    - Cracks, warping, or heat damage
    - Layer separation or delamination
    - Dimensional drift or fitment loss
- Document any structural changes compared to pre-test condition.

Tip: If possible, measure critical dimensions post-firing to assess any permanent dimensional deformation.

7. Functional Assessment After Testing

- Confirm that the firearm still cycles manually (e.g., rack slide, charge bolt, dry fire) after live-fire exposure.
- Perform magazine fitment checks, trigger reset tests, and other basic mechanical validations.

Practical Execution Notes

- 🔹 **Remote Firing Strongly Encouraged for Initial Strings:**
  Remote firing or heavy shielding is advised for the first 5–10 rounds whenever testing a new material or design variant to mitigate personal risk from catastrophic failure.
- 🔹 **Controlled Firing Rates:**
  Start with moderate, paced strings. Full rapid fire is only recommended after initial safe baseline performance is observed.
- 🔹 **Ammo Consistency:**
  Use factory new, consistent ammunition lots where possible to prevent external ammo variables from impacting test consistency.
- 🔹 **Functional Anomalies:**
  Any consistent mechanical failures (FTF, FTE, light strikes) must be carefully investigated to determine if related to print dimensional shifts, heat deformation, or material fatigue.
- 🔹 **Mid-Test Cooling (If Needed):**
  If parts become visibly soft, deformed, or extremely hot, allow cooldown periods between strings to simulate realistic field conditions.

Live-fire testing under SPARTA standards ensures that printed firearm components demonstrate **operational durability**, **material resilience**, and **safe functionality** under real-world firing stresses.
By controlling test conditions, monitoring part integrity throughout the firing cycle, recording failures, and adhering to proper safety practices, testers produce credible, valuable data on real-world firearm component performance.
Live-fire validation is critical for advancing safe, reliable printed firearm designs and maintaining responsible innovation within the 3D2A community.

SPARTA Live-Fire Testing Checklist

Live-Fire Testing Checklist

Step	Description	Completed? (✓)
1. Printed Part Inspected Before Testing	Full visual and physical inspection of the printed part for cracks, warping, loose features, dimensional issues.	
2. Firearm Assembly Verified	Printed part installed correctly with proper fitment; pins, locking blocks, buffer towers, and slides inspected and secured.	
3. Safety Equipment Worn	Eye protection (impact-rated glasses) and hand protection (cut-resistant gloves) worn during all live-fire activities.	
4. Remote Firing Setup (Recommended)	If possible, setup for initial remote actuation or physical barriers for first proof-fire shots.	
5. Ammunition Verified	Factory new, standard-pressure ammunition selected for testing. Reloads and +P loads avoided unless specifically testing for stress.	
6. Initial Proof-Fire Conducted (Recommended)	Fired 5–10 rounds remotely or cautiously to observe immediate critical failures before continuing to full cycle testing.	
7. Controlled Fire Strings Executed	Fired in controlled 5–10 round strings with interim inspections after each string or 50–100 rounds fired.	
8. Cycling Failures Recorded	Any failures to feed (FTF), failures to eject (FTE), light strikes, jams, or unusual cycling documented as they occur.	
9. Heat/Deformation Checks During Test	Monitored part integrity for surface softening, warping, or delamination between firing strings.	
10. Minimum Round Count Achieved	Fired minimum rounds per platform: AR-15 Lower (300+), Glock Frame (200+), etc., as appropriate.	
11. Functional Checks During Test	Ensured manual cycling, magazine fit, and bolt/slide function throughout the firing cycle.	

Step	Description	Completed? (✅)
12. Mid-Test Cooling (If Needed)	Allowed parts to cool down between strings if extreme heat buildup was observed.	
13. Final Disassembly and Inspection	Fully disassembled firearm post-test to inspect printed component for cracks, warping, layer delamination, or dimensional shift.	
14. Final Manual Functional Check	Post-test dry-cycling, magazine fitment, trigger pull and reset tested after live-fire to verify continued function.	
15. Complete Documentation Compiled	Round counts, failures, damage notes, environmental conditions, and final status documented fully.	

