# **Final Project – A MonopolyTM game**

# **Design Patterns and**

# **Software Development Process**




Table des matières

[Final Project – A MonopolyTM game – Design Patterns and Software Development Process 1](#_Toc92549774)

[1. Introduction 1](#_Toc92549775)

[2. Design Hypotheses 2](#_Toc92549776)

[3. UML diagrams 3](#_Toc92549777)

[a. Class diagram of the solution 3](#_Toc92549778)

[b. Sequence diagrams 3](#_Toc92549779)

[4. Test cases 3](#_Toc92549780)

[Singleton Test case 4](#_Toc92549781)

[Test case “Go to jail” after 3 doubles dices in a row 4](#_Toc92549782)

[Test case “Go to jail” case 4](#_Toc92549783)

[Test case “Go out of jail” after a double dice 5](#_Toc92549784)

[Test cases overview 5](#_Toc92549785)

[5. Conclusion/ Final remarks 5](#_Toc92549786)

## Introduction

As ESILV 4th year students, we attended the ‘Design Pattern and Software Development Process’ course. Along the course, we learnt theory and practice of many design patterns, processes and tools relevant to our studies. This project brings to a close this course, offering a final opportunity to use our newly acquired skills through a practical project : the goal was to simulate a simplified version of the Monopoly game.

The concept offers several possibilities for design patterns. After exploring possibilities, we went with the one that seemed most fitting to us as explained below. We put into practice the good manners we were accustomed to regarding the modelling. You shall find all explanations in the corresponding sections.

As for the simplified version of the game, it consists of a similar board, having 40 possible positions that the players travel across during the game. The part where the positions represent famous avenues has been removed, then there is no buying or deals at all during the game. The only remaining game features are the jail, located at tile 10, and the go-to-jail tile at the 30th position. All the moving around also remains the same as in the original game (2 dices, moving by the sum of the dices, 1 double and the player plays again, 3 doubles make the player go to jail, 1 double to go out of jail or after 3 turns).

Let’s move on to the design hypothesis.

## Design Hypotheses


During this project, we have faced some difficulties regarding the choice of implementation of design patterns in the structure of the code, whose handling was not intuitive at first. We then had to rethink every action required to design the game intelligently.

Our project is grouped around 3 blocks, 3 specific design patterns, grouping the major challenges:

* the creation of a unique board
* the player's state (free or in jail)
* the positioning of the players on the board

To begin with, the first issue was about the creation of the board. We wanted to ensure that the board could only be created once. In order to do so, we used a Singleton Pattern.

Our “Board” class implements a private constructor (or a sealed class), and a private field (“instance”) which will be used to check if an instance has already been created. Moreover, we decided to make it “**Thread Safe**” inside our property Instance(), using a lock (padlock) to make sure that two threads won’t create two boards.



*Secondly, concerning the implementation of this board, the main issue was to make it circular, which means the board loops. To overcome this, the class “Position”, representing position, has an* ***attribute “caseNumber”, which will point to another instance of “Position”****. On the board, position 0 will point to 1, then 1 to 2, … and finally 39 to 0. To implement this board, we have hesitated to use the* ***Prototype Design Pattern****, to clone every position around the board. But we decided not to use it as it did not fit well in our final structure. Each position returns a number.*

Nonetheless, if we wanted to go further, we could have been able to implement other behavior patterns to get closer to the real monopoly, for example to attribute a price to each case.


Then, we faced the main difficulty of this exercise: how to implement every position separately, knowing that some specific positions do not have the same effect, while they are instance of the same class?

// HOW TO LINK THE STATE AND THE CASE KNOWING THAT DIFFERENTS CLASSES ?

We struggle with this for a while, but we realize that positions have two kinds of effect: when a player **stops** on the position, and when he **moves** from the position. These effects are what makes positions different, and therefore we decided to use the **Strategy Pattern** for the movement (move and stop) behavior, and a **State Pattern** in order to check the state of the player.

//State Pattern d’abord!

In the State Pattern: two protected



// **Strategy Pattern**


In this Strategy Pattern, the behaviors which can shift according to the positions are due to our interface MovingBehaviour. This interface implements two capital methods, onStop () and onMove () which are inherited by the Position class, the abstract parent class of the 3 classes defining the behaviors according to the case: JailPosition, SimplePosition and GoToJailPosition.

