

CS1113 Quantifiers in Predicate Logic

Lecturer:

Professor Barry O'Sullivan

Office: 2.65, Western Gateway Building

email: b.osullivan@cs.ucc.ie

http://osullivan.ucc.ie/teaching/cs1113/

Quantifiers

∀ -- the universal quantifier

3 -- the existential quantifier

truth values of quantified statements

translating specifications into quantified logic with predicates

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

Quantifiers

Previous Lecture

We introduced sets and relations, and allowed our propositions to talk about elements that satisfy a relation (or *predicate*).

```
is_connected_to(comp23,cs_domain)
(beats(Brazil,Mexico) ∧ beats(Brazil,Croatia) ∧ beats(Brazil,Cameroon))

→
winsGroup(Brazil)
```

We introduced *variables*, which could stand for any element of a set, but that means the statements are not propositions (because they are neither definitely true nor definitely false)

```
has_firewall(x)
less_than(x, y)
sameGroup(x,Australia) →beats(x,Australia)
```

The aim

We now want to be able to talk about these statements with variables, and have a procedure to determine whether what we say is true or false

- Is there a value for the variable that makes the statement true?
- Which values make the statement true?
- How many values make the statement true?
- Is the statement true for all possible values we could give to the variable?

Is the statement hasActiveFireGuard(x) true no matter which computer on the network we replace x with?

[&]quot;all computers on the network have an active copy of *FireGuard* ™ "

From now on, we will assume all predicates are defined over some universal set U (or over cross products of the universal set $U \times U \times ... \times U$).

Quantifiers

The universal quantifier

To state that a predicate is true for all possible assignments of values to its variables, we use a special symbol ∀, called the universal quantifier.

If we write firewall(x) to represent the statement that x has an active firewall, then to say all possible objects have an active firewall, we write:

 $\forall x \text{ firewall}(x)$

Note: think of ∀ as an upside-down A, standing for "for All"

Exercise:

if Q(x) is the statement x < x+1, where U = Z, what does $\forall x \ Q(x)$

say? Is it true or false?

Truth value of the universal quantifier

 $\forall x P(x)$ is true if in all possible assignments of a value to x , P(x) is true

 $\forall x \ P(x)$ is false if there is one or more possible assignments of a value to x that makes P(x) false

Examples

R(x) is the statement $x^2 \ge 0$ where U = Z $\forall x \ R(x)$ is a true statement R(5) is true, since $5^2 = 25 \ge 0$ R(-3) is true, since $(-3)^2 = 9 \ge 0$

P(x) is the statement x>7 where U = Z $\forall x P(x)$ is a false statement

P(3) is false, since $3 \not> 7$

The existential quantifier

To state that a predicate is true for at least one assignment of values to its variables, we use the special symbol \exists , called the existential quantifier.

If firewall(x) represents the statement that x has an active firewall, then to say at least one object has an active firewall, we write:

 $\exists x \text{ firewall}(x)$

Note: think of \exists as backwards E, standing for "there Exists"

Exercise: if Q(x) is the statement $x = x^2$, and U=Z, what does $\exists x Q(x)$

say? Is it true or false?

Truth value of the existential quantifier

 $\exists x P(x)$ is true if there is one or more assignments of a

value to x such that P(x) is true

 $\exists x P(x)$ is false if there no possible assignment of a

value to x that makes P(x) true

Examples

R(x) is the statement $x \ge 0$ where U = Z $\exists x R(x)$ is a true statement

R(5) is true, since $5 \ge 0$

P(x) is the statement $x^2 < 0$ where U = Z $\exists x P(x)$ is a false statement There is no integer whose square is less than 0

Examples

Consider students currently registered on the UCC database. Represent the following using quantifiers and predicates:

- 1. All students have a student ID number.
- 2. Some students are American

Let U be the set of UCC students
Let hasID(x) state that x has a student ID number
Let american(x) state that x is american

- 1. $\forall x \ has ID(x)$
- 2. $\exists x \ american(x)$

Examples

Consider students currently registered on the UCC database, and degree programmes in the UCC Calendar Represent the following using quantifiers and predicates:

1. There exists at least one student who studies both Computer Science and Chinese.

Let U be the set of UCC students and degree programmes

Let *student(x)* state that *x* is a student Let *studies(x,y)* state that student *x* studies degree program *y* Let CS and CH be elements of U, representing Computer Science and Chinese.

1. $\exists x (student(x) \land studies(x,CS) \land studies(x,CH))$

Example

Let P(x) be "x > 0" and let Q(x) be "2*x > 0", where U=Z

Then the wff

$$\forall x \ (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$$

says for all integers, if x > 0, then $2^*x > 0$.

This is a true statement. For any integer x, $P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ is true.

(using the truth table for \rightarrow , we cannot find an integer which makes P(x) true and Q(x) false. i.e. we cannot find an integer x for which x > 0 but $2^*x \le 0$)

Example

For all students in UCC, if the student is qualified to enter 2nd year, then the student must have earned 50 credits.

Express this in logic.

Let U = all students in the UCC database

Let credits(x) mean x has achieved 50 credits, for $x \in U$ Let qualified(x) mean x is qualified to enter 2^{nd} year, for $x \in U$

 $\forall x \ (qualified(x) \rightarrow credits(x))$

Example

For all students in UCC CS 1st year, either the student is registered for CS1105, or has a pass for CS1105, or has a pass for MA1015

Express this in logic.

Class Exercise

If U the set of all international soccer teams, and *european* is a unary predicate which is true if the team is european, what do the following say?

- 1) $\forall x (inWC2014(x) \rightarrow european(x))$
- 2) ∃x (inWC2014(x) ∧ sameGroup(x, Spain))

Are these statements true or false?

Two Equivalences

Let P be a predicate that acts on a single object from U

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

"it is not true that there is an object x that has property P" is logically equivalent to "for every possible object x, the property P is not held by x

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

"it is not true that all objects x have property P" is logically equivalent to "there is at least one object x which does not have property P

$$\neg \forall x \ inWC2014(x) \equiv \exists x \ \neg inWC2014(x)$$

Next lecture

the language of quantified logic (version 1)

logical equivalences

examples