SPARTA Live-Fire Test Result Tracking Template

Live-Fire Testing Result Tracker

Firing Session #	Rounds Fired This Session	Cumulative Rounds	Observed Failures	Damage Observed	Heat Deformation?	Functional Check Status	Notes
1	10	10	None	None	No	Fully functional	Initial proof-fire complete.
2	20	30	1 Failure to Feed	Minor magwell flex observed	No	Still functional	Cooling period taken.
3	50	80	None	Hairline rail crack developing	Slight softening	Functional but needs monitoring	
4	100	180	2 Failures to Eject	Crack extended	Moderate	Slight magazine looseness	Cooling mid-session.
5	120	300	None	Critical buffer tower crack	Yes	Functional failure likely	Testing ended for safety.

5. Intended Users

The Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment (SPARTA) framework is designed to support a wide range of individuals and organizations engaged in the development, evaluation, and advancement of 3D-printed firearm components.

SPARTA is intended for use by:

- **3D Firearm Builders**
  Members of the 3D2A (3D-Printed Second Amendment) community developing functional, resilient printed firearms.
- **Independent Testers and Researchers**
  Individuals and groups conducting testing and performance evaluations of printed firearm parts and materials.
- **Gunsmiths and Firearm Manufacturers**
  Professionals exploring or adopting filament-based additive manufacturing technologies for firearm prototyping, repair, or production.
- **Materials Scientists and Engineers**
  Researchers investigating polymer, composite, and reinforced filament behavior under mechanical, thermal, and operational firearm stresses.
- **Collaborative Projects and Open-Source Development Groups**
  Initiatives focused on improving the safety, reliability, and innovation of 3D-printed firearm components through transparent testing and shared results.

SPARTA welcomes contributions from individual testers, community development teams, academic researchers, and professional organizations who wish to refine, expand, and evolve the standard over time.

By fostering open collaboration and maintaining rigorous testing practices, SPARTA seeks to strengthen the quality, safety, and credibility of printed firearm components across the global additive manufacturing and personal freedom communities.

6. Data Reporting and Transparency

Purpose

Accurate, transparent reporting of testing results is critical to SPARTA's mission of building a credible, reproducible, and community-driven body of knowledge around the performance of 3D-printed firearm components.

Clear documentation allows others to validate findings, compare results, improve designs, and strengthen material and print selection decisions.

SPARTA emphasizes openness, honesty, and repeatability in all reported testing activities.

Reporting Requirements

For each completed test (dimensional, mechanical, drop/impact, environmental, live-fire), the following minimum data must be recorded and made available:

- **Part Description**
  - Part type (e.g., Glock frame, AR-15 lower)
  - Design file version (if known or applicable)
  - Modifications made (if any)
- **Material and Printing Parameters**
  - Filament manufacturer and specific material (e.g., Polymaker PA12-CF)
  - Printer model
  - Nozzle size and material
  - Print orientation
  - Layer height, wall count, infill type and percentage
  - Print temperature settings (nozzle temp, bed temp, chamber temp if applicable)
  - Post-processing treatments (e.g., annealing, sanding, chemical smoothing)
- **Test Methodology**
  - Type(s) of test conducted (dimensional, mechanical, drop/impact, environmental, live-fire)
  - Test setup descriptions (rig type, surface type, drop height, environmental conditions, firing platform)
  - Any deviations from SPARTA baseline methods, if applicable
- **Test Results**
  - Measurements taken (for dimensional analysis)
  - Forces applied and failure loads (for mechanical testing)

- Impact and drop outcomes
- Environmental degradation observations
- Round counts, malfunctions, and post-firing observations (for live-fire)

- **Failure Modes**
  - Detailed description of how the part failed (brittle fracture, ductile deformation, layer delamination, warping, etc.)
- **Supporting Media (Optional but Strongly Recommended)**
  - Pre- and post-test photographs
  - Videos of mechanical tests, drop tests, or live-fire malfunctions
  - Graphs or tables summarizing results