The onMove () and onStop () methods are thus overriden as needed in each child class.

onStop () -> is a boolean function which returns True if the player stays on the same space or False if he has to change spaces. This is particularly the case if the player lands on the GoToJail space (30), he goes to jail (space 10).


onMove () is the method which returns the number of the cell and deals with special cases linked to double dice. This is particularly the case in the SimplePosition classes where it is necessary to ensure that the player has not made more than 3 doubles in a row, or in the JailPosition class where the player must make a double to get out of prison.

Using this, the "Position" class will delegate its StopBehavior and MoveBehavior instead of creating many classes and methods according to different behaviors.



## UML diagrams

### Class diagram of the solution



*This class diagram was made on StarUML*

### Sequence diagrams

## Test cases

Once we had implemented all our patterns, we ran the program to see if the game was working correctly.

We then decided to test more precisely the 4 major functionalities of our project:

* The creation of a unique Board thanks to our Singleton pattern
* The “3 doubles dice in row” case leading to Jail case
* The “double dice” case that allows the player to get out of jail
* The case “Go to jail”

In order to do these tests, we created another Unit Test Project on Visual Studio.

### Singleton Test case

Une image contenant texte

Description générée automatiquement

In order to trigger the exception set up in the Singleton Pattern, we tried to create another instance of a board.

We obtained the expected result, only one board was created thanks to our Singleton Pattern protecting the uniqueness existence of a board.

### Test case “Go to jail” after 3 doubles dices in a row

Une image contenant texte, capture d’écran, écran

Description générée automatiquement


We created two different cases to test our State Pattern. The first one with a player having done 2 double dices to see if the Boolean method StateChangeCheck() was returning False, and the second one with the same player having done 3 double dices in a row, to check if the method was returning True.

We obtained the expected results for both tests, for the first one nothing happened and the state of player was still “Free” and for the second test, the player’s state changed from Free to Jail.

### Test case “Go to jail” case

In the game, if a player stops on the position 30, which is the Go-to-jail position, he does not stay here until the next turn like other basic positions. Instead, the player has to move immediately to jail, and end its turn there even if he did a double. In order to test if that event was going as expected, we created a player and an instance of this position. We made the player stop on that instance by setting it as its current position, then called the onStop method. This method, implemented on all types of positions, is the one designed to return true if the player’s turn is over or false if not. We indeed expected it to return false as a player can not stop on the go-to-jail case, hence tested it using Assert.IsFalse.

The test was successful. Here is the code snippet:



### Test case “Go out of jail” after a double dice

In Monopoly, players might end up in ‘jail’. There are two ways to get out : either make a double on the player’s turn, or leave after 3 turns have gone by. We wanted to test that the first exit scenario was well implemented. To test that, we created a player and immediately set is State as Jail. We set the variable DoubleDiceCount to 1, imitating the case where the player just made a double. Then, we called the StateChangeCheck method, designed to return true if the player needs to change state (jail to free or free to jail). In our case, the player is supposed to leave jail, so is state should go from Jail to Free, and the function needs to return true. That’s what we test using the Assert.IsTrue function.

The test was successful. Here is the code snippet:

Une image contenant texte

Description générée automatiquement

### Test cases overview


We then tested each Unit Test and as we can see below on the picture, we obtained the expected results as they were all successful.

Une image contenant texte, capture d’écran, moniteur, écran

Description générée automatiquement

## Conclusion/ Final remarks

To put it in a nutshell, the project allowed to us to apply what we had seen along the course. We successfully implemented 3 design patterns : Singleton, State, and Strategy patterns. Our project meets all requirements and works well, allowing us to simulate a game of the simplified Monopoly without interfering. The program prints some information along the game, like the value of the dices, players’ positions after the turn:



The game stops on its own after 50 turns.

On an improvement perspective, we could have added features to our project to make it more enjoyable: chose the number and names of players with the console, add a visual of the board throughout the game…

We are quite satisfied by our project, both in terms of final results and the technical experience and improvement. We had a great time working as a team to make this project become real within a few weeks to meet the deadline and are pleased to submit our work.