

CS 771 Artificial Intelligence
Spring 2019 Homework 5 (85 points)

Assigned: Monday, April 08, 2019

Due: Monday, April 15, 2019

1. (25 points) Consider the following predicates :

$T(x)$: x is taking CS771;
 $C(x)$: x has a cell phone;
 $F(x)$: x has seen a foreign film;
 $Fr(x, y)$: x is a friend of y ;

Now express the following sentences in first order logic.

- (a) Someone taking CS771 class has seen a foreign film.
- (b) Everyone taking CS771 class has a cell phone.
- (c) Everyone who is either taking CS771 or has a cell phone has a friend who hasn't watched a foreign film.
- (d) No one is simultaneously taking CS771, has a cell phone and has watched a foreign film.
- (e) Everyone who has a cell phone has a friend who has a cell phone.

2. (50 points) For this problem consider the following predicates :

$Animal(x)$: x is an animal;
 $Horse(x)$: x is a horse;
 $HeadOf(h, x)$: h is the head of x ;

The goal of this exercise is to show that from "Horses are animals" it follows that "The head of a horse is the head of an animal".

- (a) First, express the following in first order logic using the predicates given above: "Horses are animals". This forms your KB.
 - (b) Now, express the following in first order logic using the predicates above: "The head of a horse is the head of an animal". This is the statement α that you need to prove.
 - (c) Negate α and convert $\neg\alpha$ into conjunctive normal form (CNF). Remember, resolution proves $KB \models \alpha$ by proving $KB \vee \neg\alpha$ is unsatisfiable. If you do everything right, there will be four clauses in the $KB \wedge \neg\alpha$ CNF, one for KB and three for $\neg\alpha$.
 - (d) Now, use resolution and part(c) to show $KB \wedge \neg\alpha$ is unsatisfiable, that is by deriving an empty clause.
3. (2 points) Suppose a knowledge base has just one sentence , $\exists x \text{ AsHighAs}(x, Everest)$. Which of the following is a legitimate result of applying Existential instantiation?
- (a) $\text{AsHighAs}(Everest, Everest)$.
 - (b) $\text{AsHighAs}(Kilimanjaro, Everest)$.

4. (8 points) For each pair of atomic sentences, give a unifier if it exists. If no unifier exists, explain why not.

(a) $Q(y, G(A, B)), Q(G(x, x), y)$.

(b) $P(A, B, B), P(x, y, z)$

(c) $Knows(Father(y), y), Knows(x, x)$.

(d) $Older(Father(y), y), Older(Father(x), John)$.