Transparency Standards

- **Complete Documentation**
  Testing documentation should be detailed enough for another tester to reasonably reproduce the setup, procedure, and expected results.
- **Honest Reporting of Failures**
  All failures, malfunctions, or material defects must be reported accurately, without omission or selective presentation.
- **Clear Separation of Subjective Observations and Measured Data**
  Opinions or subjective impressions (e.g., "felt stiffer," "seemed hotter") should be clearly distinguished from objective measurements (e.g., deformation at 140°F, elongation at 90 lbs force).
- **Acknowledgment of Testing Limitations**
  Any deviations from recommended SPARTA methods (e.g., smaller round count, alternative materials, ad hoc equipment) must be noted clearly to preserve data integrity.

Publishing and Sharing

SPARTA encourages (but does not require) public sharing of test results to strengthen community knowledge, material selection decisions, and design improvements.

Test results may be shared via:

- Community wikis or knowledge bases
- Public repositories (e.g., GitHub, decentralized archives)
- Community forums, blogs, or technical writeups
- Inclusion in aggregated SPARTA public data releases (if structured submission programs are available in the future)

When sharing publicly:

- Clearly label test results with "SPARTA-Conformant Testing" if the SPARTA methodology was followed.
- Clearly label "SPARTA-Inspired Testing" if deviations were made from baseline SPARTA methods but inspired by them.
- Respect local laws regarding the sharing of firearm-related materials and data.

Rigorous data recording, transparent reporting, and open sharing are foundational to SPARTA's mission of advancing safe, reliable, and credible 3D-printed firearm technologies.
By documenting all materials, methods, observations, and outcomes in a standardized and honest manner, testers contribute to a durable, repeatable knowledge base that benefits the entire 3D2A and additive manufacturing communities.

7. Future Expansion and Versioning

Purpose

SPARTA is intentionally designed as a **living, evolving framework** that adapts to new technologies, materials, methodologies, and innovations in the 3D2A and additive manufacturing spaces.
This section defines the principles and mechanisms for future updates, expansions, and formal versioning of the SPARTA standard.

Expansion Areas

SPARTA anticipates future expansions may include, but are not limited to:

- **Additional Materials**
  Incorporation of new filament types (e.g., newer carbon fiber composites, high-temperature nylons, glass-fiber blends) as they become viable for firearm component manufacturing.
- **Additional Manufacturing Methods**
  Inclusion of additional additive manufacturing technologies beyond FDM/FFF (e.g., SLS nylon printing, hybrid multi-process approaches) where appropriate and achievable by the community.
- **Extended Component Categories**
  Testing standards for non-structural but critical components (e.g., magazines, fire control groups, accessory mounts) as needed.
- **New Testing Procedures**
  Development of new standardized tests for thermal cycling endurance, sustained mechanical vibration resistance, chemical exposure resistance, etc.
- **Long-Term Durability Studies**
  Definition of accelerated aging protocols or multi-year real-world durability tracking for printed parts under field use.

Versioning Approach

SPARTA adopts a **structured versioning model** based on community collaboration, technical development, and need for refinement:

Version Numbering	Meaning
Major Version (X.0)	Significant structural changes to methodology, testing types, or expansion into new categories.
Minor Version (X.Y)	Additions, clarifications, or small revisions to existing sections without changing overall methodology.
Revision Number (X.Y.Z)	Typos, formatting corrections, very minor updates that do not alter meaning or method.

Example:
Version 1.2.1 would indicate Major Version 1, Minor Update 2, Revision 1.

Update Process

- **Community Feedback and Collaboration**
  SPARTA welcomes feedback, proposed refinements, and new testing methodologies from community members, testers, researchers, and developers.

- **Proposal Submission**
  Future proposed changes may be submitted to the SPARTA maintainers through defined public channels (e.g., repository issues, community voting platforms, or future governance bodies).

- **Review and Testing**
  Significant proposed changes will be subject to review and, where applicable, validation testing to ensure they meet SPARTA's principles of realism, accessibility, transparency, and repeatability.

- **Publication of Updates**
  Approved updates will be incorporated into the next official version release, with clear changelogs and summaries of major alterations for users.

