

# Feedback Navigator

Reebal 2024-11-16

### Total enrollments by program



Percentage of students who could preview the course structure and requirements a week before the start date



# ☆ Instructor effectiveness

4.42

**Question 13**: Instructor was knowledgeable

**Question 14**: Instructor organized subject matter clearly

**Question 15**: Instructor guided independent learning

**Question 16**: Instructor was present in the course

**Question 17**: Instructor graded with clear expectations

Question 18 : Instructor gave

helpful feedback

Ougstion 10 - Instructor was

# ☆ Course content and structure

4.37

**Question 22**: The course was intellectually challenging

**Question 27**: The course improved understanding of subject matter

**Question 29**: I met my personal goals in this course

godis III tilis codise

Question 30 : I met my learning

goals in this course

**Question 32**: The course encouraged student interaction

**Question 33**: This course enhanced faculty-student

# ☆ Student learning experience

4.43

**Question 23** : Course objectives were clearly stated

**Question 24**: Grading criteria were clearly stated

**Question 25**: Assignments helped meet course objectives

**Question 26**: Forum activities aided in learning content


**Question 28**: Materials enhanced course understanding

Question 31 : Course design


contributed to learning


Ouestien 25 : Course technology

#### Overall average by questions




#### Average ratings by programs







#### Average ratings by courses




### Average ratings by Instructor effectiveness







### Average ratings by courses and weeks



### Course recommendations

101	Examples	Recommendation summary
Select Course/s  1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016	Everything went very well, easy to understand! Discrepancies between assignment details, rubric, and sample made Module 2 confusing.  Require one field experience per module. New textbook with more content. Course would be better in person. Acquiring data was difficult for some assignments. Course was excellent.	Overall, the course was well-received, with students finding it easy to understand. However, some noted inconsistencies between assignment instructions, rubric, and sample, particularly in Module 2, which caused confusion. Suggestions include aligning assignment materials, adding a new textbook with more content, requiring one field experience per module, and considering an in-person format for improved engagement.