Version Labeling

All public copies of the SPARTA framework, testing documentation, or derivative works must clearly label:

- The specific SPARTA version the work conforms to (e.g., "Tested under SPARTA v1.0.0")

- Any deviations from SPARTA baseline methods, if applicable

This ensures clarity for community members interpreting testing results and prevents confusion as the standard evolves over time.

SPARTA is a living framework committed to continual refinement, technological inclusion, and community collaboration.
By providing structured pathways for future expansion, formal versioning rules, and transparent update practices, SPARTA ensures that its mission — supporting the safe, reliable advancement of 3D-printed firearms — remains relevant, rigorous, and accessible as the 3D2A community and additive manufacturing industries continue to grow.

8. Acknowledgements and Community Collaboration

8.1 Acknowledgements

SPARTA exists thanks to the work, testing, innovation, and collaboration of the broader 3D2A and additive manufacturing communities.
It is the product of countless builders, testers, developers, and researchers who have pushed the boundaries of what filament-based firearm components can achieve.

We acknowledge:

- The pioneers of early printed firearm development who demonstrated viability under real-world stresses.
- The material scientists, engineers, and hobbyists whose research and testing continues to improve material selection and manufacturing practices.
- The independent testers and collaborative development teams who commit time, resources, and expertise to expanding the reliability and safety of 3D-printed arms.

SPARTA remains open, living, and driven by community excellence.

8.2 Community Collaboration

SPARTA is maintained as an open, collaborative standard.
Community members are encouraged to participate by submitting **testing results**, **proposed refinements**, **material evaluations**, and **design durability studies** through the official SPARTA GitHub repository.

Official SPARTA GitHub Repository

- Repository URL: *https://github.com/RedactedIndustries/SPARTA*

All submissions must adhere to the repository structure, formatting rules, and naming conventions defined below.

8.3 Submission Structure and Repository Organization

All submitted testing results must follow the standard SPARTA repository structure:

Repository Folder Structure

```
/SPARTA-Results
 /Material-Type
  /Material-Brand_and_Model
   /Part-Type
    /Test-Results
     - Report Files (.md or .pdf)
     - Photos / Videos / Graphs
```

Definitions:

- **Material-Type**
  - High-level material category:
    - ASA, ABS, PETG, PLA+, PA6, PA12, PAHT-CF, NylonX, PC, PC Blends, Glass-Filled Nylon, etc.
- **Material-Brand_and_Model**
  - Specific brand and filament model:
    - Example: Polymaker_PA12-CF, Qidi_PAHT-CF_Black, MatterHackers_NylonX, etc.
- **Part-Type**
  - The specific firearm component tested:
    - Example: Glock_19_Frame, AR15_Lower, UMP9_Lower, P320_Frame, etc.
- **Test-Results**
  - Folder containing:
    - Raw data files
    - Final test reports (Markdown .md or PDF .pdf formats)
    - Supporting media (photographs, videos, charts)

Example Folder Path:

```
/SPARTA-Results
 /NylonX
  /MatterHackers_NylonX
   /AR15_Lower
    /Test-Results
     - dimensional-analysis.md
     - mechanical-testing.pdf
     - drop-impact-summary.md
     - live-fire-results.pdf
     - photos/
     - videos/
```

8.4 File Naming Conventions

Each test report must use the following standard filename format:

```
<PartType>_<MaterialBrand>_<MaterialModel>_<TestType>.md
```

Field	Example
PartType	Glock19Frame
MaterialBrand	Polymaker
MaterialModel	PA12CF
TestType	DimensionalAnalysis / MechanicalTesting / DropImpact / EnvironmentalTesting / LiveFire
AuthorName	The Tester's name, GitHub Username, or other related reference to who performed the test.

Example full filenames:

- Glock19Frame_Polymaker_PA12CF_DimensionalAnalysis_AuthorName.md
- AR15Lower_Qidi_PAHTCF_LiveFire_AuthorName.pdf

Submissions without proper file naming and folder placement may be rejected or returned for correction.

8.5 Consolidation Rules

- **By Material First:**
  Materials are grouped first by base material type, then brand/model.
- **By Part Type Second:**
  Within each material, parts are separated by component type.
- **Why:**
  This ensures that material performance comparisons are centralized, and that variations between brands/models are accurately tracked without polluting unrelated material categories.

Example:
All **PAHT-CF** results live under /PAHT-CF/, even if different brands (Qidi, Polymaker, 3DXTech) are represented separately by brand/model name.

8.6 Submission Standards

For each report submission:

- ✅ Follow all reporting standards defined in **Section 6: Data Reporting and Transparency**
- ✅ Include all major test phases conducted (dimensional, mechanical, drop/impact, environmental, live-fire) where applicable

- ✅ Clearly state SPARTA version used
- ✅ Clearly state deviations from baseline SPARTA methodologies if applicable
- ✅ Include supporting photos/videos wherever possible
- ✅ Provide contact info or GitHub username for potential follow-up if clarification is needed

SPARTA’s strength lies in its open, standardized, and collaborative community-driven nature.
By maintaining strict organization, formatting, and submission rules, the SPARTA GitHub repository ensures that testing results remain searchable, comparable, and credible as the body of data grows. The community's commitment to structured, transparent contributions guarantees that SPARTA continues to serve as a world-class testing standard for 3D-printed firearm components.

9. Legal and Safety Disclaimers

9.1 General Disclaimer

The Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment (SPARTA) framework is provided **for informational, educational, and research purposes only**.

- SPARTA does **not constitute legal advice**, **manufacturing authorization**, **certification of safety**, or **endorsement** of any specific printed firearm design, component, or material.
- Users are solely responsible for ensuring that any use, manufacturing, possession, or testing of 3D-printed firearm components complies with all applicable local, state, federal, and international laws and regulations.

Printing, assembling, and testing firearms or firearm components carries inherent risks.
SPARTA contributors, maintainers, and affiliated parties **assume no liability** for:

- Personal injury
- Property damage
- Legal consequences
- Any other losses resulting from the use of this framework or information derived from it.

9.2 Safety Disclaimer

Firearm testing — including dimensional analysis, mechanical testing, drop/impact testing, environmental exposure testing, and live-fire operation — is inherently dangerous.
All testing must be conducted with extreme caution, proper protective equipment, and a full understanding of the risks involved.

SPARTA recommends the following minimum safety practices for all testing activities:

- Always wear ANSI Z87.1+ certified eye protection during any mechanical, drop, or live-fire testing.
- Wear hand protection and appropriate protective clothing where impact, sharp edges, or thermal risks exist.
- Use remote firing rigs, blast shields, or other protective measures during initial live-fire tests, especially with unproven parts or materials.
- Conduct live-fire testing only at properly authorized ranges or private properties where local laws permit.
- Keep bystanders at a safe distance during any mechanical or live-fire test.
- Immediately discontinue testing and safely inspect any part showing visible deformation, cracking, excessive heat softening, or unusual mechanical behavior.

Firearms — whether printed or traditionally manufactured — are serious tools. Improper handling or testing can result in serious injury or death.

9.3 No Guarantee of Fitness for Use

Passing SPARTA tests does **not guarantee** that a 3D-printed component is safe for use in a functioning firearm, nor that it will perform adequately in all conditions.

The SPARTA framework defines minimum testing procedures to assess resilience under controlled conditions, but **cannot account for all real-world variables**, including:

- Variations in printer quality and tuning
- Filament storage conditions and age
- Inconsistencies in layer adhesion, infill, or bonding
- Environmental extremes outside testing parameters
- Differences in assembly practices and tolerances

Users must use their own judgment, skill, and discretion when relying on printed components for real-world applications.

9.4 Intellectual Property Disclaimer

SPARTA does not claim ownership of any firearm designs, CAD files, blueprints, or models tested under this framework.
Respect for intellectual property rights, open-source licensing agreements, and proper attribution of original creators is the responsibility of each tester and submitter.

SPARTA only defines testing methods for evaluating printed components, and does not authorize or control the creation or distribution of any specific firearm models or designs.

SPARTA is an open, community-driven project intended to promote safer, more reliable 3D-printed firearm technologies through standardized testing procedures.
Participation in SPARTA-based testing, sharing of data, or usage of SPARTA methodologies is undertaken **at the user's own risk**, with full acceptance of personal and legal responsibility.
Safety, legality, and ethical responsibility remain paramount at all times.

10. Core Principles

The Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment (SPARTA) framework is grounded in a set of foundational principles that define its structure, its purpose, and its enduring approach to testing and community collaboration.

These principles ensure that SPARTA remains rigorous, accessible, trustworthy, and adaptable as the 3D2A community and additive manufacturing technologies continue to evolve.

Core Principles

Principle	Definition
Realism	All tests must reflect the practical, operational use of printed firearm components under expected real-world conditions. Testing must simulate stresses, handling, and environmental factors that parts will realistically encounter during deployment, not purely theoretical laboratory conditions.
Accessibility	Testing methodologies must be executable by hobbyists, builders, researchers, and professionals using readily available tools and reasonably affordable equipment. SPARTA must remain open to serious testers without requiring industrial-scale resources.
Transparency	Testing procedures, data collection methods, results, and analyses must be clearly documented, verifiable, and open to public review. Honest reporting, including failures and anomalies, is mandatory for data integrity.
Repeatability	All SPARTA tests must be structured so that independent testers can replicate results under similar conditions. Consistent, structured methodologies ensure data credibility and accelerate knowledge growth across the community.
Community-Driven	SPARTA is built and maintained by the 3D2A community for the 3D2A community. Contributions, discussions, and continuous refinement are not only encouraged but are fundamental to SPARTA's mission.
Evolutionary Design	SPARTA is a living framework, intentionally designed to evolve alongside advances in materials, design methodologies, and additive manufacturing techniques. It embraces change while maintaining a structured, credible core.

SPARTA's commitment to realism, accessibility, transparency, repeatability, community leadership, and continuous evolution ensures that it remains a vital, respected, and enduring standard for the testing and advancement of 3D-printed firearm technologies.

These principles guide every section, every procedure, and every collaboration within the SPARTA framework.

11. Conclusion and Call for Collaboration

Conclusion

The Standardized Printed Arms Resilience Testing and Assessment (SPARTA) framework represents a new, structured, and community-driven approach to advancing the safety, reliability, and innovation of 3D-printed firearm components.

By establishing clear methodologies, transparent reporting standards, and accessible testing procedures, SPARTA empowers builders, testers, researchers, and developers across the 3D2A community to:

- Validate and compare materials and designs with credible, repeatable data
- Improve the functional resilience of printed firearm parts
- Strengthen community knowledge and technological innovation
- Promote responsible development and safe practices within the additive manufacturing ecosystem

SPARTA is designed not as a static rulebook, but as a living project — capable of growing, evolving, and adapting as new materials, manufacturing methods, and real-world needs arise.

Call for Collaboration

SPARTA is a collaborative, community-owned standard. Its growth and refinement depend on the active participation of independent testers, developers, materials scientists, and builders worldwide.

You are invited to contribute by:

- Conducting tests following SPARTA guidelines and submitting results to the SPARTA GitHub repository
- Proposing improvements to testing procedures, reporting formats, or methodology refinements
- Expanding testing coverage to new materials, designs, or manufacturing technologies
- Sharing lessons learned, best practices, and innovations with the broader 3D2A community
- Reviewing, validating, and building upon the results contributed by others

Together, through open collaboration, rigorous methodology, and a commitment to transparent innovation, the SPARTA community can continue pushing the boundaries of what filament-based manufacturing can achieve in the defense of personal freedom, responsible technology development, and resilient engineering.

SPARTA is built on the belief that the future of 3D-printed arms is not only about possibility, but about responsibility, professionalism, and community-driven excellence.
Through structured testing, open contribution, and shared advancement, we strengthen not only our parts — but our community itself.

Welcome to SPARTA.